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With the beginning of this year, the Deutsche Entomologische Zeitschrift (DEZ), one of the world’s oldest entomology journals, became a front-line fighter of modern scientific publishing. The transfer to Pensoft, the new publisher, brings about changes, as I see it, all for the benefit of the scientific community.

Most obviously, all articles published in the DEZ will henceforth be open access. They thus will have a much wider coverage. This is not only of use for the authors who can freely share and promote their articles and hence benefit from increased citation rates and new collaboration opportunities, but for the whole scientific community as the articles become available to practically everyone, independently of access via a library or a university server. More and more taxonomic works are being published by experts from developing and transitional countries and open access is the only way to provide them with an open and efficient mechanism for exchange and growth of knowledge. Additionally, Pensoft ensures the visibility of published taxonomic information by distributing it to global portals, such as Encyclopedia of Life, Plazi Treatment Repository, the wiki treatment repository Species-ID, and many others. In addition, the authors are saved the bother of registering their new taxa with ZooBank due to the automated registration workflow firstly established by Pensoft. An important difference from most open access journals is that the open access to the two regular yearly issues of the DEZ is granted to the authors due to the generous support from the Museum für Naturkunde, Berlin.

When I was invited to take over the editorship of the DEZ, it was the perspective of leading the journal into the modern publishing paths of Pensoft that excited me most. You may thus believe that I am proud and honoured to present you with the first issue in the new format. I am personally convinced that this publishing model is the only way to meet the challenges and demands of the present and the future. I thank the leadership of the Museum für Naturkunde and personally Prof. Dr. Johannes Vogel, the Director General, for their confidence and appreciate their commitment to the new path. My predecessor Prof. Dr. Hannelore Hoch has guided the DEZ to where it is now, a recognized systematic entomology journal, and I thank her for her support in continuing her work. Finally, I am glad that I could gather a highly dedicated and excellent editorial team to stand behind me, consisting of both long-serving and newly invited experts.

With this, I would like to invite colleagues from all around the world to enjoy the new publishing services of their well-known good “old” journal!
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Abstract

Caddisflies (Trichoptera) of Southeast Asia are analyzed with special attention to the Sunda Islands to evaluate the zoogeographic effects of island isolation and potential influence from the neighboring continental faunas. Results of recent Trichoptera collections and synopsis of previously published data for the islands of Java, Bali, and Lombok are presented along with their zoogeographical interpretation on the islands as well as on the adjacent regions. A total of 202 Trichoptera species is known to occur on the three islands; 146 species are known in Java, 73 in Bali and 61 in Lombok. 43 species are common to Java and Bali, 27 to Java and Lombok, 30 to Bali and Lombok, 70 to Java and Sumatra. A significant decrease in species richness has been observed in comparison to the Asian mainland. The caddisfly fauna of the three islands is of Asiatic origin, no Australian influence was noted. The well-known Wallace’s line does not act as a faunistic border between Bali and Lombok for Trichoptera.
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Introduction

Caddisflies (order Trichoptera) have a complicated distribution in the world, with significant species diversity concentrated in Tropical Asia, especially in the Himalayas (Schmid 1970, 1984; Morse 1997; de Moor and Ivanov 2008). One of the regions of highest faunistic diversity is the area which includes Thailand, Yunnan, northern Myanmar, and northeastern India (Assam, Arunachal Pradesh and surrounding territories). There is a strong decrease of diversity from this region along the Himalayas in a western direction (e.g., Schmid 1970). This high diversity however does not necessarily mean an evolution center of caddisfly groups. It could be a result of local speciation processes in the relatively young mountains. The great tropical diversity of caddisflies is a subject of recent studies (e.g., Mey 2001; Malicky 2010; Malicky et al. 2011), although with the rapid environmental deterioration in the tropics many species may not be discovered and become extinct before they can be described. The faunistics and taxonomy of the tropical faunas has its own scientific value. Furthermore, such a species-rich aquatic group as Trichoptera can clarify some problems of general zoogeography. One of these problems, the formation and structure of the great transition zone between Asia and Australia, has not been intensively analyzed for Trichoptera previously. The study of this problem requires data on the species composition and distribution for numerous islands between the southeastern end of Asia and the western shores of Australia and New Guinea, the Malay Archipelago, previously known as East Indian Archipelago, including the Sunda Islands and the Molucca Islands.

The amazing species richness and endemism of the Malay Archipelago exists in one of the most geologically dynamic regions of our planet. The geological history of the Malay Archipelago is very complex (Hall 2009; Van Welzen et al. 2011). There were complicated movements of land blocks in the Cenozoic, and most islands in the central Malesian region started to emerge from the sea only some 5 million years ago. Direct use of the geological data to delimit areas in Southeast Asia is difficult because of the climate and sea level changes, which occurred during periods of glaciation.
There are two shallow ocean regions in the western (Sunda Shelf) and eastern (Sahul Shelf) parts of the Malay Archipelago. Past glaciations caused a fall of sea level so that certain vast areas, currently covered by shallow seas, have been parts of continents and have opened migration pathways. The area between Sunda and Sahul Shelves is called Wallacea. When the sea level was lower and the Sunda Shelf was an extension of the Asian continent (Sundaland) and, respectively, the Sahul Shelf connected with Australia, the islands of Wallacea continued to be relatively isolated from the continental land masses. Nevertheless, these islands could have acted as steppingstones for the organisms that were able to cross the narrow straits between them.

A famous boundary dividing the Asian and Australian biotas, Wallace’s line, is one of the most disputed topics in biogeography since the end of the XIX century (Camerini 1993) and this concept has been in the focus of intensive discussions during recent decades (de Lattin 1967; Simpson 1977; Van Welzen et al. 2011). Even though some other lines have been introduced to describe the changing species composition of the Wallacea region including the Philippines, Sulawesi, the Lesser Sundan Islands, and Moluccas (Fig. 1), Wallace’s line is usually referred to as the major divider between the Oriental and Australian biotas. All proposed boundaries were based on the faunal data, mostly if not exclusively on the distribution of birds and mammals, and only recently some of them have been analyzed by botanists (Van Welzen et al. 2011). The most crucial point of Wallace’s line is situated in a narrow strait between the two islands called Bali and Lombok. They are the westernmost members of the Lesser Sundan Islands archipelago, adjoining to the southeastern boundary of Java, a member of the Greater Sundan Islands.

The knowledge of the caddisflies of the Sunda Islands was poor for a long time. The first representative summary was given by Georg Ulmer (1951, 1955, 1957). In this work, the islands of Sumatra, Java, and Bali were considered, with some minor exceptions. It took nearly half a century that more papers, including several extensive studies, were published, e.g. on Sumatra (Malicky 2007), Java (Malicky 1997, 2002, 2004, 2008, 2009; Malicky and Chantaramongkol 2000, 2006; Wells and Malicky 1997), Bali (Malicky 1995, 1997, 2005, 2006; Malicky and Chantaramongkol 2000, 2006, 2007; Wells 1993) and Lombok (Malicky 2009; Mey 1998, 1999). A survey of the caddisfly fauna of southeastern Asia as a whole was given by Malicky (2010), and the Australasian relationships of Trichoptera faunas were reviewed by Mey (2001). Nevertheless, the caddisflies of the island of Lombok remained practically unknown, and this is still the state of knowledge of the caddisflies of the islands east of Lombok, including the Moluccas. This was the reason for the members of the Department of Entomology of the State University of St. Petersburg to make collections on the islands of Java, Bali, and Lombok in 2008 and 2009. The species which were new for science have been already described elsewhere (Malicky et al. 2011). This paper presents the complete results of the collections along with some zoogeographic considerations.

Material and methods

Field collection included the well-known methods of light catch by small water-filled UV traps installed close to the water edge, net sweeping during daytime in the riparian vegetation, and hand picking. Only adults were collected; larvae and pupae were left for future investigations. The material is preserved in 70% ethanol and is stored in the collections of the Zoological Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences in St. Petersburg. Some specimens are in the collection of the first author. Data from the faunistic publications and some material from the Malicky collection have been used for the analysis of zoogeography and species distribution.

Figure 1. Malay Archipelago, sampling areas (rectangles), and the major biogeography divider lines: 1 – Wallace’s lines (divergence around Sulawesi Island is caused by the changing views of Wallace, while the western branch is currently accepted as the canonic one), 2 – Weber’s line, 3 – Lydekker’s line. Wallacea is delimited by Wallace’s and Lydekker’s lines.
Sampling localities were as follows:

LOCALITY 1: Lombok, Senaru, Sindanggala waterfall, Tiu Kelep waterfall and irrigation canal, 08°18’09”S, 116°24’30”E (Sindanggala waterfall, 455 m, lowest point), 08°18’29”S, 116°24’27”E (irrigation channel, 508 m, highest point), 2–4 March 2008, at light and net sweeping, leg. V.D. Ivanov and S.I. Melnitsky; Lombok, Senaru, 19–26 September 2009, leg. N. Yu. Kluge. There were 5 biotopes situated close to each other: 2 of them were large waterfalls, one was a shallow rapid tropical river with stony bed in a deep canyon, and another one was an irrigation channel with fast running water and stony bottom some 30 m above the river. Several new species of Tinodes and Hydropsyche were present only near a leakage from the irrigation channel flowing down the mountain slope.

LOCALITY 2: Lombok, Sembalung Lawang, 08°21’37”S, 116°32’17”E, h=1160 m, 5 March 2008, at light, leg. V.D. Ivanov and S.I. Melnitsky. An irrigation channel with warm water in a flat mountain terrace surrounded by fields and shore bushes.


LOCALITY 4: Lombok, vic. Kembangkuning, 2 km N Kotaraja, 08°33’33”S, 116°25’23”E h=490 m, river and irrigation canal, 8 March 2008, at light and net sweeping, leg. V.D. Ivanov and S.I. Melnitsky. An irrigation complex including a river with rapids and reaches in a deep canyon with an irrigation channel originating at the water divider.


LOCALITY 6: Bali, Gitgit waterfall, 08°11’34”S, 115°08’04”E, h=520 m, 14–15 March 2008, at light and net sweeping, leg. V.D. Ivanov and S.I. Melnitsky. Cascading waterfalls above the sampling place followed by a rapid river with large boulders.

LOCALITY 7: Bali, Munduk, Melanting waterfall, 08°15’27”S, 115°04’12”E, h=700–900 m, 16–17 March 2008, at light and net sweeping, leg. V.D. Ivanov and S.I. Melnitsky. A river and an irrigation channel originating right below a large waterfall in deep canyon.

LOCALITY 8: Java, Bogor, Sadame river, Botanical Garden, 06°35’34”S, 106°48’06”E, h=250 m, 23 February 2008, at light, leg. V.D. Ivanov and S.I. Melnitsky. Large warm muddy river crossing the Bogor Botanical Garden; rapids at the bridge below the garden.

LOCALITY 9: Java, Ciapus, Gunung Salak, 06°39’29”S, 106°44’55”E, h=625 m, 24 February 2008, leg. V.D. Ivanov and S.I. Melnitsky. A brook with stony bottom above a small unstable river on the northern slope of Salak volcano.


Table 1. List of species known from Java, Bali and Lombok, with new records
Abbreviations: B – Borneo, P – Peninsular Malaysia, S – Sumatra, Wd – wide distribution; m – males, f – females.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taxa/family, species</th>
<th>Java</th>
<th>Bali</th>
<th>Lombok</th>
<th>other</th>
<th>Found in localities (Loc)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RHYACOPHILIDAE Stephens, 1836</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhyacophila anakbatukau MALICKY, 1995</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Loc.1:5m,1f; Loc.7:1m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhyacophila curvata MORTON, 1900</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>S, P</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhyacophila lieftincki ULMER, 1951</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLOSSOSOMATIDAE Wallengren, 1891</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glossosoma gera MALICKY &amp; CHANTARAMONGKOL, 2009</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glossosoma kerambos MALICKY, 2004</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agapetus abbreviatus ULMER, 1913</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Loc.1:21m,15f; Loc.3:2m, 5f; Loc.4:7m, 19f; Loc.6:17m, 26f; Loc.7:10f; Loc.8:3m; Loc.9:2m, 15f</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agapetus antilochos MALICKY, 1998</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agapetus cataractae ULMER, 1951</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agapetus kekrops MALICKY, 2004</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HYDROPTILIDAE Stephens, 1836</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroptila baukis MALICKY, 1998</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroptila crenata ULMER, 1951</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroptila elongata ULMER, 1951</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxa/family, species</td>
<td>Java</td>
<td>Bali</td>
<td>Lombok</td>
<td>other</td>
<td>Found in localities (Loc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroptila pintal WELLS &amp; HUISMAN, 1992</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>B, P, S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroptila rahei MALICKY, IVANOV &amp; MELNITSKY, 2011</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.4:12m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroptila ruben MALICKY, IVANOV &amp; MELNITSKY, 2011</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.7:1m, (5f)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroptila rumpun WELLS &amp; HUISMAN, 1992</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>P, S</td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.4:5m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroptila sphinx MALICKY &amp; CHANTARAMONGKOL, 2007</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroptila tong WELLS &amp; MALICKY, 1997</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroptila trullata ULMER, 1951</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>P, S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microptila innokentiyi MALICKY, IVANOV &amp; MELNITSKY, 2011</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.1:3m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microptila pasak WELLS, 1993</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microptila rinjani MALICKY, IVANOV &amp; MELNITSKY, 2011</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.1:1m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microptila taji WELLS, 1993</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tricholeiobutan fortensis ULMER, 1951</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>P, S</td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.4:1m; Loc.8:16m, (numerous f); Loc.10:4m, (1f)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxyethira bogambara SCHMID, 1958</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>B, P, S, Wd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxyethira incana ULMER, 1906</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>P, S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthotrichia curvata ULMER, 1951</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.4:2m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthotrichia indica MARTYNOV, 1935</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>S, P, Wd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthotrichia jethran MALICKY, IVANOV &amp; MELNITSKY, 2011</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.4:1m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthotrichia litoralis ULMER, 1951</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>S, P, Wd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthotrichia maeandrica ULMER, 1951</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>P, S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthotrichia ranauana ULMER, 1951</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saranganotrichia decussata ULMER, 1951</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sceletrichia jani WELLS &amp; HUISMAN, 1993</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>B, P</td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.4:1m; Loc.4:1m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sceletrichia milka MALICKY, IVANOV &amp; MELNITSKY, 2011</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.4:1m, (1f)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sceletrichia nikolayi MALICKY, IVANOV &amp; MELNITSKY, 2011</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.1:1m, (1f); Loc.4:1m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sceletrichia saranganica ULMER, 1951</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.1:17m, (3f); Loc.4:1m; Loc.10:1m, (2f)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chrysotrichia piring WELLS, 1993</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chrysotrichia sukamadi WELLS &amp; MALICKY, 1997</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chrysotrichia terpisaduri WELLS, 1993</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plethis baliens ULMER, 1951</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plethis berbulu WELLS, 1993</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plethis cruciatus ULMER, 1951</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.1:2m; Loc.6:59m, numerous f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parastactobia duatai WELLS &amp; MALICKY, 1997</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.1:1m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stactobia bersisik WELLS, 1993</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.4:2m Loc.6:1m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stactobia betiri WELLS &amp; MALICKY, 1997</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stactobia crassa ULMER, 1951</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stactobia germani MALICKY, IVANOV &amp; MELNITSKY, 2011</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.4:1m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stactobia keluk WELLS, 1993</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PHILOPOTAMIDAE Stephens, 1829**

<p>| Chimarra anam MALICKY, 2008 | +    |      | S      |       |                          |
| Chimarra arid MALICKY, 2008 | +    |      |        |       |                          |
| Chimarra arkit MALICKY, 2008 | +    |      |        |       |                          |
| Chimarra batukaua MALICKY, 1995 | +    |      |        |       | Loc.1:4m, 41f; Loc.5:1m; Loc.6:15m, 68f; Loc.4:43m, 193f |
| Chimarra berenike MALICKY, 1998 | +    |      |        |       |                          |
| Chimarra briseis MALICKY, 1998 | +    |      | S      |       | Loc.1:2m                 |
| Chimarra chiangmaiensis MALICKY &amp; CHANTARAMONGKOL, 1989 | +    |      |        |       |                          |
| Chimarra concolor ULMER, 1961 | +    |      | S      |       | Loc.10:1m, (1f)           |
| Chimarra gunungkawi MALICKY, 1995 | +    |      |        |       |                          |
| Chimarra jacobsoni ULMER, 1951 | +    |      |        |       |                          |
| Chimarra mahalaleel MALICKY, IVANOV &amp; MELNITSKY, 2011 | +    |      |        |       | Loc.5:1m                 |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taxa/family, species</th>
<th>Java</th>
<th>Bali</th>
<th>Lombok</th>
<th>other</th>
<th>Found in localities (Loc)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chimarra synthoffi ULMER, 1951</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chimarra thienemanni ULMER, 1951</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>P, S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chimarra xumappa MALICKY, IVANOV &amp; MELNITSKY, 2011</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.7:1m, 2f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chimarra yskai MALICKY, 1989</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gunungiella aguha MELNITSKY &amp; IVANOV, 2010</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.1:7m, 1f; Loc.3:1m</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gunungiella britomartis MALICKY, 1998</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gunungiella kalippo MALICKY, 2004</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gunungiella reducta ULMER, 1913</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chimarra sythoffi ULMER, 1951</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>P, S, S, Wd</td>
<td>Loc.1:3m, 6f; Loc.3:2f; Loc.4:3f; Loc.7:5m, 3f</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chimarra thienemanni ULMER, 1951</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chimarra xumappa MALICKY, IVANOV &amp; MELNITSKY, 2011</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.7:1m, 2f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chimarra yskai MALICKY, 1989</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gunungiella aguha MELNITSKY &amp; IVANOV, 2010</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.1:7m, 1f; Loc.3:1m</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gunungiella britomartis MALICKY, 1998</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gunungiella kalippo MALICKY, 2004</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gunungiella reducta ULMER, 1913</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POLYCENTROPIDAE ULMER, 1903</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyplectropus gedehensis ULMER, 1951</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyplectropus jahzeel MALICKY &amp; MEY, 2011</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyplectropus javanicus ULMER, 1905</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyplectropus kristyantoi MALICKY, 1998</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nyciophylax baydeom MALICKY, IVANOV &amp; MELNITSKY, 2011</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.9:1m</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecnomidae ULMER, 1903</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecnomus anakagung MALICKY, 1995</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.10:1m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecnomus jethef MALICKY, IVANOV &amp; MELNITSKY, 2011</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecnomus obtusus ULMER, 1910</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecnomus quordaio MALICKY, 1993</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>S, P</td>
<td>Loc.8:2m, (1f)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecnomus robustor ULMER, 1951</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>P, S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecnomus serratus ULMER, 1930</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecnomus tjurupensis ULMER, 1951</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudoneureclipsis ramosa ULMER, 1913</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>P, S, Wd</td>
<td>Loc.1:3m, 6f; Loc.3:2f; Loc.4:3f; Loc.7:5m, 3f</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecnomidae ULMER, 1903</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecnomus anakagung MALICKY, 1995</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.10:1m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecnomus jethef MALICKY, IVANOV &amp; MELNITSKY, 2011</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecnomus obtusus ULMER, 1910</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecnomus quordaio MALICKY, 1993</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>S, P</td>
<td>Loc.8:2m, (1f)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecnomus robustor ULMER, 1951</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>P, S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecnomus serratus ULMER, 1930</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecnomus tjurupensis ULMER, 1951</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudoneureclipsis ramosa ULMER, 1913</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>P, S, Wd</td>
<td>Loc.1:3m, 6f; Loc.3:2f; Loc.4:3f; Loc.7:5m, 3f</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychomyiidae Walker, 1852</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paduniella kalamos MALICKY, 2004</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Loc.1:27m, (23f); Loc.2:1m; Loc.3:9m, (1f); Loc.4:15m; Loc.7:9m, (4f); Loc.9:1m, (10f)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paduniella koehleri MALICKY, 1995</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paduniella semanagensis ULMER, 1913</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>S, P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paduniella trichobogiella MALICKY, IVANOV &amp; MELNITSKY, 2011</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.4:3m</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychomyia anaksusuan MALICKY, 1995</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychomyia capillata ULMER, 1910</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>P, S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychomyia feuerborni ULMER, 1951</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Loc.1:1m; Loc.4:4m; Loc.6:16m, 16f</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychomyia kalais MALICKY, 2004</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.9:7m; Loc.10:5m, (3f)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychomyia monto MALICKY &amp; CHANTARAMONGKOL, 1993</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychomyia thienemanni ULMER, 1951</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>P, S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tinodes dedan MALICKY, 2009</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tinodes flavopunctatus ULMER, 1910</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Loc.1:27m, (23f); Loc.2:1m; Loc.3:9m, (1f); Loc.4:15m; Loc.7:9m, (4f); Loc.9:1m, (10f)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tinodes halaauwi MALICKY, 1998</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tinodes karusus MALICKY, 2004</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tinodes kawiensis MALICKY, 1995</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.1:16m; Loc.3:1m; Loc.6:1m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tinodes kepheus MALICKY, 2004</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tinodes luhuensis ULMER, 1951</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.1:47m, (9f); Loc.4:20m, (46f)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tinodes mataram MALICKY, IVANOV &amp; MELNITSKY, 2011</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.1:52m; Loc.2:1m</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tinodes methusael MALICKY, IVANOV &amp; MELNITSKY, 2011</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.1:1m; Loc.4:2m</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tinodes moah MALICKY, IVANOV &amp; MELNITSKY, 2011</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.10:1m</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tinodes noah MALICKY, IVANOV &amp; MELNITSKY, 2011</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.10:1m</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tinodes prihatmoi MALICKY, 1998</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tinodes saul MALICKY, IVANOV &amp; MELNITSKY, 2011</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.8:5m; Loc.10:3m</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tinodes simeon MALICKY, IVANOV &amp; MELNITSKY, 2011</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.9:5m, (7f)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tinodes tegerning MALICKY, 1995</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tinodes timotii MALICKY, 1998</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tinodes zibeon MALICKY, IVANOV &amp; MELNITSKY, 2011</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trasopsycha weiligun MALICKY, 2004</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxa/family, species</td>
<td>Java</td>
<td>Bali</td>
<td>Lombok</td>
<td>other</td>
<td>Found in localities (Loc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>XIPHOCENTRONIDAE Ross, 1949</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melanotrichia samaconius MALICKY &amp; CHANTARAMONGKOL, 1992</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>Loc.6:11m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HYDROPSYCHIDAE Curtis, 1835</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diplectrona aspersa ULMER, 1905</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diplectrona aurivittata ULMER, 1906</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>P, S</td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.1:5m, 2f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diplectrona extrema BANKS, 1920</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>K, S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diplectrona jacobsi ULMER, 1909</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diplectrona javinia MALICKY, 2002</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diplectrona pseudofasciata ULMER, 1909</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>B, S, P, Wd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diplectrona salakensis ULMER, 1951</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oestropsyche vitrina HAGEN, 1859</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polymorphanis nigricornis WALKER, 1852</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.8:16m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polymorphanis oculus ULMER, 1906</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>B, S, Wd</td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.4:5f; Loc.5:1m, 22f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polymorphanis scutellatus BANKS, 1939</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>B, S, Wd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Macrostemum fastosum WALKER, 1852</strong></td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.7:2f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macrostemum fenestrum ALBARDA, 1887</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>B, P, S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Amphipsyche meridiana ULMER, 1909</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphipsyche petiolata ULMER, 1930</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.1:1m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hydromanicus dilatatus BETTEN, 1909</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydromanicus flavoguttatus ALBARDA, 1881</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hydromanicus irratus BRAUER, 1865</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hydromanicus ornatus ULMER, 1951</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hydromanicus unicolor ULMER, 1951</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potamyia aureipennis ULMER, 1930</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Loc.8:16m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potamyia dentifera ULMER, 1930</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>B, P, Wd</td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.4:5f; Loc.5:1m, 22f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potamyia flavata BANKS, 1934</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>P, S</td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.6:2f; Loc.7:8m, (40f)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheumatopsyche brevis ULMER, 1930</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.1:2f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheumatopsyche concava ULMER, 1930</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Loc.8:16m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheumatopsyche contexta ULMER, 1951</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>B, S</td>
<td>Loc.1:8m; (22f); Loc.4:3m, (6f)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheumatopsyche dodan MALICKY &amp; MEY, 2009</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.1:1m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheumatopsyche globosa ULMER, 1910</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>B, S, P</td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.6:1m; Loc.8:26m, (numerous f); Loc.9:1m, (12f); Loc.10:2m, (4f)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheumatopsyche kebumena MALICKY, 1997</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.1:8m, (22f); Loc.4:3m, (6f)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheumatopsyche kraepelini ULMER, 1905</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.1:1m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheumatopsyche lucida ULMER, 1907</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>B, P, S, Wd</td>
<td>Loc.4:1m, (6f); Loc.5:7m, (25f); Loc.8:23m, (28f)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheumatopsyche misma MALICKY, IVANOV &amp; MELNITSKY, 2011</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.1:2f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheumatopsyche sindanggala MALICKY, IVANOV &amp; MELNITSKY, 2011</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.1:8m, (22f); Loc.4:3m, (6f)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydropsyche annullata ULMER, 1905</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.6:1m; Loc.8:26m, (numerous f); Loc.9:1m, (12f); Loc.10:2m, (4f)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydropsyche bryanti BANKS, 1939</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.1:8m, (20f); Loc.3:3m, (4f)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hydropsyche didyma MEY, 1999</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydropsyche doctersi ULMER, 1951</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydropsyche irroratella ULMER, 1951</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>B?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydropsyche javanica ULMER, 1905</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydropsyche kottos MALICKY, 2004</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydropsyche mesieh MALICKY, IVANOV &amp; MELNITSKY, 2011</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.7:4m, (4f)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydropsyche renshi MEY, 1999</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Loc.1:1m; Loc.4:3m, (3f); Loc.6:1m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydropsyche saranganica ULMER, 1951</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>S, Wd</td>
<td>Loc.1:1m; Loc.4:2m; Loc.6:4m, (11f)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydropsyche sasakorum MALICKY, IVANOV &amp; MELNITSKY, 2011</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.1:6m, (3f); Loc.3:4m, (5f); Loc.4:1m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydropsyche sinear MALICKY, IVANOV &amp; MELNITSKY, 2011</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.3:1m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxa/family, species</td>
<td>Java</td>
<td>Bali</td>
<td>Lombok</td>
<td>other</td>
<td>Found in localities (Loc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydropsyche staphylostirpis MEY, 1998</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LEPIDOSTOMATIDAE Ulmer, 1903</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lepidostoma brevior ULMER, 1913</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.7:1m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lepidostoma conjunctum BANKS, 1934</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>B, S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lepidostoma dishii WEAVER, 1989</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.1.8m, 3f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lepidostoma jacobsoni ULMER, 1910</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lepidostoma kephalos MALICKY, 2004</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lepidostoma lambokensis MALICKY, IVANOV &amp; MELNITSKY, 2011</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.1:1m, (1f)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lepidostoma picea ULMER, 1913</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.7:2m, 2f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BRACHYCENTRIDAE Ulmer, 1903</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micrasema ripat MALICKY, IVANOV &amp; MELNITSKY, 2011</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.10:1m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GOERIDAE Ulmer, 1903</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goera conclusa ULMER, 1905</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.1:3m, 1f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goera pugnio ULMER, 1951</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>S?</td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.6:1m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goera ranauana ULMER, 1951</td>
<td>?</td>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GASTROCENTRIDAE Ulmer, 1930</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastroceres sumatranus ULMER, 1930</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>S, P, Wd</td>
<td>Loc.4:1f; Loc.7:2m, 3f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HELICOPSYCHIDAE Ulmer, 1906</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helicopsyche lata ULMER, 1951</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>S, P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LEPTOCERIDAE Leach, 1815</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adicella byblis MALICKY, 1998</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.1:14m, 9f; Loc.4:2m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adicella evadne SCHMID, 1994</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>S, P, Wd</td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.4:4m; Loc.7:1m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adicella kanake MALICKY &amp; CHANTARAMONGKOL, 2002</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>S, P</td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.1:3m, (5f)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adicella pulcherina ULMER, 1906</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adicella oviformis ULMER, 1951</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptocerus ciconiae MALICKY, 1993</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>S, P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Setodes karriyi ULMER, 1930</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.1:1m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Setodes klakahanus ULMER, 1951</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.4:1m; Loc.5:1m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Setodes larentia MALICKY &amp; CHANTARAMONGKOL, 2006</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.4:2m, (4f); Loc.7:1m, (5f)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Setodes nauplios MALICKY &amp; CHANTARAMONGKOL, 2006</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Setodes uncinatus ULMER, 1913</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichosetodes handschini ULMER, 1915</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.8:11m, (6f); Loc.9:2m, (5f)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oecetis karriyi MALICKY, 2009</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oecetis kyparissos MALICKY, 2005</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.4:2m, 9f; Loc.5:1m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oecetis pelops MALICKY, 2006</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.3(1f); Loc.7(16f)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oecetis singularis ULMER, 1930</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oecetis spatula CHEN &amp; MORSE, 1991</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>S, P, Wd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oecetis triplunctata FABRICIUS, 1793</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>B, P, S, Wd</td>
<td>Loc.4:1m, 6f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tagalopsyche brunnea ULMER, 1905</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>B, S, P</td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.4:1m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triaenodes pelias MALICKY, 2005</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parasetodes respersella RAMBUR, 1842</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>B, P, S, Wd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triplectides indica WALKER, 1852</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>S, P, Wd</td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.4:5f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CALAMOCERATIDAE Ulmer, 1905</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anisocentropus flavomarginatus ULMER, 1906</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>B, S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anisocentropus handschini ULMER, 1951</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anisocentropus ulmeri MALICKY, 1998</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ganonema fuscipenne ALBARDA, 1881</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>P, S</td>
<td></td>
<td>Loc.1:1f; Loc.4:1f; Loc.6:3m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ODONTOCERIDAE Wallengren, 1891</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manilia javana ULMER, 1951</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manilia sumatrena ULMER, 1951</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total: 202 species</strong></td>
<td>146</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>61</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Results and discussion

Table 1 gives the complete list of specimens with data on the sample localities and the distribution outside the studied region. The maps (Figs 2–4) show the position of the localities. In Table 2 a survey is given on genera and families of all Trichoptera identified to species level known from the three islands in comparison to the better studied neighboring regions. Many specimens, mainly females, could not be identified to species. Two species require additional remarks. The types of the microcaddisflies *Plethus acutus* Ulmer and *P. cruciatus* Ulmer have been studied by one of us (H. Malicky) in the Hamburg museum. The type material shows no difference between these species hence we omit one of them (*P. acutus*) in our counts as probable junior synonym. The genus *Marilia* (Odontoceridae) is insufficiently studied in the region. Two species are known from Java (Table 1), but have not been collected on Lombok and Bali. The taxonomy of this genus suffers from the absence of good characters for identification. We do not include this family and genus in Table 2 because the count of species in Asian mainland (Thailand and Malaysia) and Sumatra would not provide good results until this genus has been revised. All data on total species counts are considered here as preliminary. Any further collection is expected to provide more species new for science as well as new regional records.

Table 2. Synopsis of the number of species in Thailand, Sumatra, Java, Bali, and Lombok (except Odontoceridae: see text)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taxa/family, genus</th>
<th>Thailand</th>
<th>Sumatra</th>
<th>Java</th>
<th>Bali</th>
<th>Lombok</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RHYACOPHILIDAE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Rhyacophila</em></td>
<td>37</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GLOSSOSOMATIDAE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Glossosoma</em></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HYDROPTILIDAE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hydropila</em></td>
<td>33</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Microptila</em></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tricholeucton</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Oxyethira</em></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ugandatrichia</em></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Orthotrichia</em></td>
<td>32</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Saranganotrichia</em></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Scelotrichia</em></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PHILOPOTAMIDAE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Chimarra</em></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Gunungiella</em></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>STENOPSYCHIDAE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Stenopsyche</em></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>POLYCENTROPIDAE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Polyplectropus</em></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Nyctiophylax</em></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ECNOMIDAE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ecnomus</em></td>
<td>51</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pseudoneureclipsis</em></td>
<td>41</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PSYCHOMYIIDAE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Paduniella</em></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Psychomyia</em></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tinodes</em></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Trawaspsyche</em></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>XIPHOCENTRONIDAE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Melanotrichia</em></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HYDROPSCIDAE</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Diplectrona</em></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Geistopsyche</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Polyphamphius</em></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Macrostemum</em></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Amphipsyche</em></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The caddisfly faunas of the three islands correspond quite well. It is evident from the Tables 1 and 2 that, according to our present knowledge, the fauna of caddisflies known for the larger and more diverse Java Island is more comparable to faunas of small islands of the Lesser Sunda Archipelago in numbers of species: the total number of species found on Java (146) exceeds the fauna of Bali 2 times (73) and has 2.4 times more species than small Lombok Island (61). The number and proportion of species on Java is probably much greater than this, but the small number is probably caused by a relatively poor exploration of Java compared to the two islands. The species shared by these faunas are counted as 43 species found on both Java and Bali, and 27 found on both Java and Lombok. The similar counts give 30 species occurring on both Bali and Lombok, and 70 on Java and Sumatra. The total number of caddisfly species known on the three islands is 202. However, one could expect that some of these species will also be found later on the adjoining islands in more intensive collection. Hence, the calculation of the similarity of the faunas is left for the future when more data will be available on the fauna of eastern Java and on the species emerging in dry season (May to September) on Lombok.

Surprises are also possible when species described from far distant regions will be found. For example, Adicella kanake Malicky & Chantaramongkol and Hydropsyche rumpun Wells & Huisman found on Lombok were known previously from Sumatra, Peninsular Malaysia and Thailand, but were never collected on Java and Bali. The microcaddisfly Scelotrichia jari Wells & Huisman from Lombok lives on Borneo (or Kalimantan Island on some maps) and Peninsular Malaysia but was not found on Java and Bali. Similarly, Padaniella dendrobia Malicky & Chantaramongkol was described from high altitude of Doi Inthanon in northern Thailand and Phoupanpsyche caroli Malicky was described from the mountains of Laos, but both were later found in the higher elevations of Mount Kinabalu on Borneo, several thousands of kilometers away. There are some instances of species like Hydropsyche renschi Mey and Lepidostoma diehl (Weaver) found on both Lombok and Bali but not on Java Island.

Endemics of generic or even more-inclusive categories may scarcely exist on these islands. The genus Trawaspsyche with the single species T. weilgunii Malicky, which is an extremely derived form in relationship with Tinodes, is known only from Java. Similar cases are Temburongpsyche on Borneo and Padangpsyche and Edidiehlia on Sumatra. Neither an evolution center nor numerous centers can be recognized on the three islands. A relatively high number of Tinodes species may mean a rather active and recent regional speciation process.

Continuous impoverishment of the Asiatic mainland faunas in the direction of the Lesser Sunda Islands is obvious (Table 2). In Thailand, over 1000 species are presently known (Chantaramongkol et al. 2010); in Sumatra, an island of about the same size, there are about 350 species. In Java we know 146, in Bali 73, and in Lombok 61 species (Malicky 2010 and this paper). Besides the decrease of the total number of species towards the southeast, one can see a notable decrease in the number

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taxa/family, genus</th>
<th>Thailand</th>
<th>Sumatra</th>
<th>Java</th>
<th>Bali</th>
<th>Lombok</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hydromanicus</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potamyia</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheumatopsyche</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydropsyche</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEPIDOSTOMATIDAE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lepidostoma</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRACHYCENTRIDAE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micrasema</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOERIDAE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goera</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HELICOPSYCHIDAE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helicopsyche</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEPTOCERIDAE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adicella</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptocerus</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Setodes</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichosetodes</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oecetis</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tagalopsyche</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parasetodes</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triplectides</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CALAMOCERATIDAE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anisocentropus</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ganonema</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
of genera or families, e.g., Macronematinae, and Odon-toceridae. The example of Macronematinae is especially remarkable because these strong flyers are present in New Guinea and Australia.

Collecting intensity plays a major role in the knowledge of a fauna. Of Thailand and (northern) Sumatra it is well known, of Western Java and Bali it is relatively well known, too. For Lombok, the results of the first visit are being presented here. Our experience shows that the first intensive collections in an unknown area may yield about 75% unknown species, followed by the second visit results constituting about 20%, while later the percentage of the new species decreases dramatically. We conclude that each of Bali and Lombok may have less than 100 species in total. Such a dramatic decrease of the species numbers from the continent to the islands is not a result of poor collections. Instead it is caused by the island impoverishment and remote position from the continent. The number of caddisfly species in an area of similar size in Thailand is three times larger than in Sumatra. Supposed extreme faunal richness of rainforests does not apply to the aquatic insects, like caddisflies, of this region. To compare with extratropical Central and Southern Europe: about 300 (Austria, Switzerland, Germany, European Russia) to 400 species (Italy, Turkey) are known from these countries.

The well-known Wallace’s line which runs between Borneo and Bali on one side and Sulawesi and Lombok on the other side (Fig. 1) separates the Asiatic fauna from a mixed area. The latter is bounded from the East by the Lydekker line which runs between the Moluccas on one side and Australia and New Guinea on the other side. This means that Lombok belongs, according to this hypothesis, to the mixed area. According to the floras of this region, Lombok and surrounding islands are also separated (Van Welzen et al. 2011).

It is obvious that there is not an absolute border and the division is rather based on the deep sea waters between Bali and Lombok which remained deep even during the Pleistocene glaciations when the sea level was much lower (de Lattin 1967; Bănărescu 1995). Our data clearly show that there is no faunal gap between Bali and Lombok, as the faunas of these two islands are more or less the same (Tables 1, 2). Some families of Trichoptera have specific distribution patterns including both Asia and Australia (Mey 2001), and the northern part of Australia is faunistically similar to Asia (de Moor and Ivanov 2008). Some families of Asian origin migrated to Australia in the past and the Sunda Islands were probably the bridge for this migration.

Which Trichoptera species or groups may be considered to be the elements of Asiatic or Australian origin? Many families and genera have wide areas in the region, overlapping the borders of the continents (Chimarra, Hydropsyche, Cheumatopsyche, Oecetis). Some groups are important members of the Asiatic fauna, though they may be represented by very few species in Australia or New Guinea (Agapetus, Tinodes). On the other hand, very few groups typical for the Australian fauna are present in Asia, and the species numbers are low in each of these instances. The Australian Trichoptera have been studied for a long time with comprehensive data summarized by Nebois (1986). Hydrobiosidae, a family rich in genera and species in Australia, is represented in Asia only by the genus Apsilochorema of which one species goes as far west as Iran, and relatively few species live on the mainland and on Sumatra and Borneo; none of them were found

---

**Figures 2–4.** Recent collection sites cited as localities 1–10 in the text for Lombok (Figure 2) Bali (Figure 3), and Western Java (Figure 4) Islands.
from Java to Lombok. The distribution of Hydrobiosidae emphasizes the separation of the Australian faunas from those of New Guinea and the Malay Archipelago. The Hydrobiosidae of New Guinea are represented by only 2 genera, *Apsilochoorema* and related *Tanorius*, with 10 and 12 species, respectively. This family has numerous genera and species in Australia (62 sp.) and New Zealand (104 sp.), widespread in their non-tropical areas, and only 4 species of *Apsilochoorema* are known from tropical north-east mountain ranges. The family Hydrobiosidae is known from the Mesozoic Taimyr ambers and the fossil beds of Transbaikalia and Mongolia (Botosaneanu and Wichard 1983; Ivanov and Sukatsheva 2002). Thus the presence of this family mostly on the south continents is a result of secondary proliferation of relics extinct in other regions (Eskov 1984). Similarly, the subfamily Triplectidinidae is represented in the Eocene ambers of Europe (Ulmer 1912; Melnitsky and Ivanov 2010) by a few species related to the extant South Asian members of this subfamily. They are secondarily speciated in Australia though in Asia they are represented by only a few species of *Triplectides* and *Symphitoneuria* on the islands of Sumba, Sulawesi and Borneo, including one widespread species, found as far west as Pakistan and occurring also on Java, Bali and Lombok. Both groups, Hydrobiosidae and *Triplectides*, are incorrectly supposed to be of Gondwanian origin by Bănărescu (1990); this hypothesis is based on the recent (Holocene) faunistic data on their distribution and neglects the contradicting fossil data. Indeed the Baltic and Rovno Amber (Paleogene) and Taimyr Amber (Cretaceous) faunas were formed in times when no direct connection to Gondwana existed; the long lost links of Europe to the southern continents had been reestablished only in Oligocene after the times of amber deposition (Blakey 2008). Hence the fossil evidence from these ambers disproves the southern origin of the taxa in question. Thus it is self-evident that the caddisfly fauna of the western Sunda Islands including Lombok is predominantly of Asiatic origin, and influences from the Australian fauna were not found.

It is of highest zoogeographical interest to collect representative samples from the other Sunda Islands east of Lombok, and from the Moluccas. One may wonder that no such material is available in museums despite the intensive collecting activity of many other entomologists. The results of the faunal studies in Wallacea are also of high conservation importance. They can be used to steer both current and future efforts that try to preserve endemic flora and fauna of this uniquely diverse part of the biosphere.

**Conclusions**

The caddisfly fauna of the islands of Java, Bali and Lombok is largely homogenous, and there is no gap or border between Bali and Lombok which would be expected from the hypothesis of Wallace’s line. A continuous impoverishment of the caddisfly fauna from the Asiatic continent over the chain of islands is striking. This gradual impoverishment is observed in species number as well as in the numbers of genera or families. The Trichoptera fauna of the three islands is entirely Asiatic. There is no influence from the Australian fauna. The caddisflies of the Sunda Islands east of Lombok as well as those of the Moluccas are unknown, and their study is much required.
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Abstract

*Melucha grandicula* sp. n. and *M. perampla* sp. n., (Hemiptera: Heteroptera: Coreidae: Coreinae: Nematopodini) are described from Bolivia, Colombia, Paraguay and Peru. *Melucha quadrivittis* Stål, 1862, **stat. restit.**, is resurrected from synonymy under *M. phyllocnemis* (Burmeister, 1835). *Melucha ruficornis* Breddin, 1903, **syn. n.**, is synonymized under *M. lineatella* (Fabricius, 1803). An identification key for the known species of *Melucha* Amyot & Serville, 1843 is given. New distributional records for some previously known species are added.
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Introduction

The genus *Melucha* Amyot & Serville, 1843, is distributed in Mexico, Central America (Guatemala, Costa Rica) and South America (Colombia, French Guiana, Brazil, Paraguay, Bolivia, Peru). It belongs to the tribe Nematopodini of the family Coreidae and is characterized by having: postocular tubercles not evident, forming a smooth curve with eye; antenniferous tubercles wide, not projecting far anteriorly; tylosus projecting anteriorly of antenniferous tubercle; antennal segment I longer than length of head, thicker than following segments and curved; segment II cylindrical, III cylindrical or dilated, and IV fusiform; anterolateral and posterolateral borders of pronotal disk smooth or conspicuously nodulose and spinate; mesosternum lacking sulcation; and abdominal spiracles rather large, transversely elliptical, situated nearer to anterior than to lateral margins (O’Shea 1980). The genus currently includes 11 species considered as valid: *M. aculeata* Montandon, 1895, *M. acutispina* Breddin, 1903, *M. bicolor* Distant, 1892, *M. biolleyi* Distant, 1900, *M. chapadana* Brailovsky, 1993, *M. dilatata* (Fabricius, 1798), *M. gladiator* (Fabricius, 1803), *M. lineatella* (Fabricius, 1803), *M. phyllocnemis* (Bur-
meister, 1835), M. quinquelineata Stål, 1865, and M. ruficornis Breddin, 1903 (Braillovsky 1993, Packauskas 2010). In this contribution, M. quadrivittis Stål, 1862, is resurrected from synonymy under M. phyllocnemis and considered a valid species; M. ruficornis Breddin, 1903 is synonymized under M. lineatella (Fabricius). Two new species, M. grandiculata sp. n. and M. perampla sp. n., are described from Bolivia, Colombia, Paraguay and Peru. New distributional records for M. phyllocnemis and M. quadrivittis are added. With these additions and changes the number of known species of Melucha is fixed in 13. A key to separate all the species of the genus is presented.

Material and methods

The following collection acronyms are used for the institutions cited in this paper:
AMNH American Museum of Natural History, New York, USA;
CDFA California State Collection of Arthropods, Sacramento, California, USA;
DEIC Deutsches Entomologisches Institut, Münchenberg, Germany;
INBio Instituto Nacional de Biodiversidad, Santo Domingo de Heredia, Costa Rica;
MABR Museo Argentino de Ciencias Naturales “Bernardino Rivadavia”, Buenos Aires, Argentina;
MELN Museo Entomologico, Leon, Nicaragua;
NHMW Naturhistorisches Museum, Wien, Austria;
NHRS Naturhistoriska Riksmuseet, Stockholm, Sweden;
TAMU Texas A & M University, College Station, Texas, USA;
UGAG University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia, USA;
UMSP University of Minnesota, Insect Collection, USA;
UNAM Instituto de Biología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México;
USNM Smithsonian Institution, National Museum of Natural History, Washington, DC, USA;
ZMUH Zoologisches Museum, Universität Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany.

All measurements are given in millimeters.

Results

Melucha grandiculata sp. n.

http://zoobank.org/3A82C0B5-2929-44C9-A97B-F5846317BFEC
http://species-id.net/wiki/Melucha_grandiculata
Fig. 11.

Type material. Holotype, female, Peru, Loreto, Headwaters, Rio Loreto-Yacu, Indian Vill., 21-IV-1-V-1970, Malkin (AMNH). Paratypes, 1 female, Colombia, Meta, El Buque, silv., 1200 m, 18-II-1947 (NHMW); 1 female, Colombia, without date (UNAM).

Description (female, holotype). Dorsal color. Head and antennal segments I to III shiny orange, segment IV pale yellowish orange; pronotum coarsely punctate, strongly striate, reddish brown, with a single, longitudinal, median dark line, diffuse, with pigment in the punctures; scutellum reddish brown with basal third, lateral borders and apex shiny orange; clavus and corium finely punctate, reddish brown, moderately infuscate; hemelytra membrane amber, translucent, veins darker; connexival segments reddish brown with posterior spines black; dorsal abdominal segments dark orange with irregular dark marks.

Ventral color. Rostral segments (apex of IV dark brown), legs, and anterior and posterior lobe of metathoracic scent gland peritreme shiny to dull orange; pro- and mesopleura coarsely punctate with black elongate mark; hind femur dull orange with dorsal and ventral tubercles and spines black; hind tibiae dark orange with posterior third yellowish orange; middle and hind tarsus yellowish orange.

Structure. Body large-sized (above 22.00 mm), robust, almost glabrous.

Head. Antennal segment III cylindrical; rostrum reaching anterior margin of mesosternum.

Thorax. Pronotal disk declivent; anterolateral borders obliquely straight, nodulose, armed with five or six subacute spines; humeral angles prominent, laterally expanded, apically sharply pointed; posterolateral borders almost straight, nodulose, with three or four subacute spines; posterior border smooth, straight; callar region evident.

Legs. Fore and middle femora not incrassate; dorsal surface smooth, ventral surface distally armed; hind femur incrassate its dorsal surface with two rows of tubercles, ventral surface armed with two rows of spines increasing in size distally; fore and middle tibiae sub-cylindrical, unarmed, sulcate; inner and outer surfaces of hind tibiae markedly dilated; outer surface smooth, inner surface with short tubercles on the border.

Scutellum. Triangular, wider than long, transversely striate and punctate; apex subacute.

Abdomen. Posterior angle of abdominal segments III to VI armed with short but distinct spine.

Male. Unknown.

Measurements (holotype; mm). Total body length 27.50. Head length 2.28; width across eyes 2.98; interocular space 1.74; interocellar space 0.92; preocular distance 1.61; length of antennal segments: I, 6.15; II, 4.25; III, 3.80; IV, 7.60. Pronotal length 6.53; width across humeral angles 11.78. Scutellar length 3.19; width 3.57. Maximum width of abdomen 9.70.

Differential diagnosis. This species is closely related to M. phyllocnemis and M. quadrivittis, which are also robust and larger than 23.00 mm; the other species in the genus are slender and shorter than 20.00 mm. Melucha grandiculata sp. n. is distinguished by having a single median dark line on the pronotal disk, the humeral angles prominent, laterally expanded, and apically sharply pointed (Fig. 11) and connexival segment VII en-
tirely dark orange. In the other two species the pronotal disk is yellow and has four longitudinal dark lines and the midline unpigmented, the humeral angles prominent, laterally expanded, and apically blunt (Figs. 8, 9) and connexival segment VII is yellow, with posterior half or posterior third dark brown.

**Etymology.** Named for the comparatively large size of this species, after the Latin adjective “grandiculus, -a, -um” meaning rather large.

**Distribution.** Known from Colombia and Peru.

**Melucha perampla** sp. n.

http://zoobank.org/7FF2B7CC-BBA3-48A7-A251-894AE1C92AE8

http://species-id.net/wiki/Melucha_perampla

Fig. 12.


**Description** (female, holotype). **Dorsal color.** Head yellow; preoccipital area and two short, diffuse stripes laterally to midline pale brown; antennal segments I to III black, IV yellow; pronotum finely punctate, yellow, pronotal disk with four longitudinal dark brown lines with pigment in the punctures and midline unpigmented; anterolateral spines of pronotum reddish brown; scutellar disk yellow with punctures reddish brown; clavus and corium dark yellow with punctures reddish brown; hemelytral membrane dark amber, veins brown and darker; connexival segments III to V dark brown with anterior third yellow, VI–VII with anterior half yellow and posterior half dark brown, and VIII–IX yellowish orange; upper border of connexivum anteriorly yellow and posteriorly black; postalar and mesosternal spines black; dorsal abdominal segments dark yellow with irregular dark brown marks.

**Ventral color.** Rostral segments (apex of IV dark brown), legs, anterior and posterior lobe of metathoracic scent gland peritreme and abdominal sterna yellow; hind femur yellow with ventral spines and apical border reddish brown to black; hind tibiae yellowish orange, posterior third yellow with dark reddish brown transverse mark near posterior third.

**Structure.** Body large-sized (above 22.00 mm), robust, almost glabrous.

**Head.** Antennal segment III dilated; rostrum reaching anterior margin of mesosternum.

**Thorax.** Pronotal disk declivent; anterolateral borders obliquely straight, armed with 15 or more acute spines; humeral angles prominent, laterally expanded and apically blunt; postalar and mesosternal spines nodulose with three or four subacute spines; posterior border smooth, straight; callar region evident.

**Legs.** Fore and middle femora not incrassate their dorsal surface smooth, ventral surface distally armed; hind femur incrassate its dorsal surface smooth, ventral surface armed with two rows of spines increasing in size distally; fore and middle tibiae sub-cylindrical, unarmed, sulcate; inner and outer surface of hind tibiae markedly dilated; outer surface smooth, inner surface with short tubercles on the border.

**Scutellum.** Triangular, longer than wide, transversely striate, punctate; apex subacute.

**Abdomen.** Posterior angle of abdominal segments III to VII armed with short but distinct spine.

**Measurements** (holotype; mm). Total body length 25.75. Head length 1.90; width across eyes 2.59; interocular space 1.55; interocular space 0.77; preocular distance 1.38; length of antennal segments: I, 5.77; II, 4.10; III, 4.25; IV, 6.84. Pronotal length 5.74; width across humeral angles 8.76. Scutellar length 3.66; width 3.37. Maximum width of abdomen 9.73.

**Differential diagnosis.** Like *M. phyllocnemis* and *M. quadrivittis*, the humeral angles of *M. perampla* sp.nov., are prominent, laterally expanded and apically blunt; the pronotal disk has four longitudinal dark brown lines with the midline unpigmented; total body length over 20 mm. *Melucha perampla* differs by having the antennal segments I to III black, antennal segment III clearly dilated at inner and outer faces and mesopleura and metapleura without black marks. In the other two species the antennal segments I to III are yellowish orange, antennal segment III is almost cylindrical, not dilated and mesopleura and metapleura have reddish brown to black elongate marks. The dorsal surface of the hind femur of *M. quadrivittis* is armed with two rows of black nodules and tubercles (Fig. 8) while in *M. phyllocnemis* and *M. perampla* those are smooth (Figs. 9, 12).

**Etymology.** Named for the large size of this species, after the Latin adjective “peramplus, -a,-um”, meaning very large.

**Distribution.** Known from Bolivia and Paraguay.

**Melucha lineatella** (Fabricius, 1803)

http://species-id.net/wiki/Melucha_grandicula

Fig. 6.

**Lygaeus lineatellus** Fabricius, 1803: 216.


**Type material examined.** *Melucha ruficornis*: female, Bolivia, La Paz, Yungas (DEIC).

**Taxonomy.** *Melucha ruficornis* Breddin, 1903, was described based on a single female from Bolivia and here is considered a junior synonym of *M. lineatella* (Fabricius, 1803). The holotype of *M. ruficornis* was examined and compared with several specimens of *Melucha lineatella*. The features considered by Breddin as diagnostic characters like the total length of the body, the shape of the humeral angles, and the color of antennal segments, pronotal disk and hind femora are shared with *M. lineatella* and this reinforces the proposed synonymy.
Melucha phyllocnemis (Burmeister, 1835)
http://species-id.net/wiki/Melucha_phyllocnemis
Fig. 9.

Cerbus phyllocnemis Burmeister, 1835: 340.


Type material examined. Melucha quadrivittis: male (NHRS).

Distribution. Mexico, Oaxaca, Veracruz, Atoyac and Orizaba (Stål 1862, Walker 1871, Distant 1893, Packauskas 2010).


Taxonomy. Burmeister (1835) described M. phyllocnemis from Brazil, and Stål (1862) M. quadrivittis from Mexico. Dallas (1852) recorded M. phyllocnemis from Colombia and years later Stål (1870) synonymized M. quadrivittis under M. phyllocnemis and recorded this species from Mexico, Colombia (Nova Granada), Brazil and Paraguay. Packauskas (2010) summarized the known information and cited M. phyllocnemis s. lato from Bolivia, Brazil, Mexico, Colombia and Paraguay. The type material of M. quadrivittis was examined and compared with the original description of M. phyllocnemis, as well as with more than 110 specimens. Two different species were clearly recognized, therefore M. quadrivittis was resurrected here as valid species.
Both species share the following characters: total body length over 23.00 mm; anterolateral borders of pronotum coarsely serrate; pronotal disk yellow with four longitudinal dark lines; the humeral angles prominent, laterally expanded; and antennal segments II and III pale orange to yellowish orange.

In *M. quadrivittis* the dorsal surface of hind femur is armed with two rows of black nodules and tubercles; it is distributed from Mexico to Panama (Fig. 8). In *M. phyllocnemis* the dorsal surface of hind femur is smooth. It is distributed from Colombia to Paraguay, including Bolivia and Brazil (Fig. 9).

Key to the known species of *Melucha* Amyot & Serville, 1843

1 Anterolateral borders of pronotum very coarsely serrate; total length of body longer than 23.00 mm................................................................. 2
   - Anterolateral borders of pronotum smooth or finely serrate; total length of body shorter than 20.00 mm .................................................... 6
2 Antennal segments II and III dark reddish-brown to black ......................................................................................................................... 3
   - Antennal segments II and III pale orange to pale yellowish orange........................................................................................................ 4
3 Pronotal disk dark reddish-brown; dorsal surface of hind femora dark reddish-brown and ventral surface dull orange........................................ .............................. .............................. M. bicolor Distant, 1892
   - Pronotal disk yellow with four longitudinal dark brown lines; hind femora yellow with ventral spines black (Fig. 12).................................................. .............................. M. perampla sp. n.
4 Pronotal disk reddish-brown, with a single longitudinal median dark line; humeral angles of pronotum prominent, laterally expanded, and apically sharply pointed (Fig. 11) ........................................................................................................... M. grandicula sp. n.
   - Pronotal disk yellow with four longitudinal dark lines; humeral angles of pronotum prominent, laterally expanded, and apically blunt to nodulose................................................................................................................................. 5
5 Dorsal surface of hind femur armed with two rows of black nodules and tubercles (Fig. 8) ........................................ M. quadrivittis Stål, 1862
   - Dorsal surface of hind femur smooth (Fig. 9) ................................................................................................................................................ .............................. M. phyllocnemis (Burmeister, 1835)
6 Anterolateral borders of pronotum uniformly crenulate; humeral angles laterally expanded, apically sharply pointed.. 7
   - Anterolateral borders of pronotum smooth; humeral angles obtuse, not exposed, with or without short spine directed backward......................................................................................................................................................... 10
7 Antennal segments I to III entirely shiny orange; anterolateral borders of pronotum shiny orange (Fig. 7)................................................................. M. gladiator (Fabricius, 1803)
   - Antennal segments I to III predominantly or entirely black or dark reddish brown; anterolateral borders of pronotum black .......................................... 8
8 Antennal segment IV dark brown with basal third (except basal joint) yellow (Fig. 1) ........................................ M. aculeata Montandon, 1895
   - Antennal segment IV entirely dark brown to black ........................................................................................................................................ 9
9 Hemelytral membrane brassy with basal third black; antennal segment III bicolorous (Fig. 2) ........................................ M. biolleyi Distant, 1900
   - Hemelytral membrane uniformly brassy, without black spot basally; antennal segment III entirely black (Fig. 4) ........................................ M. acutispina Bredin, 1903
10 Antennal segment IV dark brown with basal third (except basal joint) yellow (Fig. 3) ........................................ M. dilatata (Fabricius, 1798)
   - Antennal segment IV entirely dark brown or dark reddish brown or shiny reddish orange ................................................................. .............................. 11
11 Antennal segments I to III shiny orange to shiny reddish brown; pronotal disk shiny yellow with three black to reddish-brown longitudinal stripes (Fig. 10)......................................................................................................................... M. quinquelineata Stål, 1865
   - Antennal segments I to III never shiny orange; pronotal disk yellow with one black to reddish brown longitudinal stripe running mesially........................................................................................................................................... 12
12 Antennal segments II and III reddish-brown with basal joint yellow (Fig. 5)......................................................................................................... M. chapadana Brailovsky, 1993
   - Antennal segments II and III entirely reddish-brown (Fig. 6)....................................................................................................................... M. lineatella (Fabricius, 1798)
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Abstract

This article describes one new species, *Sinophasma damingshanensis* sp. n. from Guangxi, China.

Key Words

Stick Insects
Necrosciini
Taxonomy
Damingshan
Mainland China
Oriental Region

Introduction

The genus *Sinophasma* Günther, 1940 is mainly distributed over China and Taiwan (Shiraki 1935; Otte and Brock 2005; Hennemann et al. 2008; Chen and He 2008; Ho 2012; Huang 2013). *S. vietnamense* Chen & Chen, 1999 from North Vietnam is the only species known from outside China and Taiwan. Currently twenty-six species and two subspecies are recognized in this Palaearctic and Oriental genus. The major revisionary work was provided by Chen and He (2008) and a revised key was provided by Ho (2012). The author recently conducted a collecting trip to Damingshan, located at the south-central Guangxi, China, and discovered a new species, *S. damingshanensis* sp. n., described and illustrated in this study.

Material and methods

The specimen was collected directly by hand at night due to their nocturnal behavior. A hand torch was used to spot them on the plants. The type specimens of *Sinophasma damingshanensis* sp. n. are deposited in Hong Kong Entomological Society (HKES). Morphological terms largely follow Bragg (2001) and Zompro (2004).

Results

*Sinophasma Günther, 1940*

http://species-id.net/wiki/Sinophasma

*Sinophasma Günther, 1940: 240.

Type species: *Sinophasma klapperichi* Günther, 1940, by original designation.
Notes: While only one species occurs in Vietnam, all other twenty-five species and two subspecies are endemic to China.

**Sinophasma damingshanensis Ho, sp. n.**
http://zoobank.org/1083CC13-A904-4D1F-81F2-BC6AE050F016
http://species-id.net/wiki/Sinophasma_damingshanensis

Figures 1–6

**Description:** Male. Medium-sized. General colour of body, wings and legs green. Body slender and slim.

Head green, with six blackish longitudinal stripes reaching hind margin of head. Smooth, lacking granulation. Oval, longer and broader than pronotum, slightly constricted behind eyes posteriorly. Vertex flat, with an oval and shallow depression between bases of antennae. Occiput convex, with distinct median furrow, lateral furrows indistinct. Compound eyes light brown, rounded and prominent, about 1.5 times length of genae. Antennae dark brown, densely covered with setae; filiform, longer than forelegs; first segment flattened at base, rectangular, parallel-sided, about 1.5 times length of second segment, shorter than third segment; second and third segments cylindrical.

Pronotum rectangular, transverse and longitudinal sulci crossing before middle, anterior margin curved inward, hind margin slightly rounded. Mesonotum densely granulated, elongate, more than 4 times length of genae. Antennae dark brown, densely covered with setae; filiform, longer than forelegs; first segment flattened at base, rectangular, parallel-sided, about 1.5 times length of second segment, shorter than third segment; second and third segments cylindrical.

Abdomen dorsally green with brownish markings, ventral surface yellowish green. Smooth. Cylindrical and slender. Second to six terga parallel-sided, roughly equal in length. Seventh tergum gently expanded posteriorly. Eighth tergum trapezoid, gradually expanded posteriorly. Ninth tergum elongate and swollen, the longest, distinctly constricted medially, hind margin deeply emarginated; posterolateral angles elongate distinctly, apices obtuse; lateral margins raised. Anal segment rectangular, with a small horn medially; with ninth tergum vertically, longer than eighth tergum, reaching hind margin of anal segment; hind margin with four small emarginations, lateral angles elongate tuberculately. Poculum cup-shaped, hind margin rounded and broad. Ceri long and straight, cylindrical, apices rounded, not surpassing end of anal segment.


Female. Similar to male, but distinctly larger and robust. General colour of body, wings and legs green. Body slender and cylindrical.

Head greenish brown to light brown, occiput with six blackish longitudinal stripes reaching hind margin of head. Smooth, lacking granulation. Oval, longer and broader than pronotum, slightly constricted behind eyes posteriorly. Vertex flat, with an oval and shallow depression between bases of antennae. Occiput convex, with distinct median furrow, lateral furrows indistinct. Compound eyes light brown, rounded and prominent, about 1.5 times length of genae. Antennae dark brown, densely covered with setae; filiform, longer than forelegs; first segment flattened at base, rectangular, parallel-sided, about 1.5 times length of second segment, shorter than third segment; second and third segments cylindrical.

Pronotum green, shorter than head, gently expanded posteriorly, with transverse and longitudinal sulci crossing before middle, anterior margin curved inward, hind margin truncate. Mesonotum green, densely covered with yellow and small granules; about 4 times length of pronotum, median line indistinct. Mesopleura, mesosternum, metapleura and metasternum densely granulated as in mesonotum. Metanotum smooth, longer than median segment.

Figures 1–2. Habitus images of *Sinophasma damingshanensis* sp. n. 1. Male, lateral view; 2. Female, lateral view.

Figures 3–6. *Sinophasma damingshanensis* sp. n. from Guangxi, China. 3. Male, terminal end of abdomen, lateral view; 4. Male, terminal end of abdomen, dorsal view; 5. Female, terminal end of abdomen, lateral view; 6. Female, terminal end of abdomen, dorsal view. [scale bar = 5 mm].

Tegmina brownish black, with light brown veins, elevated angle blunt, with a pale white longitudinal stripe being laterad of elevation; oval, longer than head, hind margin truncate. Alae green with dark brown longitudinal band, anal region dull rose; long, reaching middle of fifth tergum. Legs slender and long. Unarmed. Coxae buff brown. Profemora and protibiae rufous green. Mesofemora, metatibiae, metafemora and metatibiae green. Apices of femora and tibiae black. Tarsi rufous brown.

**Measurements.** Length (mm): Holotype: ♂, body length 52.0, antennae 41.0, head 3.0, pronotum 2.0, mesonotum 9.5, metanotum including median segment 7.5, profemora 14.0, mesofemora 10.0, metafemora 15.0, protibiae 12.0, mesotibiae 9.0, metatibiae 14.0. Paratypes: ♂, body length 51.0–52.0, antennae 34.0–36.0, head 3.0–3.5, pronotum 2.0–2.2, mesonotum 9.5, metanotum including median segment 7.0, profemora 12.5–13.0, mesofemora 9.0–10.0, metafemora 14.0, protibiae 11.0–12.0, mesotibiae 7.0–9.0, metatibiae 8.0–10.0, metafemora 14.0, protibiae 12.0–14.0, mesotibiae 9.0–12.0, mesotibiae 13.0–14.0, tegmina 2.5–3.0, alae 22.0–25.0. Paratypes: ♀, body length 59.0–73.0, antennae 29.0–43.0, head 4.0–5.0, pronotum 2.5–3.0, mesonotum 11.5–14.0, metanotum including median segment 7.0, profemora 11.0–15.0, mesofemora 8.0–10.0, metafemora 12.0–15.0, protibiae 9.0–14.0, mesotibiae 7.0–9.0, metatibiae 10.0–14.0, tegmina 3.5–4.5, alae 25.0–28.0.


**Etymology:** This species is named after the type locality, Damingshan.

**Distribution:** Guangxi, China.

**Notes:** This species is found in evergreen broadleaf forests.

**Differentiation:** Small *Sinophasma* species. This new species is separated from most species in the genus by its rectangular anal segment with a small horn medially in male and seventh sternum lacking preopercular organ in female. *S. damingshanensis* Ho, sp. n. [Guangxi, China] is related to *S. unispinosum* Chen & Chen, 1997 [Guangdong, China] but can be separated by its greenish colour, smaller size and slenderer body in both sexes; absence of preopercular organ and decurved subgenital plate in female; and posterolaterally tuberculate anal segment in male.
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Abstract

The present paper is a continuation of previous contributions describing fossil Aradus species from Baltic Amber inclusions (Heiss 1998, 2002, 2013). Aradus macrosomus sp. n. is a well-preserved large new species which is described and illustrated herein. It differs by a combination of characters from the known Eocene and extant Aradus taxa, documenting again the unexpected richness of species diversity preserved in Baltic Amber.
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Introduction

Baltic Amber, a fossilized tree resin found on or near the shores of the eastern Baltic Sea, represents the largest deposit of amber in the world. It is exceptionally rich in well-preserved inclusions of botanical and zoological objects, particularly arthropods. The origin, genesis, properties, age and the flora and fauna of Baltic Amber are largely discussed by Grimaldi (1996) and Weitschat and Wichard (1998).

Among the insect fauna, Heteroptera have attracted considerable attention and taxa from at least 3 aquatic and about 14 terrestrial bug families have been described since the first description by Germar and Berendt (1856; Weitschat and Wichard 2002, Grimaldi and Engel 2005).

Records of the flat bug family Aradidae are reported from the subfamilies Aneurinae (4 spp.: Heiss 1997, 2001, 2012), Calisiinae (6 spp.: Usinger 1941, Heiss 2000, 2002a), Mezirinae (1 sp.: Usinger 1941) and Aradiinae (only genus Aradus).

To date 14 species of the genus Aradus have been described from Baltic amber inclusions, sharing all essential characters (e.g., habitus, structure of body, hemelytra and antennae) of this widely distributed extant genus (A. assimilis; A. superstes; A. consimilis Germar & Berendt, 1856; A. frater Popov, 1978; A. frateroides and A. popovi Heiss, 1998; A. goellnerae and A. lativentris Heiss, 2002b; A. weitschati, A. kotashevichi, A. velteni, A. voigti, A. damzeni and A. balticus Heiss, 2002c).

Another species, A. grabenhorsti Heiss, 2013 was described based on a specimen from Bitterfelder Amber (Saxonia, Germany), which presumably is of the same Eocene age as Baltic Amber. Specimens from Baltic Amber agree in all essential characters which could be assigned to this taxon and were thus included as paratypes in the type series.
Material and methods

The Amber inclusion used in this study is preserved in the collection of the author at the Tiroler Landesmuseum, Innsbruck, Austria.

Photos were taken through an Olympus SZX 10 binocular microscope with Olympus E 3 digital camera and processed with Helicon Focus 4.3 software, using Adobe Photoshop and Lightroom 2.3.

Measurements were taken with a micrometer eyepiece, 20 units = 1 mm, and are given in millimetres, unless otherwise stated.

Taxonomy

Subfamily Aradinae Brullé, 1836
Genus Aradus Fabricius, 1803

Aradus macrosomus sp. n.

http://zoobank.org/6BC829E1-5481-4645-8CF5-C3396BEEC474
http://species-id.net/wiki/Aradus_macrosomus

Figs 1-4

Material examined. Holotype female in a honey-coloured transparent piece of Baltic Amber, which will be embedded in a block of epoxid resin (He-BB-Ar-40).

The specimen is dorsally and ventrally clearly visible, without a frequently occurring white incrustation (German: Verlumung). Antennae and legs are complete, the latter are bent ventrally.

Diagnosis. Species of larger size (9.2 mm) with long antennae, which are beset with tubercles bearing stiff bristles. Lateral margins of pronotum angulate and serrate, constricted anterolaterally. Abdomen widely rounded, connecting vein M-Cu of corium situated posterior to A-Cu.


Head. Wider across eyes than long (32 / 29). Clypeus subparallel, twice as long as antennal segment I, apex rounded. Antenniferous tubercles subparallel, acute, shorter than antennal segment I. Lateral margins begranulate, a distinct preocular tubercle present. Antennae slender, 1.72 × as long as width of head; antennal segment I short but widest, here secondarily depressed; II longest, thinner at middle than at its ends; III shorter than II tapering toward base; IV shortest, here more depressed than II. Eyes reniform, large, protruding laterally. Postocular lobes rounded, their margins beset with some larger tubercles. Vertex with U-shaped depression. Rostrum arising from an open atrium, four-segmented, reaching anterior 1/3 of prosternum.

Pronotum. 2.56 × as wide as long (64 / 25). Lateral margins irregularly serrate, angularly expanded at middle then strongly converging and constricted anteriorly. Anterolateral angles rectangular, anterior margin straight. Surface only slightly convex, with 4 longitudinal carinae, the median ones of full length, the lateral ones shorter, reaching to shallow transverse impressions. Humeral angles slightly carinate. Posterior margin concave at middle, rounded laterally.

Scutellum. Triangular, 1.60 × as long as wide at base (40 / 25). Lateral margins straight and carinate, apex narrowly rounded. Disk elevated at basal 1/3, surface granulate.

Hemelytra. Basal lateral expansion of corium rounded; posterior angle of corium reaching posterior margin of dorsal external laterotergite (deltg) V. Veins distinct, M-Cu situated posterior to A-Cu. Membrane with 4 distinct veins, reaching to anterior margin of tergite VII, surface wrinkled.

Abdomen. Widely rounded; lateral margins of deltg II-VI slightly convex posteriorly angles not produced; deltg VII broadly rounded posteriorly, paratergites VIII cleft at middle, apex rounded with a blunt lateral tooth. Ventral side with a longitudinal groove, reaching from sternite VIII to prosternum. Spiracles II-VII ventral and far from lateral margin, VIII lateral and visible from above.

Legs. Femora fusiform, trochanters fused along visible sutures. Tibiae cylindrical, slender, protibial comb present. Tarsi two segmented with slender curved claws lacking pulvilli.

Measurements. Length 9.2 mm; length of antennae 2.25 mm; width of abdomen 5.05 mm; width of corium 3.75 mm; width of tergite VIII 1.7 mm.
**Etymology.** The epithet refers to its unusual large size, from “macros” <Greek> large and “soma” <Greek> body.

**Discussion**

The new species can be distinguished at once from all other species of *Aradus* described so far of Baltic amber by a combination of characters not occurring otherwise, e.g., its large size, wide rounded body, structure of head with reniform laterally protruding eyes, long and thin antennae, and shape of the pronotum and scutellum. It shows only a superficial similarity to *A. lativentris* Heiss (2002b) and *A. velteni* Heiss (2002c), which, however, are of smaller size and the structure and shape of head, antennae and pronotum are different. There does not seem to be a close relationship with the extant species of *Aradus*.
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Abstract

The hitherto unknown fundatrices of two large aphid species having a cryptic mode of life, *Stomaphis graffii* Cholodkovsky, 1894 and *S. longirostris* (Fabricius, 1787), are described from specimens collected in Poland. Key to identification of known fundatrices of European representatives of the genus *Stomaphis* is provided and the observed morphological features are discussed.
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Introduction

The genus *Stomaphis* Walker comprises about 30 aphid species distinctive because of their large body size and very long rostrum. Such a long rostrum, much longer than the body, enables them to probe through the bark of the trees, mainly deciduous, on which they feed (Blackman and Eastop 1994). Species of the genus *Stomaphis* are generally monoecious (their life cycle requires only a single host plant species) with one possible exception (Takada 2008). Despite their large body size, these aphids are relatively rarely found, due to their cryptic life mode (Depa et al. 2012), and as a result little is known of the bionomy of many species. In some cases there exist only descriptions of viviparous females (Qiao and Zhang 1999). That is also why there are accounts of the first spring generation – the fundatrix – for only two *Quercus*-feeding species, *S. quercus* (L.) (Lorenz and Scheurer 1998) and *S. wojciechowskii* Depa (Depa and Mróz 2012). This paper aims at adding to our knowledge of the morphology of this group of aphids by providing descriptions of the fundatrices of two other common European representatives of the genus which feed on Aceraceae and Salicaceae, *S. graffii* and *S. longirostris*, and comparing them with the other fundatrices known in this genus.

Materials and Methods


- 19.05.2012. Świerklaniec, Poland, 50°26’5.36”N; 18°56’49.84”E. Base of trunk of *Acer pseudoplatanus*, under the bark, associated with *Lasius brunneus*, leg. L. Depa. *Stomaphis graffii* det. Ł. Depa, 4 fundatrices.

Additional material studied:

- 02.06.2011, Piekary Śląskie, Poland, 50°24’11.48”N, 18°57’47.87”E, Dioblina forest, the trunk of *Quercus*
**Results**

**Stomaphis longirostris**

http://species-id.net/wiki/Stomaphis_longirostris

**Fundatrix (Fig. 1, Table 1)**

**Description:** Body large, oval. Head distinctly sclerotised, dark. Antennae pale, with first two segments darker, densely covered with short setae (Fig. 1a). Legs dark, with only middle of tibiae slightly paler. Head covered with numerous short setae. Epicranial suture well developed. Eyes small, with triommatidia not well separated. Antennae 0.13 – 0.34 times body length. Antennal segments IV and VI shorter than V, which is thinner in the middle of its length (Fig. 1b, compare with apterous viviparous female – Fig. 3ab). Antennal ratios: ant. segm. III/segm. IV+V+VI 0.62 – 0.64; segm. III/segm. IV 2.03 – 2.42; segm. IV/segm. V 0.60 – 0.83; segm. V/segm. VI 1.24 – 1.57; segm. VI base/segm. VI terminal process 4.30 – 6.25. Secondary rhinaria of unequal diameter on III and IV antennal segments. Accessory rhinaria on antennal segment VI diffused on the base of segment. Terminal process subequal to the diameter of primary rhinaria, with nodose protuberances at the apex (Fig. 1c). Rostrum longer than body. Labrum covered with 1–5 setae (Fig. 1d). Apical segment of rostrum (ARS) 1.64 – 1.79 times HT II and 2.46 – 2.95 ant. segm. VI terminal process, with numerous accessory setae.

Prothorax dark, with spinal and marginal sclerotizations. Meso- and metathorax with marginal sclerites only.

Mesothoracic furca small, well separated. Metathoracic furca reduced, only in form of small, distinctly sclerotised protuberances. Legs covered by long and pointed setae, as long as the diameter of tibia in the middle. HT II 1.26 – 1.36 times second segment of middle tarsus (MT II). Ratio of ant. segm. IV to HT II 0.68 – 0.81.

Abdomen membranous, covered with fine, long setae, with three rows of dark, intersegmental insertions. Spiracles on very small, pigmented sclerites. Siphuncular pores on distinct, sclerotised cones. Anterior part of the cone often extended, broken into smaller sclerites (Fig. 1f, unlike in apterous viviparous female – Fig. 3d). Weak, paired spinal sclerites, often broken into many small sclerites, sometimes absent, on abdominal tergite VII. Abdominal tergite VIII distinctly and uniformly sclerotised, covered with a few rows of long setae in the posterior part of the tergite. Subgenital plate separated into two big sclerites, covered with long setae. Cauda rounded, sclerotised, covered with many long setae (Fig. 1e).

**Diagnosis:** From the apterous viviparous female it may be distinguished by (fundatrix vs apterous viviparous female):

- lower ratio of ant. segm. IV to ant. segm. V: 0.6 – 0.83 vs 0.67 – 1.03
- higher ratio of ant. segm. V to ant. segm. VI: 1.24 – 1.57 vs 0.96 – 1.32
- lower ratio of second segment of hind tarsus (HT II) to second segment of middle tarsus (MT II): 1.26 – 1.36 vs 1.36 – 1.43
**Stomaphis graffii**

http://species-id.net/wiki/Stomaphis_longirostris

**Fundatrix (Fig. 2, Table 1)**

**Description:** Body large, oval. Head distinctly sclerotised, dark. Antennae pale, but with apices of segments (especially V and VI) darker, densely covered with short setae (Fig. 2a). Prothorax dark. Legs dark, with only middle of tibiae slightly paler at the ventral margin. Head covered with short setae. Epicranial suture well developed. Eyes small, with triommatidia not well separated. Antennae 0.31 – 0.34 times body length. Antennal segments IV and VI shorter than ant. segm. V, which is slightly thinner in the middle of its length (Fig. 2b). Antennal ratios: ant. segm. III/ segm. IV+V+VI 0.65 – 0.67; segm. III/ segm. IV 2.44 – 2.87; segm. IV/ segm. V 0.56 – 0.67; segm. V/ segm. VI 1.17 – 1.32; segm. VI base/ segm. VI terminal process 3.12 – 4.16. Secondary rhinaria of unequal diameter on ant. segm. III and segm. IV. Accessory rhinaria on ant. segm. VI diffused on the base of segment. Terminal process slightly longer than the diameter of primary rhinarium, with nodose protuberances at the apex (Fig. 2c). Rostrum longer than body. Labrum covered with 6-14 setae (Fig. 2d). ARS 1.41 – 1.60 times HT II and 2.14 – 2.56 ant. segm. VI terminal process, with numerous accessory setae. 

Prothorax with spinal and marginal sclerotizations. Meso- and metathorax with marginal sclerites only. Meso- and metathoracic furca small, well separated. Metathoracic furca reduced, only in form of small, distinctly sclerotised protuberances. Legs covered by long setae, as long as the

![Figure 2. Stomaphis graffii – fundatrix: (a) antenna, (b) antennal segments V and VI, (c) antennal segment VI, (d) labrum (arrows indicate setae), (e) distal part of abdomen with subgenital plate and cauda, (f) siphuncular sclerite.](dez.pensoft.net)

**Table 1. Stomaphis longirostris** (n = 4) and **Stomaphis graffii** (n = 5). Measurements of fundatrices (in mm).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Character</th>
<th>S. longirostris</th>
<th>S. graffii</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Body length</td>
<td>6.27 – 6.55</td>
<td>5.95 – 6.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antennae length</td>
<td>1.95 – 2.16</td>
<td>1.89 – 2.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ant. segm. III</td>
<td>0.63 – 0.69</td>
<td>0.63 – 0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ant. segm. IV</td>
<td>0.28 – 0.31</td>
<td>0.23 – 0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ant. segm. V</td>
<td>0.36 – 0.46</td>
<td>0.40 – 0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ant. segm VI</td>
<td>0.28 – 0.31</td>
<td>0.31 – 0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ant. VI terminal process</td>
<td>0.04 – 0.06</td>
<td>0.06 – 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ant VI base</td>
<td>0.23 – 0.26</td>
<td>0.25 – 0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apical segment of rostrum</td>
<td>0.64 – 0.68</td>
<td>0.58 – 0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second segment of middle tarsus</td>
<td>0.30 – 0.32</td>
<td>0.30 – 0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First segment of hind tarsus</td>
<td>0.14 – 0.15</td>
<td>0.13 – 0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second segment of hind tarsus</td>
<td>0.38 – 0.41</td>
<td>0.39 – 0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIPH sclerite length</td>
<td>0.54 – 0.62</td>
<td>0.65 – 0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIPH sclerite width</td>
<td>0.30 – 0.39</td>
<td>0.37 – 0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subgenital plate length</td>
<td>0.54 – 0.62</td>
<td>0.32 – 0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subgenital plate width</td>
<td>0.69 – 0.75</td>
<td>0.75 – 0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhinaria on ant. segm. III</td>
<td>0 – 7</td>
<td>4 – 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhinaria on ant. segm. IV</td>
<td>4 – 6</td>
<td>3 – 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhinaria on ant. segm. V</td>
<td>1 – 2</td>
<td>1 – 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhinaria on ant. segm. VI</td>
<td>3 – 6</td>
<td>4 – 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of ommatidia</td>
<td>17 – 23</td>
<td>10 – 20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Łukasz Depa & Mariusz Kanturski: Description of hitherto unknown fundatrices of Stomaphis graffii and S. longirostris...

**Figure 3.** *Stomaphis longirostris* – apterous viviparous female: (a) antenna, (b) antennal segments III and IV, (c) labrum, (d) siphuncular sclerite.

Diameter of tibia in the middle. HT II 1.30 – 1.36 times MT II; ant. segm. IV/ HT II 0.51 – 0.67;
Abdomen membranous, covered with fine, long setae, with three rows of dark, intersegmental insertions.

**Figure 4.** *Stomaphis graffii* – apterous viviparous female: (a) antenna, (b) antennal segments III and IV, (c) labrum, (d) siphuncular sclerite.

**Key to known fundatrices of European species of the genus Stomaphis**

1. Ventral plates present; spinal, paired sclerites present ................................................................................................................................................................. 2
   - Ventral plates absent; spinal, paired sclerites present at most on VII abdominal segment, absent from other abdominal segments ............................................................................................................................................... 2

2. R IV+V/HT II < 2.0, in life pale, dull, slightly wax powdered ................................................... S. wojciechowskii Depa
   - R IV+V/HT II > 2.0, in life dark, shining .................................................................................. S. quercus (L.)

3. R IV+V/HT II > 1.64, antIII/antIV < 2.43, less than 5 setae on extended part of labrum ............. S. longirostris (F)
   - R IV+V/HT II < 1.61, antIII/antIV > 2.44, more than 5 setae on extended part of labrum ........... S. graffii Cholod

The fundatrix of *S. graffii* is rather congruent in morphological features with its apterous viviparous morph, except for minor traits (as listed in the description), among which the more significant is the very short antennal segment IV (Fig. 2a and Fig. 4ab for comparison). The main characteristics of the species: HTII/MTII ratio and number of secondary rhinaria are within the range of variability typical for apterous viviparous females (Binazzi and Blackman 2003). Also the number of setae on labrum, although slightly smaller in fundatrices (6-14), matches the range of variability of apterous viviparous females (Fig. 4c) (3 - 26 according to Binazzi and Blackman (2003), 4 - 56 according to Depa and Mróz (2013)).

The most interesting morphological feature is the very low number of setae on the labrum of fundatrix of *S. longirostris* (Fig. 1d), which are hardly visible in the mounted specimens as they are prone to be broken off during mounting. Apterous viviparae of later generations (fundatrigenia, virginoeniae and sexuparae) of this species have more than 20, and often up to 40 setae on the labrum (Fig. 3c), distributed along its entire length (Binazzi and Blackman 2003). Lack of setae on labrum makes the fundatrix of this species similar to the apterous viviparae of later generations.

**Discussion**

The two morphs show some interesting features in their morphology, differentiating them from apterous viviparous morphs of both species.
arous female of *S. cupressi* Pintera – a species originally described from *Cupressus* in Kenya (Pintera 1965) but known also from the western Mediterranean region and Black Sea shores (Blackman and Eastop 1994; Akyürek et al. 2010). Fundatrices of *S. longirostris* also resemble apterous viviparous females of later generations of *S. cupressi* by having their HTII/MTII ratio less than 1.36, and could be mistakenly identified as that species (they also have as similar number of secondary rhinaria on antennal segments III and IV) if the host were unknown.

The PCA analysis confirmed the similarity of described fundatrices of *S. longirostris* to the *S. cupressi* (Fig. 5), with the most informative factors being the number of setae on labrum and the number of secondary rhinaria on antennal segment III. Species on the left side of the graph showed in Fig. 5 are species with a few setae on labrum while species on the right posses many setae on labrum. Similarly, species above the axis 2 in Fig. 5. have more rhinaria on antennal segment III while species below axis 2 have less rhinaria on this segment.

In the respect of analysed morphological traits, it seems that fundatrices of both studied species of *Stomaphis* show retrogressive features, which is concordant with the general view of aphid morphology, as reviewed by Miyazaki (1987). Among them, the most significant is the lack of setae on the elongated part of labrum of *S. longirostris*. If setae on the labrum play some role as receptors during feeding, it is interesting that *S. longirostris* exhibits such a radical loss of setae in its fundatrix, while the closely related species *S. graffii* does not. The phenomenon of weakening of sensory structures in the fundatrix during process of host specialization suggested by Shaposhnikov (1987) seems to be the explanation.

**Conclusion**

Fundatrices of both studied species showed some retrogressive morphological features, although did not show significant departure from general morphology of apterous viviparous females. *S. longirostris* showed larger reduction of setae on labrum than *S. graffii*, which is interesting in respect to phylogeny and host specificity of the genus.
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Abstract

The preimaginal stages of *Liopiophila varipes* (Meigen, 1830) (Diptera: Piophilidae), the only species of the genus *Liopiophila* Duda, are described. The first and second-instar larvae and the puparium are described for the first time. The morphology of the third-instar larva is described in detail and compared with previous descriptions. Despite recent classifications suggested considering *Liopiophila* as a synonym of *Prochyliza* Walker, the presence of two rows of spines on the ventral creeping welts and fan-shaped anterior spiracles with lobes arranged in two groups in larvae and puparium support the validity of *Liopiophila* as a genus and its consideration as the sister group of the genus *Stearibia* Lioy. A key to the genera of the subtribe Piophilina based on the known larvae is provided.
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Introduction

Widely distributed throughout the world but mainly represented in the cooler and temperate regions of the Northern Hemisphere (McAlpine 1977), the family Piophilidae is a small group of Diptera containing about 70 species (Ozerov 2004). Most of its species are scavengers; both adults and larvae can be frequently found on carcasses, preferably in advanced stages of decay (Martin-Vega 2011). Due to the attraction to proteinaceous animal matter and the synanthropic or hemisynanthropic habits of several species, they can represent economically important pests for the food industry (Zuska and Laštovka 1965).

*Liopiophila varipes* (Meigen, 1830) is a piophilid species with Holarctic distribution, frequently cited in association with carrion (e.g. Anton et al. 2011; Martín-Vega and Baz 2013). Despite *L. varipes* appears to be of smaller economic and hygienic importance than other piophilid species, it may be common on food industry premises (Zuska and Laštovka 1965). Moreover, apart from decaying animal matter, its larvae have also been recorded breeding on rotten leaves (Duda 1924). *Liopiophila* was described as a subgenus contained in genus *Piophila* Fallén by Duda (1924), a classification which was followed by subsequent specialists (e.g. Hennig 1943; Zuska and Laštovka 1965). Nevertheless, McAlpine (1977) ranked *Liopiophila* as a genus containing a single species, *L. varipes*, transferring the rest of species included by Duda (1924) in subgenus *Liopiophila* to the genus *Prochyliza* Walker. On the other hand, Ozerov (2004) considered *Liopiophila* as a synonym of *Prochyliza*, including *L. varipes* in the latter genus.

The morphological descriptions of the immature stages of insects are necessary for the correct identification of species in those cases in which it is not possible to rear to adulthood. In the case of necrophagous insects, a reliable identification of the immature stages is essential from a forensic point of view. The larval morphology of most piophilid species remains unknown (Martín-Vega 2011) but curiously the mature larva of *L. varipes* has been described twice (Nielsen et al. 1954; Brindle 1965), although both descriptions contain controversial char-
acters. The current paper describes the morphology of the immature stages of *L. varipes*, providing simple diagnostic characters allowing for its differentiation from other Piophilidae species and comparing the characters of third-instar larva with those previous descriptions. Some considerations on the status of genus *Liopiophila* based on larval morphology are given.

**Material and methods**

Adult flies of *L. varipes* were collected using pig carrion baits in a pine forest located in Puerto de Navafria (Madrid Province, central Spain) at 1810 m a.s.l. The flies were transferred to a plastic box of $230 \times 155 \times 115$ mm with a gauze mesh at one side, and maintained at constant temperature (20 °C) and light photoperiod (12:12 h) inside a rearing camera. The flies were provided with water and granulated sugar cubes, as well as beef pâté which served as protein uptake to allow egg maturation and as substrate for oviposition. Plastic boxes were examined twice per day to trace whether females had spontaneously oviposited. Eggs were transferred to separate plastic tubs also containing beef pâté to feed emerging larvae, and sand to allow the burial and pupariation of post-feeding larvae. Plastic tubs were maintained in the same rearing camera with the adult colony.

First-instar larvae (L1), second-instar larvae (L2) and third-instar larvae (L3) were removed from the plastic tubs, killed in near-boiling water and then preserved in 80% ethanol. Twenty five L3 were measured within the first hour after killing and then preserved in 80% ethanol. Such killing and measuring procedure is recommended to minimize postmortem changes in larval length (Adams and Hall 2003). Twenty five eggs and puparia were also removed from the plastic tubs, directly measured and then preserved in 80% ethanol. Measurements of eggs were made under a light microscope equipped with a calibrated eyepiece micrometer; measurements of L3 and puparia were made under a binocular microscope with a micrometer and reticulated paper at ×8 magnification. L3 were clarified in hot 10% lactic acid, dissected and embedded in dimethyl hydantoin formaldehyde to study their different parts under a light microscope equipped with a camera lucida for drawings. Terminology follows Courtney et al. (2000) and Grzywacz et al. (2012). The studied material has been deposited in the collection of the Department of Life Sciences of the University of Alcalá.

**Results**

**Egg**

The eggs of *L. varipes* are white and long (mean length ± SD = 0.73 ± 0.03 mm; n = 25; range: 0.66–0.79), banana-shaped, with protuberant micropyle (Fig. 1), identical in general appearance to the eggs of other piophilid species (e.g. Hennig 1943, Martín-Vega et al. 2012).

**Larva**

The larvae of *L. varipes* show the typical morphology of the piophilid larvae; the body is cylindrical, tapering gradually forwards, narrowing slightly backwards and then somewhat truncated (Fig. 2). The mean length ± SD of L3 was 7.14 ± 0.42 mm (n = 25; range: 6.30–7.70). The body is divided in 12 segments (pseudocoelom, three thoracic segments, seven abdominal segments, and anal division); the anal division shows the typical morphology of piophilid larvae with a pair of dorsal tubercles and a longer pair of ventral tubercles (Figs 3, 4). A pair of posterior spiracles is placed on fleshy prominences below the dorsal tubercles. The posterior spiracles show slit-like openings (two openings in L1 and three openings in L2 and L3) on a sclerotized plate surrounded by the peritreme (Fig. 5), as typically described for Cyclorrhapha larvae (Courtney et al. 2000). L3 showed the typical skipping behaviour observed in other species of Piophilidae by arching its body until the mouth hooks contact the anal tubercles, pulling and suddenly releasing them. The eleventh segment (i.e. seventh abdominal segment) shows two small pairs of laterodorsal and a small pair of lateroventral cones (Fig. 3).

Figures 1–5. Immature stages of *Liopiophila varipes* (Meigen) and *Stearibia nigriceps* (Meigen). 1. Egg of *L. varipes*, scale bar 0.01 mm; 2. Third-instar larva of *L. varipes*, scale bar 0.15 mm; psps – cephalopharyngeal skeleton; psp – posterior spiracle; 3. Anal division of third-instar larva of *L. varipes*, lateral view, scale bar 0.5 mm; 4. Anal division of third-instar larva of *S. nigriceps*, lateral view, scale bar 0.5 mm; dt – dorsal tubercles; ldc – laterodorsal cone; lvc – lateroventral cone; psp – posterior spiracle; vt – ventral tubercle; 5. Posterior spiracles of *L. varipes*, scale bar 0.08 mm.
Pseudocephalon is bilobed and each lobe shows antennal organ and maxillary palpus as described by Courtney et al. (2000); antennal organs act as mechanoreceptors and olfactory receptors (Huckesfeld et al. 2010). The oral cavity shows a facial mask with oral comb plates surrounding the tips of the mouth hooks of cephalopharyngeal skeleton (hereafter CPS). In L1, the CPS is barely distinguishable, weakly sclerotized (Figs 6, 7) and very different from those of L2 and L3. In L2, the CPS is not completely sclerotized but clearly distinguishable (Figs 8, 9). The CPS of L3 is very similar to those of L2; its parts are well sclerotized and developed (Figs 10, 11). The dorsal edge of the CPS basal sclerite is convex, the dorsal and ventral cornua are long, and the dorsal bridge shows a broad base. The mouth hooks show a large base and their dorsal edge is slightly concave in its basal part (Figs 10, 11).

The first thoracic segment of L2 and L3 show a pair of anterior spiracles with fan-shaped arranged lobes at their distal edge (Fig. 12). The most frequent number of lobes of the anterior spiracles of L3 ranged from 10 to 12 (n = 10); the lobes are arranged in two groups (of five or six, respectively) (Fig. 12). In L1, anterior spiracles were not distinguishable under light microscope; however, a pair of simple and minute prothoracic spiracles has been observed in the L1 of a range of Diptera Cyclorrhapha families under scanning electron microscope (see Grzywacz et al. 2012).

The abdominal segments of the larvae show ventral creeping welts equipped with two rows of spines (Fig. 13). The spines of the ventral creeping welts and the mouth hooks grip the substrate making possible the advance of the larvae into dead tissues (Roberts 1971).

Puparium

The puparium of L. varipes is barrel-shaped, brown to coppery red in colour (Fig. 14). The mean length ± SD of the puparia was 4.16 ± 0.15 mm (n = 25; range: 3.8–4.4). Because the puparium of cyclorrhaphous flies is formed from the cuticle of L3 (Fraenkel and Bhaskaran 1973), cuticular features of the larvae, including the two rows of spines on the ventral creeping welts, can be observed in the puparia. Also, the CPS of L3 can be extracted from empty puparia and displayed.

Discussion

Taking into account the economical interest of the larvae of the species of family Piophilidae (Zuska and Laštovka 1965), as well as the importance of careful and reliable identifications for their use in forensic entomology (Martín-Vega 2011), a complete larval identification key to this family would be desirable. A larval identification key to the genera of subtribe Piophilina is suggested below, following the classification of McAlpine (1977) and based on previous descriptions (Hennig 1943; McAlpine 1977; Ozerov 2000; Martín-Vega et al. 2012). Some characters from the key can be also observed on the puparia. Some characters need to be confirmed in genera Arctopiophila Duda, Parapiophila McAlpine and Protopiophila Duda; moreover, the considerations of Ozerov (2004) on the classification and validity of genera Arctopiophila and Parapiophila must be taken into account. For a general larval identification key to sarcosaprophagous Diptera families including Piophilidae see Velásquez et al. (2010). As mentioned, the larvae of most of the piophilid species remain undescribed and the scarce published descriptions are dispersed in the scientific literature, needing a compilation and updating. Further steps in these directions should be done.
### Key to the larvae of subtribe Piophilina sensu McAlpine (1977)


2. Ventral creeping welts equipped with 3 rows of spines. Ventral anal tubercles not elongated (Fig. 3). Cephalopharyngeal skeleton as in Figs 10 and 11.

3. Ventral anal tubercles slightly directed ventrally. Dorsal edge of mouth hook slightly convex in its basal part. Distance between the base and the tips of the mouth hook approximately equal to the width of the mouth hook base. See Martín-Vega et al. (2012) for details on Prochyliza nigrimanus (Meigen). Prochyliza Walker (8 spp.).

4. Ventral anal tubercles slightly directed posteriorly. Dorsal edge of the mouth hook slightly concave in its basal part. Distance between the base and the tips of the mouth hook approximately 1.3 times longer than the width of the mouth hook base. See Hennig (1943) and Martín-Vega et al. (2012) for details on Piophila casei (L.). Piophila Fallén (2 spp.).

5. Ventral anal tubercles elongated (Fig. 4). See Hennig (1943) for details on Stearibia nigriceps. Stearibia Lioy (1 sp.).

6. Ventral anal tubercles not elongated (Fig. 3). Cephalopharyngeal skeleton as in Figs 10 and 11. Liopiophila Duda (1 sp.).

### Identification of the larva described by Nielsen et al. (1954) as *L. varipes*

The current description of *L. varipes* L3 fits with the description provided by Nielsen et al. (1954) for larvae collected on the bones of a whale. The morphology of the CPS of the L3 figured by Nielsen et al. (1954), with the convex dorsal edge of the basal plate, the broad base of the dorsal bridge, and the large base of mouth hooks, strongly resembles the CPS of *L. varipes* (Figs 10, 11). Moreover, Nielsen et al. (1954) figured a fan-shaped anterior spiracle with ten lobes arranged in two groups of five, as well as two rows of spines on the ventral creeping welts; these characters match with those observed in the current study (Figs 12, 13). Nielsen et al. (1954) suggested that the described larva may belong to *L. varipes* or to *Prochyliza lundbeckii* (Duda), justifying their decision in the presence of two rows of ventral creeping welts which should, according to the key in Hennig (1943), belong to the subgenus *Liopiophila* (sic). However, in his larval identification key, Hennig (1943) differentiated the ‘*Piophila-Liopiophila* group’ by the presence of three rows of spines on the ventral creeping welts. Hennig (1943) justified such affirmation in the characters of the larvae of *Piophila casei* (L.). Hence, the identification of the described larva as *L. varipes* by Nielsen et al. (1954) was very likely due to a misinterpretation of the key of Hennig (1943), but paradoxically they appeared to be right in their decision.

### Spiracles as diagnostic character for identifying *L. varipes* larvae

In his larval identification key, Brindle (1965) suggested as diagnostic characters of *L. varipes* the fan-shaped anterior spiracles with six lobes arranged in a single group and the pair of ventral anal tubercles directed ventrally. The orientation of the anal tubercles is a character which should be taken with caution because differences in this sense are not always easily distinguishable (Martín-Vega et al. 2012). On the other hand, the anterior spiracle figured by Brindle (1965) does not fit with the description of Nielsen et al. (1954) and with the current observations (Fig. 12). The larvae of most piophilid species show fan-shaped anterior spiracles with the lobes arranged in a single group (Hennig 1943; Martín-Vega et al. 2012). Furthermore, the lobes of the anterior spiracles of *L. varipes* are thick, crowded together in the distal, fan-shaped part of the anterior spiracle (Fig. 12). Such character was also noted by Nielsen et al. (1954). The anterior spiracles of the larvae of other piophilid species show different appearance; the lobes are thin and arranged more separately from each other (Hennig 1943; Martín-Vega et al. 2012).

### Validity of the genus *Liopiophila* and systematics of Piophilidae

McAlpine (1977) suggested a phylogeny of the Piophilidae where the genera *Liopiophila* Duda, *Liopiophila*, *Stearibia* Lioy, *Piophila*, and *Prochyliza* form a monophyletic group sharing the following characters: a weak or absent outer postpronotal bristle, the male abdomen sternites divided and the seventh male sternite frequently bearing peg-like processes. Moreover, the four latter genera share the loss of outer postpronotal bristle and the reduction of hairiness, with *Liopiophila* being the sister group of *Stearibia*, and *Piophila* the sister group of *Prochyliza* (McAlpine 1977). McAlpine (1977) con-
sidered Piophila and Prochyliza as sister groups because of the presence of secondary male characters, but no apomorphy was suggested for the group formed by Li- ophiophila and Stearibia. Nevertheless, McAlpine (1977) described the genus Liophiophila as very similar in most respects to Stearibia, with the presence of setae on the anepimeron being the differential character of Liophi- phila. In his fine discussion on the classification of the Piophilidae, Ozeron (2004) recognized this character as a unique characteristic of L. varipes, but he did not con- sider it sufficient to distinguish this species as a separated genus and suggested including it in the genus Prochyliza. The suggestion of Ozeron (2004) was based on the pres- ence of a silvery-white strip of pubescence on the gena as characteristic of all those species.

The larval morphology of L. varipes supports, how- ever, the phylogeny and classification suggested by McAlpine (1977), and therefore the validity of genus Li- ophiophila. The arrangement of thick lobes of the anterior spiracles in two groups is characteristic of the larvae of L. varipes (Fig. 12), but is identical in the larvae of Stearibia nigriceps (Meigen) (Hennig 1943). It must be mentioned that Hennig (1943) described the morphology of the CPS and anterior spiracles of S. nigriceps (named as Piophila foveolata Meigen) larvae from the characters observed on a puparium of this species, highlighting that the num- ber of rows of spines on the ventral creeping welts could not be confirmed from such a specimen. The first author of the current manuscript has studied some larvae of S. nigriceps collected in a carrion-succession study (see Antion et al. 2011) which showed two rows of spines on the ventral creeping welts. The presence of two rows of spines on the ventral creeping welts is therefore a com- mon character of both L. varipes and S. nigriceps, but it is also shown by the larva of Lasiopiophila pilosa (Stae- ger), which conversely show anterior spiracles with lobes arranged in a single group (McAlpine 1977). In the group formed by Piophila and Prochyliza the lobes of the an- terior spiracles are also arranged in a single group (Hen- nig 1943; Martin-Vega et al. 2012), but with the ventral creeping welts being equipped with three rows of spines (Hennig 1943; Martin-Vega et al. 2012). Finally, the lar- vae of L. varipes and S. nigriceps can be differentiated by the morphology of the pair of ventral tubercles, which are distinctly more elongate in S. nigriceps (Figs 3, 4).
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Abstract

The representatives of the genus *Oosternum* Sharp, 1882 occurring in the West Indies are revised. Ten species are recorded, of which seven are here described as new: *Oosternum andersoni* sp. n. (Cuba), *O. bacharenge* sp. n. (Dominican Republic), *O. cercyonoides* sp. n. (Jamaica), *O. insulare* sp. n. (Jamaica), *O. luciae* sp. n. (Saint Lucia), *O. megnai* sp. n. (Cuba) and *O. pecki* sp. n. (Dominican Republic). Diagnoses and detailed distributional data are also provided for *O. sharpi* Hansen, 1999 (widespread throughout both Greater and Lesser Antilles), *O. latum* Fikáček, Hebauer & Hansen, 2009 (endemic to St. Vincent) and an undescribed species from the Bahamas. A key to the West Indian *Oosternum* is provided and important diagnostic characters are illustrated. The West Indian fauna of *Oosternum* contains representatives of five different species groups and likely originated by multiple independent colonizations from the American continent. Within the study region, the highest diversity is known from the Greater Antilles, where two endemic species each in Cuba, Jamaica, and Hispaniola. The populations of *O. sharpi* were found to consist exclusively of females on all islands with the exception Puerto Rico.
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Introduction

The West Indies (i.e. the Caribbean islands off the continental shelf; Bond 1993) is a region generally known for its high species diversity and endemism. At the same time, large part of its natural habitats were destroyed and it is therefore considered as one of the world’s biodiversity hotspots (Mittermeier et al. 2005). Although a large number of biodiversity studies have been conducted in the area, surprisingly little is known about the diversity of insects and other invertebrates. Cryptic leaf-litter inhabiting taxa are among those for which available information is especially limited, although a very high diversity is expected based on available data from the continental Central America (e.g., Anderson and Ashe 2000) and preliminary data available from several projects currently running in the Carribean islands (e.g., Cala-Riquelme 2013; Cala-Riquelme and Agnarsson in press; Deler-Hernández et al. 2013; R. Anderson, pers. comm.).

In the present contribution we examine the West Indian fauna of the hydrophilid beetle genus *Oosternum* Sharp, 1882. The genus contains minute beetles generally inhabiting leaf-litter of various types of tropical forests. Although it also occurs in the Oriental Region, the genus is especially diverse in the Neotropical Region, from where 15 species are presently described (Fikáček 2009;
Materials and methods

A total of 280 specimens from 13 countries (15 islands) of the West Indies were examined in this study. Most specimens were collected by sifting leaf litter in various kinds of forests with subsequent extraction using Berlese funnels and Winkler traps. Part of this material was collected during our recent expeditions to various parts of Cuba and Jamaica and from several large projects by the Canadian and US entomologists. The results of the taxonomic revision of this material are summarized below.

Key to species of West Indian Oosternum

1. Median portion of prosternum elevated and demarcated from lateral portions (Figs 35–38). Antennal grooves of prothorax with acute lateral projection (see Fikáček 2009, Fig. 13) .................................................. 2
   - Median portion of prosternum not elevated and demarcated from lateral portions (Figs 29–33). Antennal grooves of prothorax rounded to subangular, without acute lateral projection ................................................................. 5
2. Pronotal punctuation consisting of punctures of two very different sizes (Figs 22, 27). Each paramere bearing a single seta apically (Figs 55, 60) ............................................................................................................... 3
   - Pronotal punctuation uniform, all punctures of the same size (Figs 23, 24). Each paramere bearing two short setae apically (Figs 56, 57) ........................................................................................................ 4
3. Pronotum without microsculpture on interstices (Fig. 22). Metaventrite crenulate behind mesocoxal cavities (Fig. 42). Lateral membrane in apical portion of the median lobe very narrow and without setae (Fig. 55) .................. O. insulare

Checklist of the West Indian species of Oosternum

- Oosternum andersoni sp. n. .............................................. Cuba
- Oosternum bacharenga sp. n. ........................................... Hispaniola: Dominican Rep.
- Oosternum cerycyonoides sp. n. ....................................... Jamaica
- Oosternum insulare sp. n. .................................................. Jamaica
- Oosternum latum Fikáček, Hebauer & Hansen, 2009 ..... ................................................................. Saint Vincent
- Oosternum luciae sp. n. .................................................. Saint Lucia
- Oosternum megnai sp. n. .................................................. Cuba
- Oosternum pecki sp. n. ................................................... Hispaniola: Dominican Rep.
- Oosternum sharpi Hansen, 1999 ..................................... widespread in the West Indies
- Pronotum with fine mesh-like microsculpture on interstices (Fig. 27). Metaventrite not crenulate behind mesocoxal cavities (Fig. 47). Lateral membrane in apical portion of the median lobe wide and with a series of fine setae (Fig. 60). .................................................... O. sharpi

4 Body widest at elytral base (Fig. 9). Pronotum very convex, not forming a continuous curve with elytra in lateral view (Fig. 10). Lateral membrane only present in apical fifth of the median lobe and lacking setae (Fig. 56). ........................................ O. latum

- Body widest ca at midlength (Fig. 11). Pronotum moderately convex, forming a continuous curve with elytra in lateral view (Fig. 12). Lateral membrane reaching midlength of the median lobe and bearing a series of fine setae (Fig. 57). ........................................................ O. luciae

5 Punctures of pronotum increasing in size towards posterior margin, smaller and transverse anteriorly and large and rounded posteriorly (Fig. 26). Preepisternal plate of mesoventrite subrhomboid, largely overlapping over anterior portion of the metaventrite (Fig. 46). Aedeagus as in Fig. 59. .................................................... O. pecki

- Pronotal punctuation uniform, size of punctures not increasing posteriad. Preepisternal plate of mesoventrite drop-like or suboval, only slightly overlapping over the anterior margin of the metaventrite (Figs 39-41, 45). ........................................ 6

6 Elytral interval 2 narrower and lower than interval 3 subapically. Preepisternal elevation of the mesothorax narrower, 2.0–2.8× as long as wide. Smaller species, body length smaller than 1.5 mm ........................................................................ 7

- Elytral interval 2 of the same width and height as interval 3 subapically. Preepisternal elevation of the mesothorax wide, 1.7× as long as wide. Large species, body length 1.9–2.0 mm ........................................................................ 7

7 Anterolateral ridges of metaventrite meeting mesally and projecting posteriorly (Fig. 40). Apical portion of the median lobe bottle-shaped, widely rounded at apex (Fig. 54). General coloration dark brown (Figs 3, 4) ............................................ O. bacharengae

- Anterolateral ridges of metaventrite not meeting mesally (Figs 39, 45). Apical portion of the median lobe gradually narrowing, acute at apex (Figs 53, 58). ............................................................ O. andersoni

8 Lateral margin of the pronotum sinuate. Preepisternal elevation of mesoventrite wider, 2.0× as long as wide (Fig. 39).

- Lateral margin of the pronotum arcuate. Preepisternal elevation of mesoventrite narrower, 2.3× as long as wide (Fig. 45). Median lobe very wide, not reaching the level of parameral apices (Fig. 53) ............................................................ O. megna


- Description. Habitus. Body elongate oval, gradually narrowing posteriad; total length/total width ratio = 1.7. Length: 1.25–1.29 mm, length of holotype: 1.37 mm; width: 0.73–0.77 mm, width of holotype: 0.75 mm.

- Coloration. Coloration of dorsal side brown to dark brown, elytra darker than pronotum, head dark brown. Ventral side brown. Femora and tibiae brown, tarsi, dezer.pensoft.net
antennomeres 1–6 and mouthparts yellowish, antennal club pale reddish brown.

**Head.** Clypeus with sparse punctuation consisting of fine rounded punctures, each puncture bearing fine decumbent seta; setae pale; interstices without microsculpture; anterior margin of clypeus slightly concave. Frons with dense punctuation consisting of coarse rounded punctures, punctures of same shape medially and laterally; interstices with fine mesh-like microsculpture. Eyes moderately large. Mentum 1.8× wider than long, anterior margin slightly emarginate; anteromedian part not distinctly impressed; with sparse punctuation, punctuation consisting of minute, nearly indistinct punctures bearing minute setae; interstices with very fine microsculpture, opaque. Submentum without poriferous disc-like fields. Maxillary palpus with palpomeres 2 and 4 ca. 1.5× longer than palpomere 3. Antenna with 9 antennomeres; scapus shorter than antennomeres 2–6 combined.

**Prothorax.** Pronotum evenly convex forming continuous curve with elytra in lateral view. Lateral margin weakly sinuate; with narrow marginal bead. Pronotal punctuation uniform in size, moderately dense; slightly sparser than that on frons, consisting of small, rasp-like punctures, slightly sparser laterally than medially; all punctures bearing long setae; interstices without microsculpture. Transverse row of punctures on posterior margin of pronotum absent. Median portion of prosternum not elevated and demarcated from lateral portions, median carina of prosternum narrow, projecting more anteriad mesally than anterior margin of median portion, with anterior portion elevated into small tooth in lateral view. Postero-mesal projection with deep notch. Antennal grooves moderately large. Lateral margin of antennal grooves rounded.

**Mesothorax.** Scutellar shield bearing a few small punctures; interstices without microsculpture. Elytral series 1–5 arising basally, series 6–9 joint subbasally. Serial punctures small, transverse, sparsely arranged, with minute setae (indistinct under binocular microscope). Interval 2 narrower than interval 3, lower than intervals 1 and 3, reaching elytral apex, intervals 5, 7 and 9 distinctly higher than adjacent intervals. Elytral interstices shiny, without microsculpture. Preepisternal plate wide, drop-like, 2× longer than wide, widely attached to metaventrite; posterior part of preepisternal elevation slightly overlapping over anterior margin of metaventrite; median part flat; bearing sparsely arranged shallow setiferous punctures; interstices without microsculpture.

**Metathorax.** Metaventrite distinctly longer than preepisternal elevation of mesothorax, median portion markedly differing from lateral portion in punctuation and microsculpture; punctuation of median portion consisting of small rounded punctures, interstices without microsculpture, shiny. Anterolateral ridges bent posteriad along lateral margin of metaventrite, concave laterally, not meeting together and bent posteriad mesally. Anterior margin of metaventrite not crenulate.

Abdomen. Ventrite 1 with additional longitudinal ridges laterally. Ventrites 2–5 without longitudinal ridges; posterior margin of all ventrites lacking denticles.

Male genitalia. Aedeagus 0.5 mm long, parameres 1.2× longer than phallobase. Parameres continuously narrowing apicad, bearing two short setae apically. Phallobase wide, 1.4× longer than wide. Median lobe slightly shorter than parameres, widely oval in shape, slightly narrowing at apex. Membranous lateral projections of median lobe absent. Gonopore absent.

Etymology. The new species is dedicated to our friend Robert S. Anderson (Canadian Museum of Nature, Ottawa), a very enthusiastic entomologist and collector of the type specimens.

Distribution. Oosternum andersoni sp. n. is a Cuban endemic species currently known from the western, central and eastern parts of the island (Fig. 61).

Habitat. Most specimens were sifted from wet leaf litter in evergreen montane forests.

Oosternum bacharenge sp. n.

http://zoobank.org/AFDE881F-D1DB-419C-874D-C373DC6E36B7
http://species-id.net/wiki/Oosternum_bacharenge
Figs 3–4, 20, 30, 40, 54, 61

Type-locality. Dominican Republic, Hatomayor province: Parque Nacional Los Haitises, 19°1’4.26”N, 69°37’17.24”W, 250 m, W of Sabana de la Mar.


Head. Clypeus with sparse punctuation consisting of fine punctures, each puncture bearing fine decumbent seta; setae pale; interstices without microsculpture; anterior margin of clypeus slightly concave. Frons with moderately dense punctuation, consisting of coarse, crescent-like punctures, punctures of same shape medially and laterally; interstices without microsculpture. Eyes moderately large. Mentum 1.8× wider than long, anterior margin slightly emarginate; anteromedian part not distinctly impressed; with sparse punctuation consisting of small and isolated, nearly indistinct punctures bearing minute setae; interstices with very fine microsculpture, opaque. Submentum without poriferous disc-like fields. Maxillary palpus with palpomeres 2 and 4 ca. 1.5× longer than palpomere 3. Antenna with 9 antennomeres; scapus shorter than antennomeres 2–6 combined.

Prothorax. Pronotum evenly convex forming continuous curve with elytra in lateral view. Lateral margin of pronotum angulate, with narrow marginal bead. Pronotal punctuation uniform in size, moderately dense, slightly denser than that on frons, consisting of small, rasp-like punctures similar on whole surface of pronotum; all punctures bearing long setae; interstices without microsculpture. Median portion of prosternum not elevated and demarcated from lateral portions, median carina of prosternum narrow, projecting more anteriad medially than anterior margin of median portion, with anterior portion elevated into small tooth in lateral view. Postero-mesal projection with deep notch. Antennal grooves moderately large. Lateral margin of antennal grooves rounded.

Mesothorax. Scutellar shield bearing a few tiny punctures; interstices without microsculpture. Elytral punctures coarse, shallowly impressed, rounded to slightly transverse. Serial punctures small; transverse; sparsely arranged, with minute setae (indistinct under binocular microscope). Interval 2 narrower than interval 3, lower than intervals 1 and 3, reaching elytral apex, intervals 5, 7 and 9 as convex as adjacent intervals. Elytral interstices shiny, without microsculpture. Preepisternal plate narrow, suboval, 2.8× longer than wide, widely attached to metaventrite; posterior part of preepisternal elevation slightly overlapping over anterior margin of metaventrite; median part flat; bearing sparsely arranged shallow setiferous punctures; interstices without microsculpture.

Metathorax. Metaventrite distinctly longer than preepisternal elevation of metathorax, median portion markedly differing from lateral portion in punctuation and microsculpture; punctuation of median portion sparse, consisting of minute punctures, interstices without microsculpture, shiny. Anterolateral ridges bent posteriad along lateral margin of metaventrite, concave laterally, meeting together and projecting posteriad medially. Anterior margin of metaventrite not crenulate.

Abdomen. Ventrite 1 with additional longitudinal ridges laterally. Ventrites 2–5 without longitudinal ridges; posterior margin of all ventrites lacking denticles.
Male genitalia. Aedeagus 0.48 mm long, parameres 0.8× longer than phallobase. Parameres continuously narrowing apicad, bearing two short setae apically. Phallobase wide, 2× longer than wide. Median lobe ca. as long as parameres, nearly parallel-sided, with wide apical projection. Membranous lateral projections of median lobe absent. Gonopore absent.

Etymology. The new species is dedicated to the Dominican radio station El Bacharengé, a source of the Caribbean music for the first author during his studies in the Czech Republic.

Distribution. Oosternum bacharengé sp. n. is a Hispaniolan endemic species currently only known from the type locality in the northeastern part of the Dominican Republic (Hato Mayor province) (Fig. 61).

Habitat. Based on the label data, the type specimens was collected in litter between tree buttresses in the rain forest.

Oosternum cercyonoides sp. n.

http://zoobank.org/4B4F624C-6044-4B16-A829-E7F699B70567
http://species-id.net/wiki/Oosternum_cercyonoides
Figs 5–6, 21, 31, 41, 50, 61

Type-locality. Jamaica, St. Thomas P. Portland Gap: 18°1'44.76"N, 76°30'22.40"W, 1676 m.


Diagnosis. Body widest ca at midlength. Lateral margin of pronotum angulate. Pronotal punctuation uniform in size, moderately dense consisting of small raps-like punctures. Pronotal interstices without microsculpture. Mesal part of pronotum not divided from lateral portions. Lateral margin of antenual grooves subangulate. Elytral interval 2 of the same width as interval 3, as high as intervals 1 and 3, reaching elytral apex. Elytral intervals 5, 7 and 9 as convex as adjacent intervals. Elytral interstices shiny, without microsculpture. Preepisternal plate wide, drop-like, 1.7× longer than wide. Interstices without microsculpture, shiny. Anterolateral ridges of metaventrite not meeting together of median portion of metaventrite mesally.

Description. Habitus. Body elongate oval, gradually narrowing posteriad; total length /total width ratio = 2.5. Length: 1.9–1.95 mm, length of holotype: 1.9 mm; width: 0.75–0.78 mm, width of holotype: 0.78 mm.


Head. Clypeus with sparse punctation consisting of small rounded punctures, each puncture bearing fine decumbent seta; setae pale; interstices without microsculpture; anterior margin of clypeus truncate. Frons with dense punctuation consisting of coarse, impressed rounded punctures, punctures of same shape medially and laterally; interstices without microsculpture. Eyes moderately large. Mentum 1.8× wider than long, anterior margin slightly emarginate; anteromedian part distinctly impressed; with sparse punctuation, consisting of small, nearly indistinct punctures bearing minute setae; interstices with very fine microsculpture, opaque. Submentum without poriferous disc-like fields. Maxillary palpus with palpomeres 2 and 4 ca. 1.2× longer than palpomere 3.

Prothorax. Pronotum evenly convex forming continuous curve with elytra in lateral view. Lateral margin of pronotum angulate, with narrow marginal bead. Pronotal punctuation uniform in size, moderately dense, as dense as that on frons, consisting of small raps-like punctures similar on whole surface of pronotum; all punctures bearing long setae; interstices without microsculpture. Median portion of pronotum not elevated and demarcated from lateral portions, median carina of pronotum narrow, not projecting more anteriad mesally than anterior margin of median portion, with anterior portion elevated into small tooth in lateral view. Postero-mesal projection with deep notch. Antenual grooves moderately large. Lateral margin of antenial grooves subangulate.

Mesothorax. Scutellar shield bearing a few small raps-like punctures; interstices without microsculpture. Elytral series 1–5 and 8 arising basally, series 6–7 and 9 joint subbasally. Serial punctures small; transverse; sparsely arranged; with minute setae (indistinct under binocular microscope). Interval 2 of the same width as interval 3, as high as intervals 1 and 3, reaching elytral apex, intervals 5, 7 and 9 as convex as adjacent intervals. Elytral interstices shiny, without microsculpture. Preepisternal plate wide, drop-like, 1.7× longer than wide, widely attached to metaventrite; posterior part of preepisternal elevation slightly overlapping over anterior margin of metaventrite; median part flat; bearing sparsely arranged shallow setiferous punctures; interstices without microsculpture.

Metathorax. Metaventrite distinctly shorter than preepisternal elevation of mesothorax, median portion markedly differing from lateral portion in punctuation and microsculpture; punctuation of median portion consisting of sparsely arranged but large raps-like setiferous punctures, interstices without microsculpture, shiny. Anterolateral ridges of metaventrite not meeting together mesally. Anterior margin of metaventrite not crenulate.

Abdomen. Ventrite 1 with additional longitudinal ridges laterally. Ventrites 2–5 without longitudinal ridges; posterior margin of all ventrites lacking denticles.

Etymology. The species name is derived from the name of the megasternine genus Cercyon Leach, 1817, reflecting the Cercyon-like appearance of this new species.

Distribution. Oosternum cercyonoides sp. n. is a Jamaican endemic currently only known from the type lo-
Habitat. Based on the label data, the specimens were collected using baited pitfall traps in the montane cloud forest.

Oosternum insulare sp. n.
http://zoobank.org/725652DA-DD49-4A9C-867F-077D6788AB5F
http://species-id.net/wiki/Oosternum_insulare
Figs 7–8, 22, 35, 42, 55, 61

Type-locality. Jamaica: Cockpit Country, 18°20′51.7″N, 77°38′29.7″W, 250 m, trail to upper Windsor Cave.


Diagnosis. Body widest ca at midlength. Lateral margin of pronotum angulate. Pronotal punctuation uniform in size, dense, consisting of large rounded punctures. Pronotal interstices without microsculpture. Mesal part of pronotum divided from lateral portions by oblique sharp ridges. Lateral margin of antenial grooves with acute projection. Elytral interval 2 narrower than intervals 1 and 3, lower than intervals 1 and 3, reaching elytral apex. Elytral intervals 5, 7 and 9 distinctly higher than adjacent intervals. Elytral interstices shiny, without microsculpture. Punctation of same shape medially and laterally; interstices with very fine microsculpture, opaque. Submentum with poriferous disc-like fields. Maxillary palpus with palpomeres 2 and 4 ca. 1.2× longer than palpomere 3. Antenna with 9 antennomeres; scapus shorter than antennomeres 2–6 combined.

Prothorax. Pronotum evenly convex, slightly more convex than elytra in lateral view; lateral margin angulate, with narrow marginal bead. Pronotal punctuation consisting of two types of punctures, large rounded without seta and smaller transverse with long seta; interstices without microsculpture. Transverse row of punctures on posterior margin of pronotum hardly defined. Median portion of prosternum elevated and demarcated from lateral portion. Median carina of prosternum narrow, projecting more anteriad mesally than anterior margin of median portion, straight in lateral view. Median portion of prosternum 1.2× wider than long, postero-mesal projection with shallow notch. Pair of deep pits next to ridge delimiting median portion of prosternum present. Antennal grooves moderately large. Lateral margin of antennal grooves with acute projection.

Mesothorax. Scutellar shield bearing a few small, round punctures; interstices without microsculpture. Elytral series 1–6 arising basally, series 7–9 subbasally. Serial punctures small; transverse; sparsely arranged, with minute setae (indistinct under binocular microscope). Interval 2 narrower than interval 3, lower than intervals 1 and 3, reaching elytral apex, intervals 5, 7 and 9 distinctly higher than adjacent intervals. Elytral interstices shiny, without microsculpture. Punctation of same shape medially and laterally; interstices with very fine microsculpture, opaque. Submentum with poriferous disc-like fields. Maxillary palpus with palpomeres 2 and 4 ca. 1.2× longer than palpomere 3. Antenna with 9 antennomeres; scapus shorter than antennomeres 2–6 combined.

Metathorax. Scutellum bearing a few small, round punctures; interstices without microsculpture. Anterolateral ridges of metathorax not meeting together mesally. Parameres 0.7× longer than phallobase, bearing a single seta apically. Median lobe ca. 1.5× longer than parameres, slight narrowing from base towards apex, with minute apical projection. Membranous lateral projections of median lobe absent.

Description. Habitus. Body elongate oval, gradually narrowing posteriad; total length / total width = 1.6. Length: 1.3–1.4 mm, length of holotype: 1.4 mm; width: 0.78–0.84 mm, width of holotype: 0.84 mm.


Head. Clypeus with sparse punctuation consisting of fine puncture, each puncture bearing fine decumbent seta; setae pale; interstices without microsculpture; anterior margin of clypeus slightly concave. Frons with dense punctuation consisting of coarse rounded punctures, punctures of same shape medially and laterally; interstices without microsculpture. Eyes moderately large. Mentum 1.9× wider than long, anterior margin slightly emarginate; anteromedian part deeply impressed in contrast to lateral portions; with sparse punctuation, punctuation consisting of small, nearly indistinct punctures bearing minute setae; interstices with very fine microsculpture, opaque. Submentum without poriferous disc-like fields. Maxillary palpus with palpomeres 2 and 4 ca. 1.2× longer than palpomere 3. Antenna with 9 antennomeres; scapus shorter than antennomeres 2–6 combined.

Male genitalia. Aedeagus 0.57 mm long, parameres 0.7× longer than phallobase. Parameres continuously narrowing apicad, bearing a single seta apically. Phallobase
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narrow, 3× longer than wide. Median lobe ca. 1.5× longer than parameres, slight narrowing from base towards apex, with minute apical projection. Membranous lateral projections of median lobe absent. Gonopore absent.

**Etymology.** The species name is the manuscript name used in an unpublished revision of the genus *Oosternum* by M. Hansen – it was the only West Indian endemic in the manuscript, hence the highlighting of the fact that it is the island endemic.

**Distribution.** *Oosternum insulare* sp. n. is the Jamaican endemic currently known from three localities throughout the island, all situated in the altitudes around 500 m a.s.l. (Fig. 61).

**Habitat.** Specimens of *O. insulare* were collected from leaf litter of the well-preserved semi-deciduous forest in the karst area.

*Oosternum latum* Fikáček, Hebauer & Hansen, 2009

http://species-id.net/wiki/Oosternum_latum

Figs 9–10, 23, 36, 43, 56, 61

*Oosternum latum* Fikáček, Hebauer & Hansen, 2009: 34.


**Diagnosis.** Body widest on base of elytra. Lateral margin of pronotum angulate. Pronotal punctuation uniform in size, sparse, consisting of small, rasp-like, weakly impressed punctures. Pronotal interstices with microsculputure. Mesal part of prosternum divided from lateral portions by oblique sharp ridges. Lateral margin of antennal grooves with acute projection. Elytral interval 2 narrower than interval 3, lower than intervals 1 and 3, reaching elytral apex. Intervals 5, 7 and 9 distinctly higher than adjacent intervals. Elytral interstices opaque, with very fine microsculpture. Preepisternal plate wide, suboval, 2× longer than wide. Interstices of median part of metaventrite without microsculpture, with subpentagonal slightly elevate median portion. Anterolateral ridges of metaventrite not meeting together mesally. Parameres 1.2× longer than phallobase, bearing two short setae apically. Median lobe slightly longer than parameres, nearly parallel-sided basally, narrowing apicad in apical 0.2. Membranous lateral projections of median lobe present, with series of long setae on each side.

**Description.** Body widely oval, strongly narrowing posteriorly; total length /total width ratio = 1.3. Length: 1.41 mm; width: 0.87 mm.

**Coloration.** Coloration of dorsal side of head, pronotum and elytra dark brown. Ventral side brown.

**Head.** Clypeus with sparse punctuation consisting of fine, each puncture bearing fine decumbent seta; setae pale; interstices with fine microsculpture; anterior margin of clypeus slightly concave. Frons with moderately dense punctuation consisting of small, shallowly impressed rounded to slightly transverse punctures, punctures of same shape medially and laterally; interstices with fine mesh-like microsculpture. Eyes moderately large. Mentum 1.9× wider than long, anterior margin slightly emarginate; anteromedian part deeply impressed in contrast to lateral portions; with sparse punctuation, punctuation consisting of small, nearly indistinct punctures.
bearing minute setae; interstices with fine microsculpture consisting of transverse ridges. Submentum without poriferous disc-like fields. Maxillary palps with palpomeres 2 and 4 ca. 1.2× longer than palpomere 3. Antenna with 9 antennomeres; scapus shorter than antennomeres 2–6 combined. Evenly convex.

**Prothorax.** Pronotum forming continuous curve with elytra in lateral view; lateral margin weakly sinuate, with narrow marginal bead. Pronotal punctation uniform in size, moderately dense, as dense as that on frons consisting of small, transverse punctures similar on whole surface of pronotum; punctures with minute setae intermixed among those bearing long setae; interstices with microsculpture. Transverse row of punctures on posterior margin of pronotum absent. Median portion of proterum elevated and demarcated from lateral portins; median carina of proterum narrow, projecting more anteriad mesally than anterior margin of median portion, with anterior portion elevated into small tooth in lateral view. Median portion of proterum 1.8× wider than long, postero-mesal projection with shallow notch. Pair of deep pits next to ridge delimiting median portion of proterum present. Antennal grooves moderately large. Lateral margin of antennal grooves with acute projection.

**Mesothorax.** Scutellar shield bearing few minute rounded punctures; interstices without microsculpture. Elytral punctures coarse, shallowly impressed rounded; interstices with very fine microsculpture, opaque. Elytral intervals 5, 7 and 9 distinctly higher than interval 3, lower as convex as adjacent intervals. Elytral interstices shiny, without microsculpture. Preepisternal plate narrow, drop-like, 2.6× longer than wide, widely attached to metaventrite; posterior margin of preepisternal elevation slightly overlapping over anterior margin of metaventrite, median part flat; bearing densely arranged, large, shallow setiferous punctures; interstices with very fine microsculpture, opaque.

**Metathorax.** Metaventrite ca. as long as preepisternal elevation of mesothorax, median portion markedly differing from lateral portion in punctuation and microsculpture; punctuation of median portion moderately dense, consisting of large, sharply impressed round setiferous punctures, interstices with strong mesh-like microsculpture on the whole surface. Anterolateral ridges bent posteriad along lateral margin of metaventrite, concave, laterally not meeting together mesally. Anterior margin of metaventrite not crenulate.

**Abdomen.** Ventrite 1 with additional longitudinal ridges laterally. Ventrites 2–5 without longitudinal ridges; posterior margin of all ventrites lacking denticles.

**Male genitalia.** Aedeagus 0.44 mm long, parameres 1.2× longer than phallobase. Parameres continuously narrowing apicad, bearing two short setae apically. Phallobase narrow, 1.9× longer than wide. Median lobe slightly longer than parameres, nearly parallel-sided basally, narrowing apicad in apical 0.4. Membranous lateral projections of median lobe present. Gonopore present.

**Etymology.** The species name is derived from the woman’s name Lucia, referring to the presence of this species in the Saint Lucia island.

**Distribution.** Oosternum luciae sp. n. is an endemic of Saint Lucia island and currently known only from the type locality (Fig. 61).

**Habitat.** Based on the label data, the type specimen was collected using an UV light trap.

**Oosternum megna sp. n.**

http://zoobank.org/E11CD275-C61D-42D2-BBDD-D8258ED20B73
http://species-id.net/wiki/Oosternum_megna

Figs 13–14, 25, 32, 45, 51, 58, 61

**Type-locality.** Cuba, Granma Province: PN Turquinio, 20°0.9’N, 76°53.3’W, slope below Pico Naranjo ca. 0.4 km N of La Platica (by air), 950 m.

**Type-samples** (13 spec.), Holotype male, dry mounted, with genitalia mounted in Euparal on a microslide attached to the specimens. Original label: “CUBA: Granma: PN Turquinio, slope below Pico Naranjo ca. 0.4 km N of La Platica (by air), 24.vi.2012, Deler-Hernández & Fikáček leg. 20°0.9’N, 76°53.3’W, elevation 950 m, MF23 [printed] / Holotype, Oosternum megna sp. n., Deler-Hernández & Fikáček det. 2013 [red, printed]” (NMPC). Paratypes CUBA: Granma province: same data as holotype (12 spec., NMPC, CMN, SEMC, BSC-E, BMNH).

**Diagnosis.** Body widest ca at midlength. Lateral margin of pronotum angulate. Punctation uniform in size, moderately dense, consisting of small, rasp-like punctures. Pronotal interstices without microsculpture. Membranous interstices with microsculpture. Median carina of proterum elevated and demarcated from lateral portions. Lateral margin of antennal grooves rounded. Elytral interval 2 narrower than interval 3, as high as intervals 1 and 3, reaching elytral apex. Elytral intervals 5, 7 and 9 distinctly higher than intervals 1, 2 and 3, reaching elytral apex. Elytral intervals 5, 7 and 9 as convex as adjacent intervals. Elytral interstices shiny, without microsculpture. Preepisternal plate narrow, drop-like, 1.2× longer than wide. Interstices of median part of metaventrite without microsculpture. Anterolateral ridges of metaventrite not meeting together mesally. Parameres 0.8× longer than phallobase, bearing two long setae apically. Median lobe longer than parameres, oval in shape, with small apical projection. Membranous lateral projections of median lobe absent.

**Description.** **Habitus.** Body elongate oval, gradually narrowing posteriorly, total length/total width ratio = 1.9. Length: 1.47–1.49 mm, length of holotype: 1.32 mm; width: 0.75–0.82 mm, width of holotype: 0.8 mm.

**Coloration.** Coloration of dorsal side brown to dark brown, head, pronotum and elytra dark brown. Ventral side light brown. Femora, tibiae, tarsi and antennomeres and light brown, antennal club dark brown.

**Head.** Clypeus with moderately dense punctuation consisting of fine punctures, each puncture bearing
fine decumbent seta; setae pale; interstices without microsculpture; anterior margin of clypeus truncate. Frons with dense punctuation consisting of small, punctures of same shape medially and laterally; interstices without microsculpture. Eyes moderately large. Mentum 1.8× wider than long, anterior margin deeply emarginate; anteromedian part not distinctly impressed; with sparse punctuation, punctuation consisting of minute, almost indistinct punctures bearing minute setae; interstices with fine microsculpture consisting of transverse ridges. Submentum without poriferous disc-like fields. Maxillary palpus with palpomeres 2 and 4 ca. 1.2× longer than palpomere 3. Antenna with 9 antennomeres; scapus shorter than antennomeres 2–6 combined.

**Prothorax.** Pronotum evenly convex forming continuous curve with elytra in lateral view. Lateral margin of pronotum angulate; with narrow marginal bead. Pronotal punctuation uniform in size, moderately dense, as dense as that on frons; consisting of small rasp-like punctures, slightly sparser laterally than medially; all punctures bearing long setae; interstices without microsculpture. Median portion of prosternum not elevated and demarcated from lateral portions, median carina of prosternum narrow, projecting more anteriorly mesally than anterior margin of median portion, with anterior portion elevated into small tooth in lateral view. Postero-mesal projection with shallow notch. Antennal grooves moderately large. Lateral margin of antennal grooves rounded.

**Mesothorax.** Scutellar shield bearing a few small, rasp-like punctures; interstices without microsculpture. Elytral series 1–5 and 8 arising basally, series 6–7 and 9 joint subbasally. Serial punctures small; transverse; sparsely arranged, with minute setae (indistinct under binocular microscope). Elytral interval 2 narrower than interval 3, as high as intervals 1 and 3, reaching elytral apex, intervals 5, 7 and 9 as convex as adjacent intervals. Elytral interstices shiny, without microsculpture. Preepisternal plate narrow, drop-like, 2.3× longer than wide, widely attached to metaventrite; posterior part of preepisternal elevation slightly overlapping over anterior margin of metaventrite; median part flat; bearing densely

---

arranged, large, shallow setiferous punctures; interstices without microsculpture.

Metathorax. Metaventrite distinctly longer than preepisternal elevation of mesothorax, median portion markedly differing from lateral portion in punctation and microsculpture; punctation of median portion sparse consisting of small rounded punctures, interstices without microsculpture, shiny. Anterolateral ridges bent posteriori along lateral margin of metaventrite, concave laterally, not meeting together mesally. Anterior margin of metaventrite not crenulate.

Abdomen. Ventrite 1 with additional longitudinal ridges laterally. Ventrites 2–5 without longitudinal ridges; posterior margin of all ventrites lacking denticles.

Male genitalia. Aedeagus 0.52 mm long, parameres 0.8× longer than phallobase. Parameres continuously narrowing apicad, bearing two long setae apically. Phallobase narrow, 2.1× longer than wide. Median lobe longer than parameres, oval in shape, with small apical projection. Membranous lateral projections of median lobe absent. Gonopore absent.

Etymology. The new species is dedicated to our excellent colleague and friend Yoandri S. Megna (Universidad de Oriente, Santiago de Cuba, Cuba).

Distribution. Oosternum megnai sp. n. is the Cuban endemic species currently known only from the type locality in the southeastern part of the island (Granma province) (Fig. 61). The locality is situated in the Sierra Maestra mountain range which is considered one of the main centers of diversity in Cuba (CENAP 2004).

Habitat. Specimens of O. megnai were collected in dry leaf litter in the secondary forest.

Oosternum pecki sp. n.
http://zoobank.org/425E2398-6C4F-4966-A966-E7B1DD0C8207
http://species-id.net/wiki/Oosternum_pecki
Figs 15–16, 26, 33, 46, 49, 52, 59, 61

Type-locality. Dominican Republic, Barahona: 17°59'06.85"N, 71°12'57.29"W, 7 Km NW Paraiso, 200 m.

Type-specimens (19 spec.). Holotype male, dry mounted, with genitalia mounted in Euparal on a microslide pinned below the specimen. Original label: “DOM REP: Barahona: 7 Km NW Paraiso, 27.xi/04.xii.1991, Masner & Peck, elevation 200 m, rainforest remnant, intercept tp. [printed] / Holotype, Oosternum pecki sp. n., Deler-Hernández & Fikáček det. 2013 [red, printed]” (CNC). Paratypes: DO-
MINICAN REPUBLIC: Barahona: same data as holotype (18 spec., CNC, NMPC, BSC-E, CMN, SEMC).

**Diagnosis.** Body widest ca at midlength. Lateral margin of pronotum angulate. Pronotal punctuation double-sized, dense consisting of moderately large round and rasp-like punctures. Pronotal interstices without microsculpture. Mesal part of prosternum not divided from lateral portions. Lateral margin of antennal grooves rounded. Elytral interval 2 narrower than interval 3, lower than intervals 1 and 3, reaching elytral apex. Elytral intervals 5, 7 and 9 distinctly higher than adjacent intervals. Elytral interstices shiny, without microsculpture. Preepisternal plate wide, subrhomboid, 2.1× longer than wide, largely overlapping over metaventrite. Interstices of median part of metaventrite without microsculpture. Anterolateral ridges of metaventrite not meeting together mesally. Parameres 1.1× longer than phallobase, bearing two long setae apically. Median lobe ca. as long as parameres, nearly parallel-sided basally.

narrowing apicad in apical 0.2, apex rounded. Membraneous lateral projections of median lobe absent.

**Description. Habitus.** Body elongate oval, gradually narrowing posteriorly; total length/total width ratio = 1.6. Length: 1.45–1.5 mm, length of holotype: 1.41 mm; width: 0.89–0.97 mm, width of holotype: 0.89 mm.

**Coloration.** Coloration of dorsal side reddish brown. Ventral side brown to reddish brown. Femora, tibiae and antennal club pale reddish brown, tarsi and antennomeres 1–6 yellowish.

**Head.** Clypeus with sparse punctation consisting of fine punctures, each puncture bearing fine decumbent setae; setae pale; interstices without microsculpture; anterior margin of clypeus truncate. Frons with dense punctation consisting of small punctures of same shape medially and laterally; interstices without microsculpture. Eyes moderately large. Mentum 1.9× wider than long, anterior margin slightly emarginate; anteromedian part not distinctly impressed; with sparse punctuation, punctuation consisting of minute, nearly indistinct punctures bearing minute setae; interstices without microsculpture. Eyes moderately large. Mentum 1.9× wider than long, anterior margin slightly emarginate; anteromedian part not distinctly impressed; with sparse punctuation, punctuation consisting of minute, nearly indistinct punctures bearing minute setae; interstices without microsculpture.

**Prothorax.** Pronotum evenly convex, forming continuous curve with elytra in lateral view. Lateral margin of pronotum angulate, with narrow marginal bead. Pronotal punctuation double-sized, dense, slightly denser than on frons, consisting of transverse punctures anteriorly and large round punctures posteriorly; all punctures bearing long setae; interstices without microsculpture. Median portion of prosternum not elevated and demarcated from lateral portions, median carina of prosternum narrow projecting more anteriad mesally than anterior margin of median portion, with anterior portion elevated into small tooth in lateral view. Postero-meseral projection with deep notch. Antenial grooves moderately large. Lateral margin of antennal grooves rounded.

**Mesothorax.** Scutellar shield bearing a few small rasp-like punctures; interstices without microsculpture. Elytral series 1–5 and 8 arising basally, series 6–7 and 9 joint subbasally. Serial punctures small; transverse; sparsely arranged, with minute setae (indistinct under binocular microscope). Elytral interval 2 narrower than interval 3, lower than intervals 1 and 3, reaching elytral apex, intervals 5, 7 and 9 distinctly higher than adjacent intervals. Elytral interstices shiny, without microsculpture. Preepisternal plate wide, subrhomboid, 2.1× longer than...
wide, widely attached to metaventrite; posterior part of preepisternal elevation much overlapping over anterior margin of metaventrite; median part flat; bearing densely arranged, large, shallow setiferous punctures; interstices without microsculpture.

**Metathorax.** Metaventrite distinctly shorter than preepisternal elevation of mesothorax, median portion markedly differing from lateral portion in punctation and microsculpture; punctation of median portion sparse, consisting of small rounded punctures, interstices without microsculpture, shiny. Anterolateral ridges bent posteriad along lateral margin of metaventrite, concave laterally, not meeting together mesally. Anterior margin of metaventrite not crenulate. Lateral margin with additional slightly concave ridge.

**Abdomen.** Ventrite 1 without additional longitudinal ridges laterally. Ventrites 2–5 without longitudinal ridges; posterior margin of all ventrites lacking denticles.

**Male genitalia.** Aedeagus 0.57 mm long, parameres 1.1× longer than phallobase. Parameres continuously narrowing apicad, bearing two long setae apically. Phallobase wide, 1.6× longer than wide. Median lobe ca. as long as parameres, nearly parallel-sided basally, narrowing apicad in apical 0.2, apex rounded. Membranous lateral projections of median lobe absent. Gonopore present.

**Etymology.** The new species is dedicated to Professor Stuart Peck (Carleton University, Canada) whose collecting trips accumulated a huge material of the West Indian Hydrophilidae, including many *Oosternum* specimens used for this study.

**Distribution.** *Oosternum pecki* sp. n. is a Hispaniolan endemic species currently known only from the type locality situated in the southern part of the Dominican Republic (Barahona province) (Fig. 61).

**Habitat.** Based on the label data, the type specimens were collected in a remnant of the rain forest using a flight intercept trap.

---

**Oosternum sharpi** Hansen, 1999

http://species-id.net/wiki/Oosternum_sharpi

Figs 17–18, 27, 38, 47, 60, 61


*Oosternum sharpi* Hansen, 1999: 242 (replacement name).

**Material examined** (65 spec.). **CUBA**: Cienfuegos province: Cumanayagua municipality, Jardín Botánico de Cienfuegos, Soledad, ca. 73 m, 22°7’18.44"N, 80°19’35.26"W, 21.v.2013, A. Deler-Hernández leg., horse excrements (3 spec., NMPC); 01.v.1950, Acc. No 49672 (4 spec., WIFP); Mina Carlota, ca. 470 m, 22.0667°N, 80.1667°W, 06.v.1950, Acc. No 49681 (1 spec., WIFP).


Curupe: ca. 13 m a.s.l., (1 spec.): Esmerald Valley Hotel, res intermixed with smaller transverse punctures. Pronotal gin of pronotum angulate. Pronotal punctation double-sized, dense consisting of moderately large, round punctures intermixed with smaller transverse punctures. Pronotal interstices with microsculpture. Mesal part of prosternum divided from lateral portions by oblique sharp ridges. Lateral margin of antennal grooves with acute projection. Elytral interval 2 narrower than interval 3, lower than intervals 1 and 3, reaching elytral apex. Elytral intervals 5, 7 and 9 distinctly higher than adjacent intervals. Elytral interstices shiny, without microsculpture. Preeipisternal plate wide, drop-like, 2× longer than wide. Interstices of median part of metaventrite with very fine microsculpture, opaque. Anterolateral ridges not meeting together mesally. Parameres 1.2× longer than phallobase, bearing a single seta apically. Medial lobe longer than parameres, narrowing towards apex. Membranous lateral projections of median lobe large, bearing long setae on each side.

**Distribution.** Oosternum sharpi is a common species distributed throughout the West Indies. We are recording it from all islands of the Greater Antilles (Cuba, Jamaica, Hispaniola and Puerto Rico) as well as from 9 islands of the Lesser Antilles. The species is otherwise widely distributed in southern USA, Central America and northern part of the South America, and was also introduced to the Azores (Orchymont 1940, Svensson 1973), Hawaiian islands (Hansen 1995), Ghana (Smetana 1978) and Sri Lanka (Hansen 1995). All specimens but one examined by us from the West Indian islands were females. The only male known from the West Indies is from Puerto Rico.

**Habitat.** Specimens of *O. sharp* are often collected from dry leaf litter of secondary forests or other secondary types of vegetation (including bamboo stands in agricultural areas), and are also found in cow and horse dung from the West Indian islands were females. The only male known from the West Indies is from Puerto Rico.

**Material examined** (1 spec.): **BAHAMAS:** Andros Island, Forfar Field Station, 10 m, 24°53'50.81"N, 77°55'54.29"W, 10/15.vii.1983, J. Peacock leg., at light (1 spec., WIFP).

**Diagnosis.** Body widest ca at midlength. Lateral margin of pronotum angulate. Pronotal punctuation double-sized, dense consisting of moderately large, round punctures intermixed with smaller transverse punctures. Pronotal interstices with microsculpture. Mesal part of prosternum divided from lateral portions by oblique sharp ridges. Lateral margin of antennal grooves with acute projection. Elytral interval 2 narrower than interval 3, lower than intervals 1 and 3, reaching elytral apex. Elytral intervals 5, 7 and 9 distinctly higher than adjacent intervals. Elytral interstices shiny, without microsculpture. Preeipisternal plate wide, drop-like, 2× longer than wide. Interstices of median part of metaventrite with very fine microsculpture, opaque. Anterolateral ridges of metaventrite not meeting together mesally, indistinct laterally.

**Comment.** The single examined specimen is very similar to *O. sharp* but differs from it by the relatively smaller epeeisternal plate of the mesothorax, central portion of the metaventrite with much finer punctuation and the
Figure 61. Distribution of the representatives of the *Oosternum* from West Indies. Shape of the symbols indicate the species group which the respective species belongs to (see the Discussion for details).
laterally incomplete anterolateral ridge (Fig. 48). However, since only a single female is available, we refrain from describing it as a new species, pending the discovery of additional specimens and ideally males.

Discussion

Composition of the West Indian fauna of Oosternum. Ten species groups of Oosternum were defined by Fikáček et al. (2009), using a set of arbitrarily selected characters (prosternal morphology, the form the pronotum, elytral morphology and the morphology of the metaventrite). These groups were aimed to divide the genus into smaller parts facilitating the species-level revision. Their phylogenetic significance has never been tested, yet they are the only available proxy of the internal structure of Oosternum at the moment. Moreover, additional characters exclusively correlating with some of the groups or their combinations were subsequently found (Fikáček 2009), which possibly indicates that at least some of these groups are candidates for monophyletic clades.

Representatives of five different species groups were found in the West Indies. Four species, the widely distributed O. sharpi, the endemic O. insulare and O. luciae, and the undescribed species from the Bahamas, are members of the O. sharpi species group (indicated by circles in Fig. 61). One species, O. latum, is a member of the O. aequinoclitae group (Fikáček et al. 2009; cross-shaped symbol in Fig. 61), which seems to be morphologically very close to the O. sharpi group based on the medially differentiated prosternum, pronotal punctuation consisting of two intermixed types of punctures (one with long seta, one with extremely reduced seta) and antennal grooves with acute lateral projection. Further five species represent the groups without differentiated median portion of prosternum. They key out as members of Oosternum group C (in case of O. cercyonoides) and of the O. pubescens group (in case of O. andersoni, O. bacharenge, O. megnai and O. pecki) using the key to groups by Fikáček et al. (2009), as they differ in the morphology of the elytral intervals. However, the close relationship of the West Indian species with O. pubescens (LeConte, 1855) seems rather improbable, as the latter species is unique among Oosternum by possessing a partially differentiated median portion of pronotum (see Fig. 250 in Smetana 1978, under the name Cercyon pubescens). In contrast, all West Indian species bear a simply carinate prosternum. Moreover, O. pecki seems rather isolated from the remaining West Indian species based on its unique morphology of the meso- and metaventrite (preepisternal plate largely overlapping over metaventrite, metaventrite with an additional lateral ridge along the lateral margin) as well as by the character of the pronotal punctuation (shape of the punctures changes from anterior margin to the posterior one). We therefore tentatively consider the West Indian species with simple prosternum as members of three species groups: O. cercyonoides and O. pecki each represent a separate species group (marked by a rhomboid and a triangle in Fig. 61, respectively), whereas O. andersoni, O. bacharenge and O. megnai are extremely similar to each other and form the group depicted by squares in Fig. 61.

Biogeography of the West Indian Oosternum. Nine of the ten Oosternum species occurring in the West Indies are thusfar endemic to the region. All of them are single-island endemics. The highest diversity is found in the Greater Antilles, where six endemic species were found, two on each island (Cuba, Jamaica, Hispaniola) except for Puerto Rico, from where no endemic species is known. In the Lesser Antilles, only two endemic species are known from the southern part of the island arc; one from each Saint Lucia and Saint Vincent islands.

Based on the assignment to the tentative species groups discussed above, the fauna of the Greater Antilles clearly shows a composite character, hosting representatives of five different species groups. Each Jamaican endemic species belongs to a different species group, and the same is the case of Hispaniola. This seems to indicate that the fauna of these islands resulted from multiple independent colonizations. A different situation is found in Cuba, where both endemic species, O. andersoni and O. megnai, are morphologically very similar and very likely closely related. They are moreover very similar to O. bacharenge from Hispaniola. It is hence probable that the three species are closely related. The geological block that today forms the northern part of Hispaniola was originally connected to that of eastern Cuba until the Early to Middle Miocene when it separated (Graham 2003). Hence, Oosternum bacharenge may have originated by vicariance after the separation of northern Hispaniola from Cuba. In Cuba, the two endemic species were never collected syntopically: Oosternum andersoni is a highland species widespread throughout the island, whereas O. megnai is endemic to the western part of the Sierra Maestra Mts. The type locality lies at the slope of Pico Turquino, i.e. the highest Cuban mountain. We failed to find the species in the central and eastern parts of Sierra Maestra despite our recent intensive collecting effort in these areas, which confirms that O. megnai is very likely a very local endemic. To understand the reasons for the within island split of O. andersoni and O. megnai, it would be necessary to date the age of the split. However, a local split along the elevation gradient seems currently as the most probable explanation.

Although data from other islands of the Greater Antilles are more limited than those from Cuba, the separation along the altitudinal gradient is likely also present in Jamaica, where O. cercyonoides is known from the highest mountain range only (Blue Mountains in eastern Jamaica, the type locality at 1600 m a.s.l.), whereas O. insulare is known from localities at around 500 m a.s.l. across the island. In this case, the species are however not related to each other, but the different environmental requirements may have facilitated their coexistence in the island after two independent colonizations. The composite character of the Hispaniolan fauna may on the other hand reflect
the composite geological origin of the island. The current island consists of two originally separate blocks. The northern one was connected to eastern Cuba until the Early/Middle Miocene (as discussed above), whereas the southern one originally formed a separate island and was connected with the northern one in the Middle Miocene (Graham 2003). One Hispaniolan endemic species is known from the former northern island (O. bacharenge), the other from the former southern island (O. pecki) and they are moreover not closely related to each other. The probable origin of O. bacharenge was already discussed. Oosternum pecki may have originally been the sole endemic in the small island of Southern Hispaniola, resulting from the dispersal from the continent or another West Indian island.

The endemics of the Lesser Antilles are most probably not closely related, and are both known from the volcanic island in the south of the island arc (O. luciae from St. Lucia, O. latum from St. Vincent), i.e. those which are rather close to the South American continent. The taxonomy and distribution is known in detail at least for the O. aequinoctiale group to which O. latum belongs. All species of this group but O. latum are restricted to South and Central America, and none of them, including the otherwise very widespread O. aequinoctiale (Motschulsky, 1855), does not occur in the West Indies (Fikáček et al. 2009). It seems hence probable that O. latum colonized the volcanic island of St. Vincent from South America. Same may be true for O. luciae which also has its relative species only in South America (Fikáček, unpubl. data) and is not related to any other West Indian species.

Unbalanced sex ratio of West Indian populations of O. sharpi? Oosternum sharpi is the only species of the genus that is widespread in the West Indies and also the only one that is not endemic – it is also widespread in the continental Central and South America and in the southern USA (this material was not studied in detail in this study). Surprisingly, the vast majority of the West Indian specimens of this species examined by us (64 of 65 specimens) are females. No males were found in most islands, with the only exception of Puerto Rico, from which the only West Indian male of the species is known. Even though this may be accidental due to a small number of specimens collected in most collecting events, it still stands in contrast to most other West Indian Oosternum species treated here in which males were found despite the limited number of collected specimens. It is also in a strong contrast to the continental populations of O. sharpi, in which males are frequent (M. Fikáček, unpubl. data). Additional collecting is needed to test whether the absence of males in most islands is just a collecting bias, or whether some island population of O. sharpi may be parthenogenetic. The latter possibility would however correspond with the wide distribution of the species in the West Indies as well as with the fact that O. sharpi is the only species of the genus which was introduced outside of the Neotropical Region (as a single female is able to establish a new population in parthenogenetic species).
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Abstract
The alpha taxonomy of the ant genus *Probolomyrmex* in Madagascar is revised on the basis of the worker caste. Two new species are described: *P. curculiformis* sp. n. and *P. zahamena* sp. n., and the previously known *P. tani* is re-described. All three species are members of the *P. greavesi* species group. The species descriptions include diagnoses, taxonomic discussions, high quality montage images, and distribution maps. In addition, we provide an illustrated species level identification key.
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Introduction
The rare ant genus *Probolomyrmex* is distributed throughout most of the world’s tropics and subtropics, and contains 24 valid species at present (Bolton 2014). Based on current knowledge, *Probolomyrmex* are cryptic ants that live in small (around 20 workers), subterranean colonies in a variety of forest habitats (Taylor 1965, Shattuck et al. 2012). If encountered alive in the field they move very fast in straight movements with stretched out antennae (Agosti 1994). Due to their cryptic lifestyle they are seldom collected and only very little information about the biology of these peculiar ants exists (Taylor 1965, Agosti 1994, Shattuck et al. 2012). The Oriental species *P. dammermani* Wheeler turned out to be a specialised predator of polixenid millipedes (Ito 1998), but whether this prey choice is universal within *Probolomyrmex* or restricted only to *P. dammermani* remains to be studied.

The taxonomy of the genus is in a fairly good condition. Taylor (1965) monographed the genus, provided a global taxonomic revision and recognized nine species. Since then a number of authors published isolated species descriptions and updated, taxonomic revisions for several regional faunas (Neotropical: Agosti 1994, O’Keefe and Agosti 1997, Oriental: Tanaka 1974, Terayama and Ogata 1988, Xu and Zeng 2000, Eguchi et al. 2006, Malagasy: Fisher 2007, Australia and Melanesia: Shattuck et al. 2012). Recently, Eguchi et al. (2006) tried to divide the genus into smaller subsets for the Oriental and Indo-Australian regions and created two species groups: the *P. longinodus* group, which is characterised by a low, long petiole with reduced subpetiolar process,
and the *P. greavesi* group, which contains species with a stouter petiole with very well developed subpetiolar process. Until a few years ago *Probolomyrmex* was unknown from the Malagasy region. Fisher (2007) provided the first record and described the first species from Madagascar: *P. tani* Fisher. Despite not having been associated to any species group yet, *P. tani* can be placed in the *P. greavesi* species group sensu Eguchi et al. (2006) on the basis of the only slightly longer than high petiole with well-developed ventral process.

In this study we revise the alpha taxonomy of the ant genus *Probolomyrmex* for Madagascar. We describe the two new species *P. curculiformis* and *P. zahamena* and re-describe *P. tani*. All descriptions include diagnoses, taxonomic discussions, and high quality montage images. In addition, we provide an illustrated identification key to species, as well as maps showing the known distribution ranges.

### Abbreviations of depositories

The collection abbreviations follow Evenhuis (2014). The material upon which this study is based is located and/or was examined at the following institutions:

- BMNH The Natural History Museum (British Museum, Natural History), London, U.K.
- CASC California Academy of Sciences, San Francisco, California, U.S.A.
- MCZC Museum of Comparative Zoology, Cambridge, Massachusetts, U.S.A.

### Material and methods

The material examined in this study is based on ant inventories carried out on Madagascar from 1992 to 2011 which included more than 6,000 leaf litter samples, 4,000 pitfall traps, and 9,000 additional hand collecting events (see Fisher 2005 for additional details). Despite such an intensive sampling effort throughout the whole island, *Probolomyrmex* ants were only rarely collected. This is reflected in the just 40 specimens available for this study.

All new type material and all imaged specimens can be uniquely identified with specimen-level codes affixed to each pin (e.g. CASENT0078328). In the presented descriptions we list all of the available specimen-level codes for the whole type series. It should be noted, however, that the number of stated paratype workers does not necessarily match the number of listed specimen-level codes because pins can hold more than one specimen. Digital colour images were created using a JVC KY-F75 digital camera and Syncroscopy Auto-Montage software (version 5.0), or a Leica DFC 425 camera in combination with the Leica Application Suite software (version 3.8). All images presented are available online and can be seen on AntWeb (http://www.antweb.org). The distribution maps provided at the end of the study (Fig. 6) were generated with the software R (R Core Team 2014). The measurements were taken with a Leica MZ 12.5 equipped with an orthogonal pair of micrometers at a magnification of 100×. Measurements and indices are presented as minimum and maximum values with arithmetic means in parentheses. In addition, all measurements are expressed in mm to two decimal places. The measurements and indices used in this study are mostly based on Taylor (1965), Eguchi et al. (2006), Fisher (2007), and Shattuck et al. (2012):

- **HL** Head length: in full-face view maximum longitudinal length of head from anterior-most portion of projecting clypeus to midpoint of line across back of head
- **HW** Head width: in full-face view maximum width of head
- **SL** Scape length: maximum length of antennal scape excluding basal constriction and condylar bulb
- **WL** Weber’s length: diagonal length of mesosoma measured in profile from posteroventral corner of mesosoma to the farthest point on anterior face of pronotum, excluding the neck
- **PH** Pronotum height: maximum height of pronotum in lateral view
- **PW** Pronotum width: maximum width of pronotum in dorsal view
- **HTL** Length of the hind tibia: measured in dorsolateral view, from the articulation with the femur, excluding the proximomedial condyle, to the distal extremity of the tibia
- **PeW** Dorsal petiolar width: maximum width of the petiole in dorsal view
- **PeH** Petiole height: height of petiole in lateral view, measured vertically from the ventral margin of the posteroventral convexity/angle/projection of subpetiolar process to the level of the highest point of petiolar node
- **PeNH** Petiolar node height: maximum height of petiolar node in lateral view, measured vertically from a line tangent to the posterior and anterior-most points of the tergosternal suture to the level of the highest point on the node
- **PeNL** Petiolar node length: in dorsal view, maximum length of the node, measured from the anterior margin of the node (excluding articulation with propodeum) to the posteriormost dorsal margin of node. If anterior or posterior margin is concave, the length is measured from the midpoint of a line drawn across the margin
- **CI** Cephalic index: HW/HL × 100
- **SI** Scape index: SL/HW × 100
- **LMI** Lateral mesosoma index: PH / WL × 100
- **HTLI** Hind tibial length index: HTL / HW × 100
- **DPeI** Dorsal petiolar node index: PeW/PeNL × 100
- **PeNI** Dorsal petiole index: PeW/PW × 100
- **LPeI** Lateral petiolar index: PeNL/PeH × 100
- **LPeNI** Lateral petiolar node index: PeNL/PeNH × 100
Taxonomy

Genus Probolomyrmex Mayr

http://species-id.net/wiki/Probolomyrmex

Probolomyrmex Mayr 1901: 2. Type species: Probolomyrmex filiformis Mayr, by monotypy.


Notes. Detailed diagnoses were given by Taylor (1965), Bolton (2003), Eguchi et al. (2006), and Keller (2011). The material from Madagascar treated herein matches them almost perfectly with one exception. The lack of any sutures or grooves on the mesosoma of Probolomyrmex is a widely accepted genus-specific character, but we observed the presence of a small but noticeable metanotal groove in two of the three Malagasy species. Probolomyrmex ants are always easily recognisable from other ants on the basis of their long and slender bodies, almost complete lack of pilosity, the long sting, and especially the frontoclypeal shelf bearing the antennal insertions (Taylor 1965, Agosti 1994). Taylor (1965) pointed out that the structural reduction in Probolomyrmex is extreme, which leaves only a few, useful diagnostic characters, such as dimensions and proportions of head, antennae, petiole, as well as surface sculpture. Based on the material from Madagascar, however, we do not consider surface sculpture to be too important for species diagnostics. We observed some noticeable variation within species. Consequently, we tried to avoid using surface sculpture as primary diagnostic character, and used it only as supporting character.

All three species treated in this study are placed in the P. greavesi species group sensu Eguchi et al. (2006), mostly on the basis of the well-developed ventral process. The two species groups hypothesised by Eguchi et al. (2006) work very well for the Oriental and Indo-Australian regions, and there is no reason to create a new group for the three species from Madagascar. As already pointed out by Fisher (2007) for P. tani, the Afrotropical species, which can also be placed in the P. greavesi species group, appear morphologically close to the three species from Madagascar suggesting a close relationship. At the moment however, it is not possible to assess the phylogenetic relationships of the Malagasy species with the species from other regions in a comprehensive way due to the high morphological uniformity and lack of diagnostic characters. A highly desirable multi-gene molecular phylogenetic analysis might provide insights into the subgeneric relationships within Probolomyrmex.

Synoptic list of Probolomyrmex species from Madagascar

Probolomyrmex curculiformis Hita Garcia & Fisher, sp. n.
Probolomyrmex tani Fisher, 2007
Probolomyrmex zahamena Hita Garcia & Fisher, sp. n.

Identification key to Probolomyrmex species from Madagascar (workers)

1 Petiole relatively longer, lower, and less arched, in profile (without ventral process) around 1.3 to 1.5 times longer than high (LPNeI 127–150), and in dorsal view around 1.4 to 1.6 times longer than broad (DPeI 63–69) (Fig. 1A) ...... P. tani
   - Petiole shorter, higher and stronger arched, in profile (without ventral process) between 1.0 to 1.2 times longer than high (LPNeI 103–116); in dorsal view petiole around 1.2 to 1.3 times longer than broad (DPeI 76–86) (Fig. 1B, C) .... 2

2 Head shorter, in full-face view around 1.4 to 1.5 times longer than broad (CI 67–70); antennal scapes longer (SI 99–102); mesosomal outline straight without any groove; surface sculpture much weaker developed throughout whole body; body colour usually darker than above, usually dark, reddish brown (Fig. 2A, B).............................P. zahamena
   - Head longer, in full-face view around 1.5 to 1.6 times longer than broad (CI 62–65); antennal scapes shorter (SI 91–94); mesosomal outline straight without any groove; surface sculpture much weaker developed throughout whole body; body colour light orange brown (Fig. 2C, D) ......................................................................................P. curculiformis

Figure 1. Petiole in profile view. A P. tani (CASENT0243185) B P. curculiformis (CASENT0469570) C P. zahamena (CASENT0914279).
Probolomyrmex curculiformis Hita Garcia & Fisher, sp. n.

http://zoobank.org/ABC95DCB-B3CF-428B-BE9F-D112B77D7159
http://species-id.net/wiki/Probolomyrmex_curculiformis
Figs 1B, 2C, D, 3C, 6

Type material. Holotype, pinned worker, MADAGASCAR, Mahajanga, Parc National d’Ankarafantsika, Ampijoroa Station Forestière, 5.4 km 331° NW Andranofasika, 16.29889°S, 46.813°E, 70 m, tropical dry forest, sifted litter (leaf mold, rotten wood), collection code BLF03571, 26.III.–1.IV.2001 (Rabeson et al.) (CASC: CASENT0469570). Paratypes, nine pinned workers with same data as holotype (BMNH: CASENT0469574; CASC: CASENT0469571; CASENT0469572; CASENT0469573; CASENT0469575; CASENT0469576; CASENT0469577; CASENT0469579; MCZ: CASENT0469578); and one pinned worker from Mahajanga, Parc National d’Ankarafantsika, Ampijoroa Station Forestière, 40 km 306° NW Andranofasika, 16.32083°S, 46.81067°E, 130 m, tropical dry forest, sifted litter (leaf mold, rotten wood), collection code BLF03522, 26.III.–1.IV.2001 (B.L. Fisher et al.).

Non-type material. MADAGASCAR: Antsiranana, Forêt d’Anabohazo, 21.6 km 247° WSW Manomandia, 14.30889°S, 47.91433°E, 120 m, tropical dry forest, 11.–16.III.2001 (B.L. Fisher et al.) (CASC: CASENT0458322; CASENT0458323); Mahajanga, Parc National d’Ankarafantsika, Ampijoroa Station Forestière, 40 km 306° NW Andranofasika, 16.32083°S, 46.81067°E, 130 m, tropical dry forest, 26.III.–1.IV.2001 (B.L. Fisher et al.) (CASC: CASENT0465467; CASENT0465863); Mahajanga, Forêt de Tsimembo, 8.7 km 336° NNW Soatanana, 19.02139°S, 44.44067°E, 20 m, tropical dry forest, 21.–25.XI.2001 (B.L. Fisher et al.) (CASC: CASENT0080550); Mahajanga, Parc National Tsingy de Bemaraha, 3.4 km 93° E Bekopaka, Tombeau Vazimba, 19.14194°S, 44.828°E, 50 m, tropical dry forest, 6.–10.XI.2001 (B.L. Fisher et al.) (CASC: CASENT0477984; CASENT0477985; CASENT0477986); Toliara, Tulear, Berenty, 12 km N.W. Amboasary, 24.251889°S, 45.860894°E, 5.–15.V.1983 (J.S. Noyes & M.C. Day) (BMNH: CASENT0102226); Toliara, Parc National de Tsimanampetsotsa, Forêt de Bemananabe, 20.7 km 81° E Efoetse, 23.0 km 131° SE Beheloka, 23.99222°S, 43.88067°E, 90 m, 22.–26.III.2002 (B.L. Fisher et al.) (CASC: CASENT0004401).

Diagnosis. Probolomyrmex curculiformis is easily distinguishable from the other Malagasy congeners on the basis of the following character combination: head in full-face view around 1.5 to 1.6 times longer than broad (CI 62–65); SI 91–94; mesosomal outline straight without metanotal groove; hind tibia around 1.1 to 1.2 times
shorter than head width (HTLI 83–92); petiole shorter, higher and stronger arched, in profile (without ventral process) between 1.0 to 1.2 times longer than high (LPNeI 107–116), in dorsal view petiole around 1.2 to 1.3 times longer than broad (DPel 76–82).

**Worker measurements** (N=15). HL 0.57–0.60 (0.59); HW 0.37–0.39 (0.38); SL 34–37 (0.35); WL 0.71–0.76 (0.74); PH 0.24–0.26 (0.25); PW 0.27–0.32 (0.30); HTL 0.32–0.35 (0.33); PeH 0.27–0.32 (0.29); PeNH 0.20–0.23 (0.21); PeNL 0.22–0.25 (0.24); PeW 0.18–0.19 (0.19); CI 62–65 (0.64); SI 91–94 (93); LMI 33–35 (0.34); HTLI 83–92 (88); DPel 76–82 (79); LPeI 76–86 (80); LPeNI 107–116 (110); PeNI 60–67 (63).

**Worker description.** In full-face view head between 1.5 to 1.6 times longer than broad (CI 62–65), posterior head margin more or less flat; lateral margins of head convex, broadest medially, posteralar corners rounded; clypeus and anterior part of frons strongly protruding anteriorly as narrow frontoclypeal, subrectangular shelf or socket; antennal sockets exposed and closely approximated, separated by a thin, vertical lamella formed by fused frontal carinae; mandibles small, triangular to elongate-triangular, masticatory margin armed with one larger apical tooth and a series of six smaller denticles, in full-face view mandibles obscured by frontoclypeal shelf; palp formula 4:2; eyes absent; antennae 12-segmented, funicular antenommeres growing in size and width towards apex without forming well defined antennal club, apical antenomere much larger than remaining funicular antenommeres, antennal scape short (SI 91–94), far from reaching posterior head margin. Mesosoma slender, long, and relatively low (LMI 33–35), in profile mesosomal outline flat; propodeum enlarged and projecting ventrally; promesonotal suture and metanotal groove absent; declivitous face of propodeum margined by low, obtuse, and concave lamella on each side, pro- odeal lamella posterodorsally and posterovertrally with small, blunt tooth or rounded lobe; posterior declivity of propodeum weakly concave in dorsal view. Legs long and slender; all tibiae with single, pectinate spur; pretarsal claws simple without median tooth; hind tibia around 1.1 to 1.2 times shorter than head width (HTLI 83–92). In profile petiole with subpetiolar process around 1.2 to 1.3 times higher than long (LPel 76–86), petiole without subpetiolar process around 1.1 to 1.2 times longer than high (LPNeI 107–116), petiolar dorsum strongly arched, much higher posteriorly, anterior face curving smoothly onto dorsum without well developed anterodorsal margin, posterior face vertical and concave, enclosed laterally and dorsally by thick carina; in dorsal view petiole around 1.3 to 1.3 times longer than broad (DPel 76–82); pronotum between 1.5 to 1.7 times longer than petiolar width (PeNI 60–67); subpetiolar process well developed and lamelliform, ventral face weakly concave, anteroventral portion rounded to moderately angled, posteroventral portion sharper and stronger arched, projecting backwards, usually as small acute tooth. Abdominal segment III in profile narrowed anteriorly, broadest posteriorly. Sting well developed and very long. Surface sculpture generally weakly to moderately foveolate overlying conspicuous very fine, more or less dense, coriaceous microsculpture, usually foveolate sculpture better developed and more conspicuous on cephalic dorsum, lateral mesosoma, and lateral petiole than remainder of body. Pilosity strongly reduced throughout and virtually absent, except for few short hairs below frontoclypeal shelf, some longer hairs on mandibles, and some short, fine hairs around metapleural gland orifice. Pubescence whitish, extremely fine, very short, and appressed, present over most of body, funicular antenommeres with such pubescence overlaid by much scattered, much longer, appressed hairs. Colour dark reddish brown, appendages light brown. Pilosity strongly reduced throughout and virtually absent, except for few short hairs below frontoclypeal shelf, some longer hairs on mandibles, and some short, fine hairs around metapleural gland orifice. Pubescence whitish, extremely fine, very short, and appressed, present over most of body, funicular antenommeres with such pubescence overlaid by much scattered, much longer, appressed hairs. Colour orange to light brown, appendages yellowish.

**Etymology.** The name of the new species is a combination of the Latin noun “curculio”, which means weevil, and the suffix “formis”, which means alike. The long and narrow head with its anteriorly projecting frontoclypeal shelf resembles the elongated head shape of a weevil.

**Distribution and biology.** Probolomyrmex curculiformis is widely but patchily distributed in western Madagascar (Fig. 6). Its known distribution ranges from the southernmost localities Tsimanampetsotsa and Ambosaray to Anabohazo in the northwest. The localities are all tropical dry forest or spiny forest habitats situated at very low elevations of 20 to 130 m. Even though the new species was entirely collected by sifting litter, we suspect it is not a genuine leaf litter inhabitant. Instead, P. curculiformis is likely to be a hypogaeic species and the available material was sampled accidentally through the collection of soil for leaf litter sifting. A hypogaeic lifestyle would also explain the patchy distribution pattern. If true, intensive soil sampling in western Madagascar will likely yield more material of this species. The natural history of P. curculiformis is unknown.

**Discussion.** Probolomyrmex curculiformis is unlikely to be confused with the other two Malagasy Probolomyrmex species. The shape of the petiole is fairly distinct and separates the western P. curculiformis from the northern P. tani since the latter species has a much lower and longer petiole than the first. The third species, P. zahamena, from eastern Madagascar shares a higher and stouter petiole with P. curculiformis. However, P. zahamena possesses a small, but distinct metanotal groove, which is absent in P. curculiformis. In addition, the two species also differ in head shape, which is slightly broader in P. zahamena (CI 67–70) than in P. curculiformis (CI 62–65). Nevertheless, the last difference is sometimes hard to observe and requires measuring.
Figure 3. *Probolomyrmex curculiformis* sp. n. holotype worker (CASENT0469570). A Body in profile  
B Body in dorsal view  
C Head in full-face view.
**Variation.** Despite a very broad distribution pattern in western Madagascar, we could not observe any significant intraspecific variation except for surface sculpture. There is some moderate variation in density and depth of foveolate sculpturing throughout the material examined here. Some specimens display very little sculpture while sculpture is very well developed in others.

*Probolomyrmex tani* Fisher, 2007

http://species-id.net/wiki/Probolomyrmex_tani%5Caccording_to_Hitia_Garcia_et_al_2014
Figs 1A, 4, 6


**Type material.** Holotype, pinned worker, MADAGASCAR, Anosy, Makandroba, 41.1 km 175° Vohemar, 13.71533°S, 50.10167°E, 10 m, littoral rainforest, 29.XI.2004 (B.L. Fisher) (CASC: CASENT0057032); Antsiranana, Forêt de Binale, 9.4 km 235° SW Daraina, 13.26333°S, 49.6°E, 1100 m, montane rainforest, 5.XII.2003 (B.L. Fisher) (CASC: CASENT0043476; CASENT0043470); Antsiranana, Montagne des Français, 7.2 km 142° SE Antsiranana, 12.32278°S, 49.33817°E, 180 m, tropical dry forest, 22.–28.II.2001 (B.L. Fisher et al.) (CASC: CASENT0044000); Antsiranana, Makirovana forest, 14.16666°S, 49.95°E, 715 m, rainforest, 1.–2.V.2011 (B.L. Fisher et al.) (CASC: CASENT0243171; CASENT0243185); Antsiranana, Makirovana forest, 14.17066°S, 49.95409°E, 225 m, rainforest, 4.–6.V.2011 (B.L. Fisher et al.) (CASC: CASENT0231492).

**Diagnosis.** The following character set distinguishes *P. tani* from its congeners in Madagascar: head in full-face view between 1.5 to 1.6 times longer than broad (CI 64–66); SI 92–103; in profile mesosomal outline flat to very weakly convex; propodeal lamella posterodorsally with small, blunt tooth, posteroventrally with rounded lobe or very blunt tooth; posterior declivity of propodeum weakly concave in dorsal view. Legs long and slender; all tibiae with single, pectinate spur; pretarsal claws simple without median tooth; hind tibia around 1.0 to 1.1 times longer than head width (HTLI 100–111). In profile petiolar process around 1.0 to 1.1 times longer than high (LPel 97–110), petiole without subpetiolar process around 1.3 to 1.5 times longer than high (LPNeI 127–150), petiolar dorsum strongly arched, much higher posteriorly, anterior face curving smoothly onto dorsum without well developed anterodorsal margin, posterior face vertical and concave, enclosed laterally and dorsally by thick carina; in dorsal view petiole around 1.4 to 1.6 times longer than broad (DPeI 63–69); pronotum between 1.5 to 1.7 times longer than petiolar width (PeNi 60–66); subpetiolar process well developed and lamelliform, ventral face weakly concave, anterointernal portion rounded to moderately angled, posteroventrally portion sharper and angled, projecting backwards, variably developed, ranging from normal to a elongate-triangular tooth. Abdominal segment III in profile narrowed anteriorly, broadest posteriorly. Sting well developed and very long. Surface sculpture generally weakly to moderately foveolate overlaid conspicuous very fine, more or less dense, coriaceous microsculpture, foveolate sculpture better developed and more conspicuous on cephalic dorsum and lateral mesosoma than remainder of body. Polysity strongly reduced throughout and virtually absent, except for few short hairs below frontoclypeal shelf, some longer hairs on mandibles, and some short, fine hairs around metapleural gland orifice. Pubescence whitish, extremely fine, very short, and appressed, present over most of body, funicular an-
Figure 4. *Probolomyrmex tani* holotype worker (CASENT0041505). A Body in profile B Body in dorsal view C Head in full-face view.
tenonomerens with such pubescence overlaid by much scattered, much longer, appressed hairs. Colour light reddish brown to darker brown, appendages lighter, yellowish to light brown.

**Distribution and biology.** It has to be pointed out that *P. tani* is much less broadly distributed as previously thought. Indeed, its distribution is restricted to a narrow strip in the northeast of Madagascar ranging from Makirovana and Ambondrobe north to Montagne des Français. Most of the remaining locality data listed under *P. tani* in the original description (Fisher 2007) are actually records of the new species *P. curculiformis*, except for Manongarivo. The only available specimen from the latter locality is damaged, and it cannot be assigned to any species. Therefore, we do not list this locality for *P. tani* nor any of the other two species treated here. *Probolomyrmex tani* is found in a variety of forest habitats, such as littoral rainforest, tropical dry forest, lowland rainforest, and montane rainforest, and has an altitudinal range of 10 to 1100 m. Despite that most of the material was collected from leaf litter, *P. tani* is more likely subterranean in lifestyle, very much like *P. curculiformis.*

**Discussion.** *Probolomyrmex tani* is the most distinctive species of the three treated herein. The shape of the petiole alone separates it very well from *P. curculiformis* and *P. zahamaena*. In these two the petiole is shorter, higher and stronger arched, in profile (without ventral process) around 1.3 to 1.5 times longer than high (LPNeI 127–150), and in dorsal view around 1.4 to 1.6 times longer than broad (DPeI 63–69). By contrast, the petiole of *P. tani* is relatively longer, lower, and less arched, in profile (without ventral process) around 1.3 to 1.5 times longer than high (LPNeI 127–150), and in dorsal view around 1.4 to 1.6 times longer than broad (DPeI 63–69).

**Variation.** Despite being less variable than previously thought (Fisher 2007), *P. tani* still displays some noticeable intraspecific variation within a relatively small area in northern Madagascar. The specimens from Makirovana possess a much better developed and conspicuous foveolate surface sculpture than the rest of the material of *P. tani*. Most of the material from Makirovana also has a small but distinct metanotal groove, which is absent in the material from other localities, and longer antennal scapes (SI 99–102). These dissimilarities could be used to separate this series as different species. However, there are several good arguments against it. The length of the antennal scapes is always stable within localities, but shows some noticeable geographical variation. The specimens from the type locality possess the shortest scapes (SI 92–94), whereas the ones from Makirovana and Ambondrobe have the longest scapes (SI 99–102). However, the material from Binara and Montagne des Français shows intermediate values (SI 94–96). Furthermore, the metanotal groove is extremely weak in one specimen from Makirovana, almost absent. Also, as mentioned above, surface sculpturing is relatively variable in all three *Probolomyrmex* species. So, consequently, we prefer to keep all the material listed as *P. tani* as one somewhat variable species.
Figure 5. *Probolomyrmex zahamena* sp. n. holotype worker (CASENT0914279). A Body in profile B Body in dorsal view C Head in full-face view.
with single, pectinate spur; pretarsal claws simple without median tooth; hind tibia around 1.1 to 1.2 times shorter than head width (HTLI 82–89). In profile petiole with subpetiolar process around 1.2 times longer than high (LPel 79–86), petiole without subpetiolar process between 1.0 to 1.2 times longer than high (LPNeI 103 - 116), petiolar dorsum strongly arched, much higher posteriorly, anterior face curving smoothly onto dorsum without well developed anterodorsal margin, posterior face vertical and concave, enclosed laterally and dorsally by low, thick carina; in dorsal view petiole around 1.2 to 1.3 times longer than broad (DPel 76–87); pronotum between 1.5 to 1.7 times longer than petiolar width (PeNI 60–67); subpetiolar process well developed and lamelliform, ventral face weakly concave, anteroventral and posterverentral corners well angled, posteroventral portion slightly sharper but not projecting backwards or dentate. Abdominal segment III in profile narrowed anteriorly, broadest posteriorly. Sting well developed and very long. Surface sculpture very conspicuous, throughout whole body densely foveolate overlaying conspicuous very fine, dense, coriaceous microsculpture. Pilosity strongly reduced throughout and virtually absent, except for few short hairs below frontoclypeal shelf, some longer hairs on mandibles, and some short, fine hairs around metapleural gland orifice. Pubescence whitish, extremely fine, very short, and appressed, present over most of body, funicular antennomeres with such pubescence overlaid by much scattered, much longer, appressed hairs. Colour dark reddish brown, appendages light brown.

**Etymology.** The new species is named after the type locality, the Zahamena National Park in eastern Madagascar. Zahamena is part of the UNESCO World Heritage Site “Rainforests of the Atsinanana”, and considered as one of the WWF’s Global 200 priority eco-regions for conservation priority. By naming the new species after this locality we want to draw attention to this very important locality with its high conservation value. The species epithet is treated as a noun in apposition, and thus invariant.

**Distribution and biology.** At present, *P. zahamena* is only known from the type locality, which is a tropical rainforest situated at an elevation of 780 m. All the available material is from a single leaf litter collection. It is surprising that *P. zahamena* is the only known species found in eastern Madagascar, especially considering the very high leaf litter sampling effort performed by the Malagasy ant project from 1992 to the present. This suggests that the species is either comparatively rare or predominantly hypogaeic. As for the other two species, the use of soil sampling methods might yield additional material.

**Discussion.** *Probolomyrmex zahamena* is fairly distinct and its identification straightforward. The shape of the petiole, which is relatively short, high and stout, distinguishes it clearly from *P. tani*, while the presence of a metanotal groove separates it from *P. curculiformis*. In addition, *P. zahamena* has a slightly broader head (CI 67–70) than the other two (CI 62–66).

**Variation.** Since *P. zahamena* is only known from one collection event, the observable variation is insignificant.
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A new oak-feeding species of *Lachnus* Burmeister and some remarks on the taxonomic status of *L. chosoni* Szelegiewicz (Hemiptera, Aphididae, Lachninae)
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Abstract

A new species, *Lachnus pseudonudus* Kanturski & Wieczorek, *sp. n.*, associated with *Quercus ithaburensis* subsp. *macrolepis* is described and figured in detail from specimens collected in Turkey. The lectotype and paralectotypes of *L. crassicornis*, a species associated with *Quercus ithaburensis* subsp. *ithaburensis*, are designated. The taxonomic position of *L. chosoni*, a poorly known species from Korea previously treated as a synonym of *L. pallipes*, is discussed. Morphological and biometric characters of the studied species are given. An identification key to species belonging to the ”*pallipes*” group is provided.
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Introduction

The genus *Lachnus* Burmeister, 1835 comprises about 20 species associated with deciduous trees from the genera *Castanea*, *Castanopsis*, *Fagus*, *Quercus* (Fagaceae), *Hippophae* (Eleagnaceae), *Salix* (Salicaceae), *Ficus* (Moraceae) and *Casuarina* (Casuarinaceae) and living on the bark of tree trunks, branches or main roots (Heie 1995; Binazzi and Remaudière 2010). Aphids from this genus are characterised by medium to large body size, long hind legs and pigmented wings in alatae. Key morphological features of *Lachnus* include also the terminal process of the antenna with 2–7 subapical setae, and primary rhinaria with a sclerotic rosette. The apical segment of the rostrum is short and with a button-shaped apical part (Szelegiewicz 1978; Blackman and Eastop 1994). Among representatives of the genus *Lachnus*, most species are Fagaceae-feeders, associated mostly with oaks (*Quercus* spp.). According to Binazzi and Remaudière (2010), this group of species living on the Fagaceae can be divided into subgroups with or without distinct mesosternal tubercles in apterous viviparous females. The group lacking these tubercles comprises *L. crassicornis* Hille Ris Lambers, 1948, *L. longirostris* (Mordvilko, 1901) and *L. pallipes* (Hartig, 1841) (the ”*pallipes*” group) and is characterised also by very long setae on the apical part of the hind tibiae in addition to the normal ones. Among the above mentioned species the status of *L. longirostris* is questionable; i.e. this species is treated as a synonym of *L. pallipes* (Szelegiewicz 1975; Blackman and Eastop 1994), which has been confirmed by recent molecular studies (Mróz et al. 2013). Moreover, the species *L. chosoni* Szelegiewicz, 1975 seems to be closely related to this group, also treated by some authors as a synonym of...
L. pallipes (Blackman and Eastop 1994, 2014; Remaudière and Remaudière 1997). In addition, Blackman and Eastop (1994, 2014) suggested that in the collection of the Natural History Museum, London, UK (BMNH) specimens determined as L. crassicornis but most probably belonging to an undescribed species related to the “pallipes” group are present.

The aim of this paper is to define the taxonomic status of the species belonging to the “pallipes” group. On the basis of the material deposited in the BMNH a new, hitherto unknown species is described. The lectotype and paralectotypes of L. crassicornis are designated. Based on a reinvestigation of the type material of L. chosoni deposited in the Zoological Institute, Polish Academy of Sciences, Warsaw, Poland (ZMPA) the status of this species is discussed. An identification key to species belonging to the “pallipes” group is provided.

Material and methods

Material examined.

L. chosoni. Holotype: one apterous viviparous female, KOREA, Myohyang-san Mts. 05.VIII.1959, Quercus sp., no APH-4704 B. Pisarski & J. Prószyński leg. ZMPA. Paratypes. Same locality as holotype: two apterous viviparous females, no APH-4705, ZMPA.

L. crassicornis. Lectotype: one apterous viviparous female marked as 1, ISRAEL, Daphne, 28.IV.1945, Quercus ithaburensis (Univ. Jerusalem), no BM 1984-340a (present designation) BMNH. Paralectotypes. Same locality as lectotype: three apterous viviparous females marked as 2, 3, 4, no BM 1984-340a; four apterous viviparous females marked as 1, 2, 3, 4 no BM 1984-340b (present designation) BMNH.


The specimens were examined using the light microscope Nikon Ni-U. Drawings were made with a camera lucida. Measurements are given in mm (Table 1 and 2). Measurements and ratios of the first segment of hind tarsus (HT I) were made according to Szelegiewicz (1978) and Heie (1995).

The holotype and paratypes of the new species are deposited in the Natural History Museum London, UK, (BMNH). Paratypes will be also deposited in the aphids collection of the Department of Zoology, University of Silesia, Poland (UŚ).

Results

Lachnus pseudonudus Kanturski & Wieczorek, sp. n.

http://zoobank.org/4C5ABFBE-C6DB-4440-B08E-42CF496472BB
http://species-id.net/wiki/Lachnus_pseudonudus
Figures 1, 2, 3; Table 1, 2

Description. Apterous viviparous female. Colour in life unknown. Pigmentation of mounted specimens: head, pronotum and mesonotum sclerotised, covered by light brown sclerotic shield. Antennal segments light brown. Fore and middle femora light brown, hind femora brown with pale proximal area to about ¼ length. Tibiae from proximal part to about ½ length and on distal parts brown, otherwise yellowish (Fig. 3a). Tarsi brown. Abdomen pale. Siphuncular sclerites pale to light brown. Subgenital and anal plate brown. Body large, egg-shaped (Fig. 1). Head densely covered by short, thick and forked setae, shorter than setae on antennal segments. Frons flat. Head width 0.49–0.57 times length of antennae. Antennae 6-segmented (Fig. 2a), 0.44–0.53 times length of body. Ant. segm. III slightly shorter than ant. segm. IV+V+VI, with 0–3 secondary rhinaria. Ant. segm. IV slightly longer than, or as well as long as ant. segm. V, with 0–3 secondary rhinaria. Ant. segm. V always longer than ant. segm. VI with 1 primary and 1–2 accessory

Figure 1. Lachnus pseudonudus Kanturski & Wieczorek, sp. n. – apterous viviparous female: general view.
Table 1. Measurements (in mm) of apterous viviparous females (n = 8) *Lachnus pseudonudus* Kanturski & Wieczorek, sp. n. from the type material. Measurements of the holotype bolded.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Character</th>
<th>Apterous viviparous females</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Length of body</td>
<td>3.60 3.20 3.05 3.00 2.62 2.87 2.87 2.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximal width of body</td>
<td>2.25 1.77 1.65 1.52 1.40 1.50 1.55 1.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head width across compound eyes</td>
<td>0.81 0.75 0.76 0.74 0.72 0.73 0.73 0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of antennae</td>
<td>1.60 1.51 1.52 1.36 1.35 1.40 1.43 1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of antennal segment III</td>
<td>0.64 0.60 0.58 0.53 0.50 0.54 0.51 0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>segment IV</td>
<td>0.27 0.26 0.27 0.22 0.22 0.25 0.21 0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>segment V</td>
<td>0.31 0.27 0.30 0.25 0.27 0.26 0.25 0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>segment VIa</td>
<td>0.13 0.11 0.12 0.11 0.12 0.11 0.11 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>segment Vlb</td>
<td>0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of rostrum</td>
<td>1.50 1.60 1.60 1.65 1.50 1.62 1.80 1.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of apical segment of rostrum</td>
<td>0.25 0.25 0.24 0.26 0.26 0.25 0.24 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of hind femora</td>
<td>1.35 1.30 1.35 1.25 1.15 1.20 1.15 1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of hind tibiae</td>
<td>2.55 2.47 2.50 2.30 2.17 2.27 2.20 2.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of hind tarsus segm. I</td>
<td>0.11 0.12 0.11 0.11 0.10 0.12 0.11 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of hind tarsus segm. II</td>
<td>0.23 0.23 0.23 0.22 0.22 0.22 0.23 0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siphuncular sclerite diameter</td>
<td>0.18 0.21 0.18 0.18 0.19 0.20 0.22 0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siphuncular cone diameter</td>
<td>0.10 0.10 0.10 0.09 0.11 0.10 0.09 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subgenital plate length</td>
<td>0.29 0.28 0.26 0.25 0.24 0.26 0.26 0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subgenital plate width</td>
<td>0.53 0.50 0.52 0.47 0.45 0.46 0.42 0.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Character</th>
<th><em>Lachnus crassicornis</em></th>
<th><em>Lachnus pseudonudus</em> Kanturski &amp; Wieczorek, sp. n.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dorsal chaetotaxy</td>
<td>Thorax and abdomen very densely covered by very thin, fine and pointed setae, 0.037–0.050 mm long</td>
<td>Thorax and abdomen covered by very short, inconspicuous setae, arranged in 3–4 rows on each segment with blunt, capitate, spatulate or club-shaped apices, 0.010–0.020 mm long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head setae</td>
<td>As long as setae on antennae, fine with pointed apices</td>
<td>Shorter than setae on antennae, slightly thick and forked</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antennae</td>
<td>Antennal segments swollen</td>
<td>Antennal segments not swollen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siphunculi</td>
<td>Siphuncular sclerites very low, siphunculi almost poriform, with little-developed flange with 2–3 rows of polygonal reticulation</td>
<td>Siphuncular sclerites well-developed, with very well-developed flange with 8–10 rows of polygonal reticulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal cuticle</td>
<td>Smooth</td>
<td>Wrinkled or reticulated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hind tibiae chaetotaxy</td>
<td>Some setae on distal part of tibiae 3–4 times longer than other setae, 0.14–0.15 mm long</td>
<td>Some setae on distal part of tibiae 4–5 times longer than other setae, 0.17–0.22 mm long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HT I setae</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLL/BL</td>
<td>1.47–1.68</td>
<td>1.18–1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL/BL</td>
<td>0.57–0.64</td>
<td>0.44–0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANT VI/ANT III</td>
<td>0.19–0.25</td>
<td>0.25–0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HT II/ANT III</td>
<td>0.27–0.36</td>
<td>0.36–0.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rh. segm. VI base (IVa) longer than terminal process (IVb), with 1 big primary rhinarium with sclerotised rosette and 6–7 small accessory rhinarium, adjoining each other (Fig. 2b). IVb 0.24–0.33 times length of VIa with 3 apical and 5–7 subapical setae, which are shorter and slightly thicker than basal setae. Other antennal ratios: VI:III 0.25–0.31; V:III 0.44–0.54; IV:III 0.37–0.48; IV:V 0.80–1.03. Ant. segm. I–VIa densely covered with very thin, hair-like, pointed setae. Longest seta on ant. segm. III 1.10–1.66 times basal articular diameter of this segment. Rostrum long, reaching siphunculi or genital plate (in some specimens reaching only hind coxae). Apical segment of rostrum (ARS) pointed, 0.38–0.50 times ant. segm. III and 1.00–1.19 times second segment of hind tarsus (HT II), with 4 primary and 18 accessory setae (Fig. 2c). Mesosternal tubercles absent. Hind legs long, 1.18–1.45 times length of body. Some setae on hind tibia 4–5 times longer than other setae, hair-like, 0.17–0.22 mm long (Figs 2d, 3a). First segment of hind tarsus (HT I) with 14 ventral setae (Fig. 2d). HT II 0.36–0.45 times ant. segm. III and 1.37–1.50 times ant. segm. VI. Abdomen membranous, cuticle with very densely wrinkled surface in transverse rows. Siphuncular sclerites well-developed, usually slightly oval, around pore a very well-developed flange with 8–10 rows of polygonal reticulation (Figs 2e, 3e). Dorsal abdominal segments covered with 3–4 rows of very short, blunt, capitate, spatulate or club-shaped setae, 0.010–0.020 mm long, arising from dark brown ring-like
bases (Fig. 2f). Each abdominal segment with 3 pairs of brown, rounded sclerites. Subgenital plate well-developed, with indentation from posterior side. Cauda circular or semicircular with 22–24 long and pointed setae which are longer and thicker than those on legs. Anal plate well-developed, sclerotised.

**Diagnosis.** The new species can be distinguished from *L. crassicornis* as well as from the other *Lachnus* species by the sparse dorsal chaetotaxy and extremely short, inconspicuous setae with blunt, slightly spatulate, capitate or club-shaped apices. The new species is also characterised by siphunculi with a very well-developed and almost transparent flange with 8–10 rows of polygonal reticulation. Main morphological and biometric differences between *L. pseudonudus* Kanturski & Wieczorek, sp. n. and *L. crassicornis* are given in Table 2.

**Etymology.** The name of the new species is derived from characteristically short and inconspicuous setae on the dorsal part of the thorax and the abdomen.

**Biology and host plant.** The species live on branches and shoots of *Q. ithaburensis* subsp. *macrolepis*

---

**Table 3.** The main morphological and biometric differences among *Lachnus chosoni* and *L. pallipes*. BL – body length, HLL – hind leg length, ARS – apical rostrum segment, HT II – second segment of hind tarsus, HT I – first segment of hind tarsus.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Character</th>
<th><em>Lachnus chosoni</em></th>
<th><em>Lachnus pallipes</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ant. segm. VI/ant. segm. III</td>
<td>0.25–0.31</td>
<td>0.21–0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ant. segm. V/ant. segm. III</td>
<td>0.34–0.40</td>
<td>0.40–0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ant. segm. IV/ant. segm. V</td>
<td>1.00–1.10</td>
<td>0.78–0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLL/BL</td>
<td>0.65–0.68</td>
<td>0.74–0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARS/HT II</td>
<td>1.45–1.52</td>
<td>1.19–1.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARS/ant. segm. VI</td>
<td>0.79–0.84</td>
<td>0.96–1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siphuncular sclerite diameter</td>
<td>0.24–0.24</td>
<td>0.15–0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HT I basal/HT I ventral</td>
<td>0.29–0.33</td>
<td>0.33–0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HT I basal/HT I intersegmental</td>
<td>0.50–0.52</td>
<td>0.60–0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HT II/ant. segm. III</td>
<td>0.34–0.37</td>
<td>0.30–0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hind tibiae setae length</td>
<td>0.12–0.14</td>
<td>0.15–0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesosternal tubercles</td>
<td>Present but very small</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Hind tibiae**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Character</th>
<th><em>Lachnus chosoni</em></th>
<th><em>Lachnus pallipes</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Uniformly dark brown with paler proximal part</td>
<td>Brown on distal and proximal parts with pale area for about 1/3 of length</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(Kotschy) Hedge & Yalt. (= *Q. aegilops*) and is visited by the ant species *Liometopum microcephalum* (Panzer, 1798) (Canakçıoglu 1975).

**Distribution.** Turkey, Uşak-Eşme.

**Material examined.** Holotype: one apterous viviparous female marked as 1. TURKEY, Uşak-Eşme, 850 m, 10.VI.1964, no 731/64, 45a1, M. Canakçıoglu leg. BMNH.

Paratypes. Same locality as holotype: one apterous viviparous female marked as 2, no 731/64, 45a1; four apterous viviparous female marked as 1, 2, 3, 4, no 731/64, 45a2 BMHN; four apterous viviparous female marked as 1, 2, 3, 4, no 731/64, 45a3 UŚ.

Other material examined: 22 apterous viviparous females, same locality as holotype: no 731/64, 45a4–45a9 BMHN.

**Figure 3.** Main differences in pigmentation and chaetotaxy of hind tibiae and size of siphuncular sclerites among apterous viviparous females of the “*pallipes*” group: (a, e) *Lachnus pseudonudus* Kanturski & Wieczorek, sp. n., (b, f) *L. crassicornis*, (c, g) *L. pallipes*, (d, h) *L. chosoni*, (i) small mesosternal tubercles of *L. chosoni*.
Key to apterous viviparous females of the “pallipes” group of the genus Lachnus

1  Siphunculi almost poriform with almost invisible sclerites (Fig. 3f). Dorsum covered with thin, long, hair-like and pointed setae, which are almost similar to ventral setae .............................................. Lachnus crassicornis Hille Ris Lambers
   – Siphunculi with well-developed sclerites (Fig. 3e, g, h). Dorsal setae variable but always different from setae on ventral side .............................................. 2

2  Dorsal abdominal setae short and inconspicuous (max 0.02 mm) with blunt, capitate or club-shaped apices, and arising from large, ring-like bases (Fig. 2f) .................................................................................................................. Lachnus pseudonudus Kanturski & Wieczorek, sp. n.
   – Setae on dorsal side of abdomen always longer than 0.037 mm and pointed, not arising from large, ring-like bases... 3

3  Hind tibiae brown on distal and proximal parts with pale area for about 1/3 of length (Fig. 3c). Ant. segm. IV/ant. segm. V 0.78–0.96, ARS/ant. segm. VI 1.26–1.38, ARS/HT II 0.96–1.00......................................................... Lachnus pallipes Hartig
   – Hind tibiae with distal part uniformly dark brown and proximal part paler (Fig. 3d). Ant. segm. IV/ant. segm. V 1.00–1.10, ARS/ant. segm. VI 1.00–1.06, ARS/HT II 0.79–0.84 .............................................. Lachnus chosoni Szelegiewicz

Discussion

The Fagaceae-feeding species of the genus Lachnus form morphologically related groups (Binazzi and Remaudière 2010). The “pallipes” group is characterised by the lack of mesosternal tubercles and two types of setae on the hind tibiae: setae as long as or slightly longer than the diameter of the middle part of the tibiae, and some which are distinctly longer. In this group of species L. pallipes is characterised by the longest tibial setae situated not only on the posterior part of the tibiae but usually also distributed individually along almost the whole length of the tibiae. In that species, the body is also covered with numerous setae, but contrary to L. crassicornis and L. pseudonudus Kanturski & Wieczorek, sp. n. the setae of L. pallipes are much thicker and with brown pigmentation. On the other hand, the chaetotaxy of the body and the form and shape of the siphunculi clearly distinguish L. crassicornis from other Lachnus species. All setae of L. crassicornis are very thin and hair-like with pointed apices. Only the setae on the posterior parts of tibiae are slightly thicker and much longer than others. The setae on the dorsal part of the abdomen are almost identical with the setae on the ventral side. Probably the long, hair-like and pointed setae on the ventral side of the abdomen and the same host plant were the reasons why the slides of L. pseudonudus sp. n. were wrongly determined by Canakçioğlu as L. crassicornis and the latter was listed in the aphidofauna of Turkey by the author (Canakçioğlu 1966, 1967, 1975). Dorsal setae of L. pseudonudus sp. n. are extremely short and inconspicuous, so they probably were overlooked during the examination of the material. However, the shape of the siphunculi, as well as the very well-developed flange with reticulation and other distinctive morphological and biometric features (see Table 2) give the new species a clear and separate taxonomic position within the genus Lachnus. Both L. crassicornis and L. pseudonudus sp. n. are known from single localities. L. crassicornis was recorded only from two localities in Israel, Daphne and Hotshat (Binazzi and Remaudière 2010; the record of this species from Q. robur in Romania reported by Holman and Pintner 1981 needs confirmation) whereas L. pseudonudus sp. n. is only known from its type locality in Turkey. The available material confirms the same host plant for both species: Q. ithaburensis (Decne). The Tabor oak includes two subspecies – Q. ithaburensis subsp. macrolepis (Kotschy) Hedge & Yalt. and Q. ithaburensis Decne. subsp. ithaburensis. These two subspecies of the Tabor oak occur separately in two Mediterranean subregions i.e. Q. ithaburensis subsp. ithaburensis is known only from Israel and north-western Jordan, whereas Q. ithaburensis subsp. macrolepis occurs in the western part of Turkey and in an enclaved region eastward to Ankara (Drufour-Dror and Ertas 2004). As the two subspecies of the Tabor oak do not overlap in their occurrence it is argued that L. crassicornis is associated with Q. ithaburensis subsp. ithaburensis, and L. pseudonudus sp. n. with Q. ithaburensis subsp. macrolepis, which additionally corroborates the separateness of those two species.

Similarly, L. chosoni is a rare aphid species, which is known only from the type locality in Myohyang-san (Korea) and all material, including the types, comprises three apterous viviparous females. Although Szelegiewicz (1975) provided a detailed description and drawings of this species, its taxonomic position was undermined and it was treated as a synonym of L. pallipes (Blackman and Eastop 1994, 2014; Remaudière and Remaudière 1997). On the other hand, Binazzi and Remaudière (2010) gave L. chosoni full species status. However, the authors underlined that it was keyed only on the basis of the data from the original Szelegiewicz’s description without studying the type material and still there were doubts about the possible synonymy of these species. A comparison of the type material of L. chosoni and L. pallipes from various localities, biometric ratios of the lengths of antennal segments, the apical segment of the rostrum and the hind tarsus clearly show differences between those species (see Table 3). Moreover, L. chosoni is characterised by the larger siphuncular sclerite diameter, different colouration of the hind tibiae and, most conspicuously, very small mesosternal tubercles (Figs 3c, g, i). Species belonging to the “pallipes” group, including L. pseudonudus sp. n., are characterised by the absence of those structures, unlike the remaining Fagaceae-feeding species of the genus Lachnus (especially the Quercus spp. habitants,
Özdemir et al. 2005), which have prominent mesosternal tubercles. However, the presence of longer and shorter setae on hind tibiae, which is also a unique character of the “pallipes” group, indicates a close relation of L. chosoni with this group of species.
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As the authors were driving forces in the recent revival of morphology it is just and equitable that they free us from the dependence on timeworn reams. A new standard work on insect morphology and phylogeny has been long overdue and will certainly constitute a valuable reference for future publications.

This textbook is a comprehensive treatment covering morphology, phylogeny, and associated methods as traditional and modern morphological techniques and phylogenetic reconstruction. It starts with a state of the art description of general hexapod morphology, supplemented with a useful separate glossary of morphological terms. The recently defined and currently applied nomenclature for the muscles of the head and the thorax is outlined in two tables. In the section on morphological techniques the authors incorporate their personal experience and discuss advantages and problems of the respective method which gives this chapter additional value.

The second part of the book deals with the 35 currently recognized hexapod orders. These chapters do not only contain detailed morphological descriptions of adults and larvae including internal anatomy if investigated, but also information on diversity and distribution, taxonomy, reproductive behaviour and development, fossil record and the economic importance of the order. The relationship of the orders is presented in several cladograms, controversial phylogenetic hypotheses are discussed and autapomorphies of the orders and higher clades are listed. In the chapter “literature” the additional listing of textbooks, review articles and cladistic software gives students a quick overview on further reading.

Generally the structure of the book is clear and intuitive. The absence of separate subtopics for the sections on phylogenetic relationships is however a neglect. The only way to find this information is paging through the whole chapter “The orders of Hexapoda“ again and again which is rather tiring. We suggest preparing some post-it notes before starting to read.

Altogether, the book gives the only complete and modern reference of its kind available today. The authors manage to communicate even complicated topics in an easily understandable way, not at least by enhancing the text with numerous detailed drawings, excellent scanning electron micrographs, coloured 3-D reconstructions and photographs of living specimens. Their in-depth knowledge combined with their enthusiasm for the topic make this book attractive not only for students and researchers but for everyone interested in entomology.